# MBsums – a Mathematica package for the representation of Mellin-Barnes integrals by multiple sums\*

#### MICHAŁ OCHMAN AND TORD RIEMANN

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany

Feynman integrals may be represented by the Mathematica packages AMBRE and MB as multiple Mellin-Barnes integrals. With the Mathematica package MBsums we transform these Mellin-Barnes integrals into multiple sums.

PACS numbers: 11.80.Cr, 12.38.Bx

#### 1. Introduction

In recent years, there was remarkable progress in the development of (semi-)automatized software for the numerical calculation of arbitrary, complicated Feynman integrals. Basically, two approaches are advocated. One relies on sector decomposition. For an introduction given at this conference and for further references see [1]. We will report on the other approach, based on Mellin-Barnes representations [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. When [9] appeared in 2005, several unsolved problems of different complexity existed. We mention non-planar diagrams, the massive case, multi-loop tensor integrals, Minkowskian kinematics. For all of the items, progress is reported in [2], based on the source-open software AMBRE/MB [13, 14, 8]. An alternative is the direct analytical evaluation of MB-integrals. This is difficult. But in view of recent progress in algebraically summing up infinite sums by the LINZ group's Computer algebra algorithms for nested sums and products, one might hope to achieve a breakthrough [15]; certainly only if the result leads to appropriate classes of functions. The idea is to apply the Linz group's algorithms (as well as those of others, e.g. [16]) to sums of

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Tord Riemann, E-mail: tordriemann@gmail.com. Part of a talk presented by J. Gluza at the XXXIX International Conference of Theoretical Physics "Matter to the Deepest", Ustron, Poland, September 13–18, 2015. Extended version of the contribution to the proceedings in Acta Physica Polonica 46 (2015).

residues after applying Cauchy's theorem [17]. A first attempt was reported in [18].

The automatized derivation of the multiple sums for a given MB-integral is certainly the easier part of the task, but it is the first step. We report here on a first version of the Mathematica program MBsums [19] for transforming MB-representations for Feynman integrals into multiple sums. The licence conditions of the source-open package are those formlulated in the CPC non-profit use licence agreement of the Computer Physics Communications Program Library [20]. The authors expect that the potential users read and follow the licence agreement when using this code.

## 2. The Mathematica package MBsums

The package MBsums transforms Mellin-Barnes integrals into sums, by closing the integration contours and calculating the integrals by the residue theorem, i.e. by constructing sums over all residues inside the contours. The current version of MBsums is 1.0. The package MBsums works with Wolfram Mathematica 7.0 and later.

In order to obtain a sum from an MB-integral the user should use the MBIntToSum function of MBsums:

or

where int is the MB-integral in the form as it is denoted in the Mathematica package MB  $[9]^1$ :

$$int = MBInt[f,{eps -> 0},{z1 -> c1, z2 -> c2, ..., zD -> cD}]$$
(3)

which corresponds to

$$\operatorname{int} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^d} \int_{-i\infty+c_1}^{i\infty+c_1} \cdots \int_{-i\infty+c_D}^{i\infty+c_D} f \prod_{k=1}^D \mathrm{d}z_k. \tag{4}$$

The integrand f can have the form

$$f = \sum_{j} f_{j} \tag{5}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The package MBsums uses notations of the package MB, but can be run also independently.

and each  $f_j$  is assumed to be of the form of

$$f_j = \xi_j \frac{\prod_m \Gamma(N_m^{(j,1)}) \prod_m \Psi^{(n)}(N_m^{(j,2)})}{\prod_m \Gamma(N_m^{(j,3)})} \prod_m r_m^{N_m^{(j,4)}}, \tag{6}$$

where  $\Psi^{(0)}(z) = \mathrm{d} \ln(\Gamma(z))/\mathrm{d}z$ ,  $\Psi^{(n>0)}(z) = \mathrm{d}^n \Psi^{(0)}(z)/\mathrm{d}z^n$ ,  $r_i$  are free parameters (usually kinematic parameters) in int and  $\xi_j$  is a factor independent of z-variables. The

$$N_m^{(j,k)} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{m,i}^{(j,k)} z_i + \beta_m^{(j,k)} + \gamma_m^{(j,k)} \epsilon, \tag{7}$$

where  $\alpha_{m,i}^{(j,k)}$ ,  $\beta_m^{(j,k)}$ ,  $\gamma_m^{(j,k)}$  are rational numbers and  $\epsilon$  (eps) is an infinitesimal dimensional shift, e.g. arising from  $d=4-2\epsilon$ . All the singularities of the integrand of the MB-integral f are due (and only due) to Gamma and PolyGamma functions.

The values of c1, c2, ..., cD are converted to rational numbers by MBIntToSum before calculations.

Let us now focus on the case when the list kinematics is empty, i.e. we will consider (2). The list contours has the form

contours = 
$$\{z1 \rightarrow L/R, z2 \rightarrow L/R, \ldots, zD \rightarrow L/R\}$$
. (8)

The order of the z-variables defines the order of integrations chosen by the user (from left to right). The L (R) means that the contour will be closed to the left (right). The L/R choice made by the user can be changed if kinematics is not empty and this will be covered later. The output of MBIntToSum in (2) is of the form

$$\{MBsum_1, MBsum_2, \dots, MBsum_Q\}$$
 (9)

where

represents a sum with summand Sum\_Coefficient\_i that has non-negative indices given in the list List\_i, and Conditions\_i are conditions on those indices. The complete answer is the sum of all MBsum\_i in the list.

The list kinematics has the form

kinematics = 
$$\{r_1 \rightarrow v_1, r_2 \rightarrow v_2, ..., r_K \rightarrow v_K\}, (11)$$

where r\_i are free parameters (usually kinematic parameters) in int and v\_i are values of r\_i. If kinematics is not empty then MBIntToSum will

try to change the L/R choice made by the user in the list contours in order to obtain sums that have good asymptotic behaviour at given values of r\_i. In any case the user is informed how the contour was closed. This will be explained later in detail. The values of v\_i are converted to rational numbers by MBIntToSum before calculations. The user can turn off all messages printed by MBIntToSum by typing MBsumsInfo=False and turn them on by typing MBsumsInfo=True.

In addition we provide function DoAllMBSums[sums,nmax,kinematics] that sums the sums in the form (9). The nmax is the maximal value of each index, the minimal value is given by conditions on indices. The list kinematics is as above and may be empty. We used Wolfram Mathematica function ParallelMap inside DoAllMBSums to sum individual sums in the list sums in parallel.

## 3. Obtaining the sums

Let us now shortly explain how we obtain the sums. We point out the most important ingredients in our algorithm. Let us focus on the case when the list kinematics is empty, i.e. we will consider (2). The MB-integral is in the form as it was denoted in (3). Let us now assume that the user has chosen as first integration the z2->L. As a first step we form a list, which we call NegArgsDoC, of arguments of the Gamma and PolyGamma functions in the numerators that give residues for  $Re(z^2) < c^2$  (see (3), the remaining contours are seen as a straight lines). We call that list NegArgsDoC. Next w consider all possible cases: When all Gamma and PolyGamma functions that have arguments in NegArgsDoC contribute to a residue at the same time, and when only some subset of them contributes to a residue at the same time. We consider all possible subsets of NegArgsDoC. Additionally we have to be careful when some Gamma functions in the denominator become singular at some points. If we have terms like Gamma [2 z2], then the poles are at  $z^2 = -n/2$  and we consider there 2 cases: n = 2n' and n = 2n' + 2n'1, where n and n' are non-negative integers. Similarly we proceed with arbitrary  $M \times z^2$  terms, where M is some integer value and in general with all n/M terms which appear together with integration variable in the arguments of the Gamma and PolyGamma functions. So we produce a list of cases

$$\{\{f_1^{(1)}, c_1^{(1)}\}, \{f_2^{(1)}, c_2^{(1)}\}, ..., \{f_{K_1}^{(1)}, c_{K_1}^{(1)}\}\},$$
 (12)

where  $f_i^{(1)}$  are expressions after taking residues of f and  $c_i^{(1)}$  are conditions on the index that numerates terms (residues) in  $f_i^{(1)}$ . We obtain a list of  $K_1$  elements after integrating over z2. Let us now assume that the user has chosen as second integration variable z5->R. Then we repeat the whole

procedure on each  $f_i^{(1)}$  taking into account conditions  $c_i^{(1)}$ . So we produce analogous to (12) a list of cases

$$\{\{f_1^{(2)}, c_1^{(2)}\}, \{f_2^{(2)}, c_2^{(2)}\}, ..., \{f_{K_2}^{(2)}, c_{K_2}^{(2)}\}\}.$$
 (13)

We repeat the whole procedure for each integration variable.

## 4. Contours and convergent sums

Let us now shortly explain how we obtain the sums if the list kinematics is not empty. We follow the order of integration given in the list contours. Our aim is to determine the L/R such that we obtain sums that have good asymptotic behaviour at given values of  $r_i$  in the list kinematics. We do it in the following way. At each integration step s we analyse the expressions  $f_i^{(s)}$  that are to be integrated over some  $z_C$ . Each  $f = f_i^{(s)}$  we decompose

$$f = \sum_{j} g_j \tag{14}$$

and each  $g = g_j$  is of the form

$$g = r_1^{a_1} r_2^{a_2} \cdots r_K^{a_K} F, \qquad a_j = \sum_i a_{j,i} z_i,$$
 (15)

where  $r_i$  are the kinematic parameters in the list kinematics and F contains the rest of g. If we integrate over  $z_C$ , we consider

$$c^{z_C}$$
,  $c = r_1^{a_{1,C}} r_2^{a_{2,C}} \cdots r_K^{a_{K,C}}$ . (16)

The value of c is calculated. MBIntToSum prints the error message:

Found c = c (not a number): please complete kinematic's list.

for each  $g_i$  in each  $f_i^{(s)}$  when c is symbolic (not a number) and at the end MBIntToSum prints

Unable to find correct contour for  $z_C$ .

and returns {}. The user should complete the list kinematics.

For each  $g_i$  in each  $f_i^{(s)}$  it is returned L if |c| > 1 or R if |c| < 1 indicating how to close the contour or  $\{\}$  if |c| = 1.

If for each  $g_i$  in each  $f_i^{(s)}$  it is returneded L (R) or  $\{\}$  then the contour for  $z_C$  will be closed to left (right) if it is returned at least one L (R).

If for each  $g_i$  in each  $f = f_i^{(s)}$  it is returned  $\{\}$  then the choice of user given in the list contours is taken.

If for some  $g_i$  it is returned R and for some  $g_j$  it is returned L then MBIntToSum prints the error message:

## Unable to find correct contour for $z_C$ .

and returns {}. Otherwise we compute the sums as described above. We repeat the whole procedure for each integration variable. We stress that this procedure as described above does not always give convergent sums.

There are MB-integrals for which no convergent sums can be found. One such example is the following MB-integral:

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz \Gamma^2 (1+z) \Gamma^2 (1-z).$$
 (17)

Here we can apply the first Barnes lemma [3] and obtain  $B_1 = 1/6$ , but the reader can check that indeed the infinite series of residues diverge both for Re z > 0 and Re z < 0.

Consider the following integral (see also [3]):

$$B_x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz x^z \Gamma^2(1+z) \Gamma^2(1-z), \ x > 0 \land x \neq 1.$$
 (18)

Closing the contour to the right (Re z > 0) gives the following series

$$s_R = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^n (2 + n \ln(x)), \tag{19}$$

convergent for 0 < x < 1, while closing the contour to the left (Re z < 0) gives the following series

$$s_L = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx^{-n}(2 - n\ln(x)), \tag{20}$$

convergent for x > 1. Both  $s_L$  and  $s_R$  give the same formula after summing up, that is

$$s_{LR} = \frac{x(2 - 2x + (1 + x)\ln(x))}{(x - 1)^3}, \ x > 0 \land x \neq 1,$$
 (21)

so  $B_x = s_{LR}$  and  $\lim_{x\to 1} B_x = B_1$ .

#### 5. Simplification of sums

We also provide function SimplifyMBsums[sums] that takes each MBsum[] in the list sums in the form (9) and simplifies it. The output of SimplifyMBsums is of the form (9). If MBsum[f,c,1] is the sum to be simplified then SimplifyMBsums uses the Mathematica function Reduce to simplify the conditions c. If Reduce returns — after applying Mathematica function LogicalExpand to c - for c an answer in the form  $c_1||c_2||...||c_R$ , then we decompose MBsum[f,c,1] into

```
\{MBsum[f,c_1,1],MBsum[f,c_2,1],...,MBsum[f,c_R,1]\}
```

. If some  $MBsum[f,c_i,1]$  contains conditions  $c_i$  like

$$n1 == C[1] \&\& n2 == C[1] + C[2]$$

where n1, n2 are summation indices in MBsum[f,c\_i,1], then we make the substitutions n1 -> C[1] and n2 -> C[1] + C[2] in f and update c\_i and l in MBsum[f,c\_i,1]; C[1] and C[2] are new summation indices. We assume that C[] are integers. Next, we unify the names of indices. Finally, we combine sums having the same conditions. It can happen e.g. that we obtain 4-dimensional sums from a 3-dimensional sum after applying SimplifyMBsums. To illustrate this, let us consider the following example. Let c be

```
c = n3 >= 0 && n2 >= 1 && n1 >= 0 &&
n2 >= 1 + n3 && 1 + n1 <= n2
```

then

Reduce[c, {n1, n2, n3}, Integers]

gives

```
Element[C[1] | C[2] | C[3] | C[4], Integers] && C[1] >= 0 && C[2] >= 0 && C[3] >= 0 && C[4] && C[4]
```

In addition we provide function MergeMBsums [sums] that only combines sums in the list sums (in the form (9)) having the same conditions.

#### 6. Examples

In all the examples we will proceed as follows. We start with Feynman integral I in  $d=4-2\epsilon$  dimensions. First, the MB-representation for I is obtained using the Mathematica package AMBRE v.1.2 [11, 12]. Next the analytical continuation  $\epsilon \to 0$  as well as the expansion of the integrals around  $\epsilon = 0$  is obtained using the Mathematica package MB v.1.2 [9, 8]. In some examples, we also used the Mathematica package barnesroutines v.1.1.1 by David A. Kosower [8] in order to reduce the dimensionality of the MB-integrals.

## 6.1. Massive QED on-shell one-loop box diagram

The first example is the massive QED one-loop box diagram with two photons in the t-channel; see the example file 11box.nb [2]. The input integral is, with kinematics  $p_i^2 = m^2$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and  $p_1 + p_2 = -p_3 - p_4$ :

$$I = e^{\gamma_E \epsilon} \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - m^2)(k_1 + p_1)^2 (k_1 - p_3)^2 [(k_1 + p_1 + p_2)^2 - m^2]}.$$
(22)

Using AMBRE one obtains the following MB-representation for finite  $\epsilon$ :

$$I = e^{\gamma_E \epsilon} \frac{(-t)^{-2-\epsilon}}{(2\pi i)^2 \Gamma(-2\epsilon)} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz_1 \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dz_2 ((-x)^{z_1} y^{z_2} \frac{\Gamma^2(1+z_2)\Gamma(-z_2)}{\Gamma(2+2z_2)} \times \Gamma(-z_1)\Gamma^2(-1-\epsilon-z_1-z_2)\Gamma(2+\epsilon+z_1+z_2)\Gamma(2+2z_1+2z_2))$$
(23)

with  $x=m^2/t$ , y=s/t,  $(p_1+p_2)^2=s$ ,  $(p_1+p_3)^2=t$ . The MB-representation (23) is the same, up to irrelevant factors, as that in [21]. See also the sums there, compare also with the MB-representation in example3.nb [14], described in [11]. Next one performs the analytical continuation of (23) for  $\epsilon \to 0$  and the expansion of the resulting integrals around  $\epsilon = 0$  up to  $\epsilon^1$  using MB:

```
Log[y] + PolyGamma[0, 1 + z1]) +

12*eps^2*PolyGamma[0, -2*z1]*(EulerGamma - Log[y] -

2*PolyGamma[0, -z1] + PolyGamma[0, 1 + z1]) -

12*eps^2*PolyGamma[1, -2*z1] +

6*eps^2*PolyGamma[1, -z1] +

3*eps^2*PolyGamma[1, 1 + z1]))/

(6*eps*t^2*Gamma[-2*z1]), {{eps -> 0}, {z1 -> -1/2}}],

MBint[(-2*eps*(-x)^z1*y^z2*Gamma[-z1]*

Gamma[-1 - z1 - z2]^2*Gamma[-z2]*Gamma[1 + z2]^2*

Gamma[2*(1 + z1 + z2)]*Gamma[2 + z1 + z2])/

(t^2*Gamma[2 + 2*z2]), {{eps -> 0},

{z1 -> -1/2, z2 -> -1/4}}]}
```

The expression agrees numerically with the MB-representations in Eq. (4.25) of [22] and in Eq. (4.67) of [23] after analytical continuation and expansion in  $\epsilon$  by MB.

We would now like to reproduce the representation by sums of the MB-integrals above. We aim at sums to be convergent at

$$Lk = \{x \rightarrow -1/10, y \rightarrow 1/50, t \rightarrow -10\}.$$
 (24)

We start with the first, one-dimensional integral on the list, which we denote by dimlint. Then

```
dim1sum = MBIntToSum[dim1int, Lk, {z1 -> L}]
```

gives

```
{MBsum[-(y^n1*n1!^2*(-4 + 3*eps^2*Pi^2 + 2*eps^2*HarmonicNumber[n1]^2 - 2*eps^2*HarmonicNumber[n1, 2] + 4*eps*Log[-t] - 2*eps^2*Log[-t]^2 - 4*eps^2*HarmonicNumber[n1]*

Log[-x] + 2*eps^2*Log[-x]^2))/(4*(-1)^n1*eps*t^2*
(-x)^n1*x*(1 + 2*n1)!), n1 >= 0, {n1}}}
```

together with the message how the contour was closed:

$$z1->L$$
 ( Re  $z1 < -1/2$  )

. Here, the original choice how to close the contour is unchanged. The user can check that the same result can be obtained with dim1sum = MBIntToSum[dim1int, {}, {z1 -> L}]. One can now check the result numerically:

```
DoAllMBSums[dim1sum, 50, Lk] // N
```

gives

```
0.222878 - 0.0967945/eps + 0.709316 eps
in agreement with
\{0.222878 - 0.0967945/eps + 0.709316 eps, 0.\}
from MBintegrate[{dim1int}, Lk]//N.
   Next, we do the two-dimensional integral on the list, which we denote
by dim2int. Then
dim2sum = MBIntToSum[dim2int, Lk, {z1 -> L, z2 -> L}]
gives
{MBsum[-(eps*(-x)^(n1 - n2)*y^n2*(-1 + 2*n1)!*n2!*}
     (Pi^2 + 6*HarmonicNumber[n1]*HarmonicNumber[
        -1 + n1 - n2] - 12*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1]*
       HarmonicNumber[-1 + n1 - n2] +
      3*HarmonicNumber[-1 + n1 - n2]^2 +
      6*HarmonicNumber[n1]*HarmonicNumber[n2] -
      12*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1]*HarmonicNumber[n2] -
      3*HarmonicNumber[n2]^2 - 12*HarmonicNumber[n1]*
       HarmonicNumber[1 + 2*n2] +
      24*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1]*HarmonicNumber[
        1 + 2*n2] + 12*HarmonicNumber[n2]*
       HarmonicNumber[1 + 2*n2] -
      12*HarmonicNumber[1 + 2*n2]^2 +
      3*HarmonicNumber[-1 + n1 - n2, 2] +
      3*HarmonicNumber[n2, 2] - 12*HarmonicNumber[1 + 2*n2,
        2] - 6*HarmonicNumber[n1]*Log[-x] +
      12*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1]*Log[-x] -
      6*HarmonicNumber[-1 + n1 - n2]*Log[-x] +
      3*Log[-x]^2 + 6*HarmonicNumber[n1]*Log[y] -
      12*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1]*Log[y] -
      6*HarmonicNumber[n2]*Log[y] +
      12*HarmonicNumber[1 + 2*n2]*Log[y] - 3*Log[y]^2))/
   (3*(-1)^{(3*n1)}*t^2*x*n1!*(-1 + n1 - n2)!*(1 + 2*n2)!),
  2*n1 >= 1 \&\& n2 >= 0 \&\& n1 >= 1 + n2, \{n1, n2\}],
 MBsum[(2*(-1)^(-2*n1 - n2)*eps*(-x)^(n1 - n2)*y^n2*
    (-1 + 2*n1)!*n2!*(-n1 + n2)!*(HarmonicNumber[n1] -
     2*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n1] + HarmonicNumber[
      -n1 + n2] - Log[-x]))/(t<sup>2</sup>*x*n1!*(1 + 2*n2)!),
  2*n1 >= 1 \&\& n2 >= 0 \&\& n1 <= n2, {n1, n2}],
 MBsum[(eps*(-x)^n1*y^(-1 - n1 + n2)*(-1 - n1 + n2)!*
```

```
(-1 + 2*n2)!*(Pi^2 + HarmonicNumber[n2]^2 -
     2*HarmonicNumber[n2]*HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2] +
     HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2]^2 - 4*HarmonicNumber[n2]*
      HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n2] +
     4*HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2]*HarmonicNumber[
       -1 + 2*n2] + 4*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n2]^2 +
     4*HarmonicNumber[n2]*HarmonicNumber[
       -1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2] - 4*HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2]*
      HarmonicNumber[-1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2] -
     8*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n2]*HarmonicNumber[
       -1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2] +
     4*HarmonicNumber[-1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2]^2 +
     HarmonicNumber[n2, 2] - HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2,
      2] - 4*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n2, 2] +
     4*HarmonicNumber[-1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2, 2] -
     2*HarmonicNumber[n2]*Log[y] +
     2*HarmonicNumber[-1 - n1 + n2]*Log[y] +
     4*HarmonicNumber[-1 + 2*n2]*Log[y] -
     4*HarmonicNumber[-1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2]*Log[y] + Log[y]^2))/
   ((-1)^{(3*n2)}*t^2*n1!*n2!*(-1 - 2*n1 + 2*n2)!),
  n1 >= 0 \&\& 2*n2 >= 1 \&\& 1 + n1 <= n2, \{n1, n2\}]
together with the message how the contour was closed:
z1->R ( Re z1 > -1/2 )
z2->R ( Re z2 > -1/4 )
Here the original choice how to close the contours was changed. We can
now check the result numerically:
```

DoAllMBSums[dim2sum, 50, Lk] // N

```
gives
-0.0917188 eps
in agreement with
{-0.0917189 eps, {8.79914*10^-6 eps, 0}}
from MBintegrate[{dim2int}, Lk]//N.
    Further, we give examples of two potential errors. The
dim2sum = MBIntToSum[dim2int, Lk, {z2 -> L, z1 -> L}]
prints
```

z2->R ( Re z2 > -1/4 )

Unable to found correct contour for z1.

and returns {}, while

 $dim2sum = MBIntToSum[dim2int, {t \rightarrow -10}, {z1 \rightarrow L, z2 \rightarrow L}]$ 

prints

Found c = -x (not a number): please complete kinematic's list. Unable to find correct contour for z1.

and returns {}.

## 6.2. Massive on-shell planar double box

The next example is the massive on-shell planar double box diagram; see the example file 21box.nb at [2]. The integral was studied in [24]. Our kinematics is  $p_i^2 = m^2$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, p_1 + p_2 = -p_3 - p_4$ :

$$I = e^{2\gamma_E \epsilon} \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{d^d k_2}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{(k_1^2 - m^2)(k_1 + p_1)^2 [(k_1 + p_1 + p_2)^2 - m^2]} \times \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 (k_2^2 - m^2) [(k_2 + p_1 + p_2)^2 - m^2] (k_1 - p_3)^2}.$$
 (25)

The MB-representation of (25) agrees with [24]. Further, we will use the MB-representation (25) in example7.nb from [14] in variable fin without the  $e^{2\gamma_E\epsilon}$  factor, which will be added later. Next the analytical continuation of fin in  $\epsilon \to 0$  as well as the expansion of the resulting integrals around  $\epsilon = 0$  up to  $\epsilon^{-2}$  is obtained with MB v.1.2.:

int1 = 
$$MBint[((-x)^(z1 + z6)*Gamma[-z1]^3*Gamma[1 + z1]*$$
  
 $Gamma[-z6]^3*Gamma[1 + z6])/(2*eps^2*s^2*t*Gamma[-2*z1]*$   
 $Gamma[-2*z6]), \{\{eps -> 0\}, \{z1 -> -1/2, z6 -> -1/2\}\}]$ 

with  $x = m^2/s$ ,  $(p_1 + p_2)^2 = s$ ,  $(p_1 + p_3)^2 = t$ . We now produce sums from the MB-integral above, that are convergent at

$$Lk = \{s \rightarrow -1/5, x \rightarrow -5, t \rightarrow -1/10\}.$$
 (26)

Then

$$s1 = MBIntToSum[int1, Lk, {z1 \rightarrow R, z6 \rightarrow R}]$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\{ \text{MBsum} [((-1)^{(-n1 - n2)*(-x)^{(-n1 - n2)*n1!^2*n2!^2)} / \\ &(2*\text{eps}^2*\text{s}^2*\text{t}*\text{x}^2*(1 + 2*\text{n1})!*(1 + 2*\text{n2})!), \\ &\text{n1} >= 0 \&\& n2 >= 0, \{\text{n1}, n2\} ] \end{aligned}$$

together with the message how the contour was closed (the original choice is unchanged):

$$z1->L$$
 ( Re  $z1 < -1/2$  )  $z6->L$  ( Re  $z6 < -1/2$  )

The numerical check:

gives

 $-4.68459/eps^2$ 

in agreement with

from MBintegrate [{int1}, Lk] and with the analytical result in [24].

The two-dimensional sum in s1 can be written as a squared onedimensional and can be summed-up with Mathematica 9.0:

$$(8 ArcSin[1/(2 Sqrt[x])]^2)/(eps^2 s^2 t (-1 + 4 x))$$

in numerical agreement with the above.

#### 6.3. Massless on-shell planar double box

Next we consider the on-shell massless planar double box (25) with m = 0; see [6]. Our kinematics is  $p_i^2 = 0$  for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 and  $p_1 + p_2 = -(p_3 + p_4)$ :

$$I = e^{2\gamma_E \epsilon} \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{d^d k_2}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{k_1^2 (k_1 + p_1)^2 (k_1 + p_1 + p_2)^2} \times \frac{1}{(k_1 - k_2)^2 k_2^2 (k_2 + p_1 + p_2)^2 (k_1 - p_3)^2} = \frac{K(x, \epsilon)}{(-s)^{2+2\epsilon} (-t)},$$
(27)

where x = t/s,  $(p_1 + p_2)^2 = s$ ,  $(p_1 + p_3)^2 = t$ ; see the example file 21box-m0.nb at [2]. The MB-representation for (27) is obtained using AMBRE v.1.2, based on the derivation of the MB-representation in example7.nb (available at [14]). From the four-dimensional MB-representation stored in

variable fin we removed the  $1/(-s)^{2+2\epsilon}(-t)$  factor and obtained  $K(x,\epsilon)$ , stored in variable Kfin. Compare this with the five-dimensional MB-representation for  $K(x,\epsilon)$  in [6]. Next, the analytical continuation of Kfin at  $\epsilon \to 0$  and the expansion of the resulting integrals around  $\epsilon = 0$  up to  $\epsilon^0$  are obtained with MB v.1.2. Finally, with barnesroutines v.1.1.1 [8] one may reduce the dimensionality of the MB-integrals. One obtains several one-dimensional MB-integrals. Next, these MB-integrals are transformed into one-dimensional sums. We checked both the MB-integrals and sums to be in good numerical agreement at x = 1/15 with the analytic result for  $K(x,\epsilon)$  in [6]. There were no sums (because zero-dimensional MB-integrals) to be done for the  $\epsilon^{-2}$ -term, and we obtained the analytic result already. The sums for the  $\epsilon^{-1}$ -term and the  $\epsilon^0$ -term could be done with advanced tools by J. Blümlein and C. Schneider. They agree analytically with  $K(x,\epsilon)$  in [6].

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank J. Blümlein, I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza and C. Schneider for numerous helpful discussions. The research project is partly supported by the Polish National Science Centre (NCN) under the Grant Agreement No. DEC-2013/11/B/ST2/0402.

# REFERENCES

- [1] S. Borowka, "Calculation of multi-scale, multi-loop integrals with SecDec 3", contribution to this conference, http://indico.if.us.edu.pl/materialDisplay.py?contribId=15& sessionId=1&materialId=slides&confId=2.
- [2] J. Gluza, "Mellin-Barnes representations of Feynman integrals: a construction and solutions", contribution to this conference, http://indico.if.us.edu.pl/getFile.py/access?contribId=12& sessionId=1&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=2.
- [3] E. W. Barnes, A new development of the theory of the hypergeometric functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 6 (1908) 141–177. doi:10.1112/plms/s2-6.1.141.
- [4] N. Usyukina, On a Representation for Three Point Function, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 22 (1975) 300–306. doi:10.1007/BF01037795.
- [5] E. Boos, A. I. Davydychev, A Method of evaluating massive Feynman integrals, Theor.Math.Phys. 89 (1991) 1052–1063. doi:10.1007/BF01016805.
- [6] V. A. Smirnov, Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massless on shell double box, Phys. Lett. B460 (1999) 397-404. arXiv:hep-ph/9905323, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00777-7.

- [7] J. Tausk, Nonplanar massless two loop Feynman diagrams with four onshell legs, Phys. Lett. B469 (1999) 225-234. arXiv:hep-ph/9909506, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01277-0.
- [8] M. Czakon, I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, K. Kajda, D. Kosower, T. Riemann, A. Smirnov, V. Smirnov, MB Tools webpage, http://projects.hepforge.org/mbtools/.
- [9] M. Czakon, Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 175 (2006) 559-571. arXiv:hep-ph/0511200, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2006.07.002.
- [10] A. Smirnov, V. Smirnov, On the Resolution of Singularities of Multiple Mellin-Barnes Integrals, Eur. Phys. J. C62 (2009) 445-449. arXiv:0901.0386, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1039-6.
- [11] J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann, AMBRE a Mathematica package for the construction of Mellin-Barnes representations for Feynman integrals, Comput. Phys. Commun. 177 (2007) 879-893. arXiv:0704.2423, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2007.07.001.
- [12] J. Gluza, K. Kajda, T. Riemann, V. Yundin, Numerical Evaluation of Tensor Feynman Integrals in Euclidean Kinematics, Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1516. arXiv:1010.1667, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1516-y.
- [13] I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, T. Riemann, Non-planar Feynman diagrams and Mellin-Barnes representations with AMBRE v.3.0, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 608 (1) (2015) 012070. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/608/1/012070.
- [14] Silesian Univ., Katowice, webpage http://prac.us.edu.pl/~gluza/ambre.
- [15] C. Schneider et al., RISC combinatorics group, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, J. Kepler University, Linz, https://www.risc.jku.at/people/cschneid/.
- [16] S. Moch, P. Uwer, XSummer: Transcendental functions and symbolic summation in FORM, Comput. Phys. Commun. 174 (2006) 759-770. arXiv:math-ph/0508008, doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2005.12.014.
- [17] J. Gluza and T. Riemann, "Simple Feynman diagrams and simple sums", talk held at the RISC-DESY Workshop on Advanced Summation Techniques and their Applications in Quantum Field Theory, on the occasion of the 5th year jubilee of the RISC-DESY cooperation, May 7-8, 2012, RISC Institute, Castle of Hagenberg, Linz, Austria. Conference link: http://www.risc.jku.at/conferences/RISCDESY12/, talk link: http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/~riemann/Talks/riemann-Linz-2012-05.pdf.
- [18] J. Blümlein, I. Dubovyk, J. Gluza, M. Ochman, C. G. Raab, T. Riemann, C. Schneider, Non-planar Feynman integrals, Mellin-Barnes representations, multiple sums, PoS LL2014 (2014) 052. arXiv:1407.7832.
- [19] M. Ochman, Mathematica package MBsums v1.0 (26 Sep 2015), available at [14].
- [20] The CPC non-profit use licence agreement of the Computer Physics Communications Program Library (7 Oct 2015), http://cpc.cs.qub.ac.uk/licence/licence.html.

- [21] J. Gluza and T. Riemann, Simple Feynman diagrams and simple sums, Talk held at RISC DESY Workshop on Advanced Summation Techniques and their Applications in Quantum Field Theory on the occasion of the 5th year jubilee of the RISC-DESY cooperation, May 7-8, 2012, RISC Institute, Castle of Hagenberg, Linz, Austria. Conference link: http://www.risc.jku.at/conferences/RISCDESY12/, talk link: http://www-zeuthen.desy.de/~riemann/Talks/riemann-Linz-2012-05.pdf.
- [22] V. Smirnov, "Evaluating Feynman Integrals" (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004).
- [23] J. Fleischer, J. Gluza, A. Lorca, T. Riemann, First order radiative corrections to Bhabha scattering in d dimensions, Eur. J. Phys. C48 (2006) 35–52. arXiv:hep-ph/0606210, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-006-0008-6.
- [24] V. Smirnov, Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massive on- shell planar double box, Phys. Lett. B524 (2002) 129–136. arXiv:hep-ph/0111160.