

DESY SR-82-09
June 1982

Eigentum der Property of	DESY	Bibliothek library
Zugang: Accessions:	1. JULI 1982	
Leihfrist: Loan period:	7	Tage days

SURFACE BINDING ENERGY SHIFTS FOR SODIUM, MAGNESIUM AND ALUMINIUM METALS

by

R. Kammerer, J. Barth, F. Gerken, C. Kunz

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg

S.A. Flodström, L.I. Johansson

Department of Physics and Measurement Technology, Linköping University

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX ,
send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

DESY
Bibliothek
Notkestrasse 85
2 Hamburg 52
Germany

DESY SR-82-09
June 1982

Surface Binding Energy Shifts for Sodium, Magnesium and Aluminium Metals

R. Kammerer, J. Barth, F. Gerken and C. Kunz

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg

D-2000 Hamburg 50, West Germany

and

S.A. Flodström and L.L. Johansson

Department of Physics and Measurement Technology, Linköping University

S-58183 Linköping, Sweden

Abstract

The core level binding energy shifts for surface atoms relative to bulk atoms ("surface shifts") have been measured for the 2p levels of Na (220 meV) and Mg (140 meV) using synchrotron radiation excited photoelectron spectroscopy. For Al, both a surface shift and a broadening are considered. The sign and magnitude of these shifts are compared with calculated values based on surface energies. The mean free path of the photoelectrons is determined by evaluation of the intensity ratio $I_{Bulk}^{(2p)}/I_{Surface}^{(2p)}$.

Recent photoelectron spectroscopy measurements have demonstrated that the core level binding energies of surface atoms differ from those of bulk atoms¹⁻³. These surface shifts have been used to distinguish between different reconstruction models of metal and semiconductor surfaces and to study surface segregation in alloys⁴. Only for the 5d transition metals and the lanthanide series, however, enough experimental data have been reported to systematically compare calculated and measured surface shifts.


In a thermodynamic model, the surface shift ΔE_c can be expressed as^{5,6}

$$\Delta E_c \approx E_s(Z+1) - E_s(Z) \quad (1)$$

with $E_s(Z)$ the surface energy of the element with mass number Z. Using this model and surface energies either estimated from bulk cohesive energies⁵ or from tight binding calculations of the surfaces^{6,7}, the surface shifts have been obtained for the 5d transition metals. A comparison between experimental and calculated shifts has been encouraging; the trend (a change of sign in the middle of the 5d series) has been found in experiments, the magnitude compares reasonably well and the predicted difference in surface shifts of the different crystal faces of the same metal has been found.⁶ The thermodynamic model appears to be a promising theory and gives reason to expect surface shifts on free electron like metals as well. Therefore it is challenging to search for them.

The present letter reports measurements on the 2p levels of Na, Mg and Al. We studied evaporated films and single crystals (Mg(0001), Al(100) and Al(111)). Two aspects of the surface shifts are treated: First, we correlate sign and value of the observed surface shift with calculated values using relation (1) and surface energies obtained from theoretical calculations^{8,9} or from other types of experiments¹⁰⁻¹². Second, we estimate

submitted to Phys. Rev. Lett.



the mean free path of the photoelectrons from the bulk-to-surface intensity ratio of the 2p peaks (I_B/I_S).

The experiments were performed at the Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor (HASYLAB) using synchrotron radiation of the storage ring DORIS. Monochromatic radiation from the FLIPPER monochromator was used in the energy range of 36 - 200 eV and the photoelectrons were analysed in a commercial CMA. The overall instrumental resolution was 0.09 ... 0.19 eV in all data presented. The films were evaporated onto stainless steel (Mg,Al) or OFHC-copper (Na) substrates under UHV conditions and spectra recorded at 300 and 100K. The single crystals were polished in a standard way, "sputter"-cleaned and annealed. All data on single crystals were recorded at 300K.

Fig. 1 shows spectra of the Na 2p level at several excitation energies. To fit the experimental spectra, a procedure shown in the 100eV-spectrum of Fig. 1 was used: We assumed two sets of peaks, $2p_{3/2,1/2}$ (bulk) and $2p_{3/2,1/2}$ (surface) with the spin-orbit intensity ratio fixed to 2:1. According to the theory of free electron like metals we used Doniach-Sunjić lineshapes convoluted with a Gaussian of temperature-dependent width to consider phonon broadening¹³. Parameters of the bulk doublet were taken from XPS-measurements^{13,14} which are not surface sensitive. The sum of the doublets was then convoluted with a Gaussian to take the instrumental resolution into account. Thus the intensity ratio I_B/I_S , the surface shift $E_c(\text{bulk}) - E_c(\text{surface})$, the width and asymmetry of the surface doublet were used as parameters. It was necessary to use a greater width for the surface doublet in order to fit the observed spectra. This may be caused by random orientation of crystallites at the surface of the evaporated film or by extrinsic broadening caused by

surface roughness. The Na data are confirmed by evaluation of the 2s peak. It can be fitted using the parameters determined from the 2p-spectra with a width corresponding to XPS data. Compatible results have been obtained using yield spectroscopy at the edge as in refs. 1,15. Since our experimental set-up permits more accurate evaluation of energy distribution curves (EDCs), we will focus on these results. The experimental data are compiled in Table I.

In order to discuss the surface shifts using relation (1) we need the surface energies of Na, Mg, Al and Si. Surface energies have been calculated in the density functional formalism applied to the inhomogeneous electron gas. By including pseudopotentials of the metal ions and the classical electrostatic cleavage energy into the model and improving the numerical calculation of the exchange energy, good agreement with experiments for Na, Mg and Al was achieved^{8,9}. The experimental surface energies for metals have usually been obtained at the melting point¹⁰. Only few experiments report on data measured at low temperatures¹¹. In Table I surface energies derived in several different ways are shown together with expected surface shifts for Na, Mg and Al which we calculated according to relation (1).

Spectra of Na (Fig. 1) and Mg (Fig. 2) clearly show the presence of an energy-shifted surface doublet. On the other hand, the surface sensitive (100 and 120 eV) spectra of Al(100) (Fig. 3) just show a broadening of ambiguous origin. This was interpreted by Eberhardt et al.¹⁵ to be a broadening due to crystal-field splitting. Very recently, Chiang and Eastman¹ reported a surface shift of -57 meV also measured on an Al(100) face in yield spectroscopy. A theoretical study¹⁶ resulted in both a splitting and a surface shift of -120 meV for the top layer atoms. We are able to fit the experimental curve by adding a surface doublet shifted by -120 meV, but we can also reproduce the experimental result using just one doublet which is allowed to broaden as measurements

become surface sensitive. Experimental uncertainty prevents reliable judgement from the expected shift of the curves' center of gravity. Therefore our data on Al (100) do not enable us to resolve this discussion beyond doubt.

Measurements on the Al(111) face, however, show no surface sensitivity at all. All spectra can be fitted using just one doublet with constant FWHM (Fig. 3). Since the theoretical value of the surface energy of Al(111) is about 130 meV/atom smaller than the (100)-value⁸, an extremely small or vanishing surface shift is expected from the theoretical model. If the reader follows the contention that a broadening mechanism existing only on the (100) (and maybe on the (110)) face is unlikely, in lineshapes of the 2p levels appear to be given by a superposition of a bulk and a surface doublet.

The intensity ratio I_B/I_S has so far been used to estimate the number of surface atoms versus bulk atoms for reconstructed surfaces. On the other hand, by comparing the I_B/I_S ratios for different kinetic energies of the photoelectrons we will be able to express the mean free path in units of atomic layers as a function of electron kinetic energy². This technique is demonstrated on Na. It has the largest surface shift which makes the determination of I_B/I_S more accurate. Fig. 4 shows the mean free path versus kinetic energy over a wide energy range. The minimum is clearly discernible at 20 to 40 eV. For higher kinetic energies our results can be compared with the calculation by Penn¹⁷ who used a free electron gas model. Calculations of the mean free path for low kinetic energies are few and disagreeing. Present results may stimulate new work.

In summarizing our results, we have for the first time clearly observed the

existence of surface shifts on free electron like metals, namely Na and Mg. The shifts can be successfully correlated with surface energy data by the Johansson/Martensson theory⁵. The surface shift of Al(111) is practically zero, while the case of Al(100) appears to be more involved. There is some indication, however, that the theoretical concept also holds for Al.

Acknowledgement

This work was in part supported by NFR (Sweden). We thank B. Blume for providing a pleasant atmosphere during the measurements.

REFERENCES

- 1 T.-C. Chiang and D.E. Eastman, Phys.Rev. B 23, 6836 (1981) and refs. cited therein.
- 2 R. Kammerer et al, Solid State Comm. 41, 435 (1982)
- 3 F. Gerken et al, contributed to ECOS IV (Münster, Sept. 1981), Surf.Sci. in print
- 4 L. Johansson et al, Solid State Comm. 41, 427 (1982)
- 5 B. Johansson and N. Mårtensson, Phys.Rev. B 21, 4427 (1980)
- 6 A. Rosengren and B. Johansson, Phys.Rev. B 22, 3706 (1980)
- 7 M.C. Desjonqueres and F. Cyrot-Lackman, Surf.Sci. 50, 257 (1975)
- 8 N.D. Lang and W. Kohn, Phys.Rev. B 1, 4555 (1970)
- 9 J.H. Rose, H.B. Shore, D. Geldart and M. Rasolt, Solid State Comm. 19, 619 (1976)
- 10 J. Bodanski and H.E.J. Shins, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 30, 2331 (1968)
- 11 H. Wawra, Z. Metallkunde 66, 395 and 492 (1975)
- 12 W. Tyson and N. Miller, Surf.Sci. 62, 267 (1977)
- 13 P.H. Citrin, J.K. Wertheim and Y. Baer, Phys.Rev. B 16, 4256 (1979)
- 14 From the entirety of all experimental data we found a spin-orbit splitting of 140 ± 23 meV for bulk and surface doublets instead of the free ion value used in ref. 13.
- 15 W. Eberhardt, G. Kalkoffen and C. Kunz, Solid State Comm. 32, 901 (1979)
- 16 E. Wimmer, M. Weinert, A.J. Freeman and H. Krakauer, Phys.Rev. B 24, 2292 (1981)
- 17 D.R. Penn, Phys.Rev. B 13, 5248 (1976)

Table I: Core level binding energies E_c (eV), surface shifts ΔE_c (meV), width (meV), theoretical and experimental surface energies E_s (meV/atom) for Na, Mg and Al. Uncertainty of our experimental results is $< 10\%$ and ± 0.05 eV for E_c . The evaporated Al film yields the same results as the (100) face. The (100) spectra can be fitted either assuming a surface shift or a surface sensitive broadening. Relation (1) has been used to calculate surface shifts. The surface energy of Si has not been calculated.

	E_c (exp)	FWHM Bulk	FWHM Surface	ΔE_c (exp)	ΔE_c (calc)	E_s (theory)	E_s (exp) (refs 1,2)	E_s (exp) (ref.12)
Na	30.60	24	90	220	199	205	215	184
Mg	49.60	68	100	140	105	404	401	383
Al(100) splitting	72.71	76	110	(-120)	(-106)	505		
Al(100) broadening	72.76	176	---	---			518	481
Al(111)	72.71	83	---	---	(3)	372		
Si								375

Figure captions:

Fig. 1: Spectra of the Na 2p level taken at 100K and several photon energies to illustrate the energy-dependent prominence of a surface doublet. The fit-procedure as explained in the text is shown at the 100eV-spectrum (see ref. 14). For clarity, the convolution regarding instrumental resolution has not yet been carried out.

Fig. 2: Spectra of Mg at 100K show the surface doublet but not as clearly as in Na. This is due to the reduced surface shift and the larger spin-orbit splitting.

Fig. 3: Spectra of Al 2p taken from different crystal faces. In the surface-sensitive spectra at 100 and 120 eV, the (100)-spectrum (solid) is broadened as compared with the (111)-spectrum (dashed-dotted).

Fig. 4: The mean free path (λ) of the photoelectrons is determined from the intensity ratio of the bulk- and surface doublets (x) and expressed in units of the distance between layers. To compare with theory, we assumed a bcc (110) packing resulting in an $a = 3\lambda$.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Fig. 4

