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#### Abstract

Using the ARGUS detector at DORIS II, we have studied the production of the charmed baryon $\Lambda_{C}$ in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$annihilation at centre-of-mass energies near 10 GeV . The $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$was seen in the three decay modes $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}, \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\overline{\mathbf{K}}^{0} \mathbf{p}$, with products of normalized cross section times branching ratio $[R \cdot \mathrm{Br}]$ of $\left.(10.8 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-3}, 6.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9\right) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(6.7 \pm 1.4 \pm$ $0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ respectively. The measured mass for the $\Lambda_{C}$ was $(2283.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.0) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. A limit on the decay rates to $\Lambda \pi^{+}$is reported. The fragmentation function of the $\Lambda_{C}$ was measured.


The charmed baryon $\Lambda_{C}$ was first observed in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ annihilation by Mark II [1] at SPEAR and more recently by CLEO [2] at CESR; here we report a study with the ARGUS detector at the $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$storage ring DORIS II at DESY. The $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$, produced at centre-ofmass energies around 10 GeV , was observed in the decay modes $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}, \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}{ }^{\# 1}$. The $\mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}$channel was used to study the fragmentation of charm quarks into charmed baryons, to allow comparison with previous measurements [3] of hadronization into charmed mesons. Limits on the rates of $\Lambda_{C}$ production in direct $\Gamma(1 S)$ and $\Upsilon(2 S)$ decays are reported, which provide information on charm production in gluon jets.
The data for this study correspond to an integrated luminosity of $219 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$, obtained on the $\mathrm{r}(1 \mathrm{~S})$, $r(2 S)$ and $r(4 S)$ resonances, and in the nearby continuum. The ARGUS detector is a solenoidal magnetic spectrometer with good momentum resolution and particle identification capabilities. The main components of the detector are a vertex detector, a main drift chamber which measures both the spatial position of tracks and their specific ionization, time-of-flight counters, a lead-scintillator electromagnetic

[^0]calorimeter, and a muon detection system using the magnet coil and yoke as a hadron filter. A more detailed description of the ARGUS detector is given in ref. [4]. This analysis relied mostly on information from the vertex and main drift chambers and the time-of-flight system.

Multihadron events were selected by requiring events to have at least three tracks, either originating from a common vertex, or accompanied by an energy deposition of at least 1.7 GeV in the shower counters. Only those tracks with a polar angle, $\theta$, such that $|\cos \theta|<0.91$, and with a momentum transverse to the beam direction of greater than $60 \mathrm{MeV} / c$ were chosen. Charged particle identification was made on the basis of measurements of specific ionization in the drift chamber, and of time-of-flight. For this analysis, only those particle hypotheses were accepted for which the likelihood ratio constructed from this information exceeded $5 \%$ for pions, and $15 \%$ for kaons or protons [3].

A search was made for the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$in the invariant $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$mass distribution (fig. 1) with the requirement that the scaled momentum, $x_{\mathrm{p}}$, of the $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$ system exceeds 0.5 , where $x_{\mathrm{p}}=P(\mathrm{pK} \pi) / P_{\text {max }}$ and $P_{\max }=\sqrt{E_{\text {beam }}^{2}-M^{2}(\mathrm{pK} \pi)}$. As will be shown below,


Fig. 1. Invariant mass distribution of $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$combination with $x_{\mathrm{p}}>0.5$. The solid line is the best fit using a gaussian for the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$ and a polynomial background.
the charm fragementation process results in a rather hard momentum distribution for the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}$, quite analogous to that for charmed mesons. Hence, the requirement on $x_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a good means of suppressing combinatorial background. Such a cut also removes possible contributions to the production rate from $B$ meson decays, since a sizeable fraction of the data was obtained on the $\mathrm{r}(4 \mathrm{~S})$.

A fit to the resulting mass distribution was made using a gaussian for the signal with a fixed RMS width of $14.0 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, determined from Monte Carlo studies, and a third-order polynomial for the background. The value obtained for the $\Lambda_{C}$ mass was ( $2279.9 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.0$ ) $\mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, with $450 \pm 59$ events in the peak. The systematic error reflects the uncertainty in the calibration of the magnetic field. The momentum scale has been adjusted by requiring the mass of reconstructed $K_{s}^{0}$ mesons to coincide with the nominal value [5], leaving a residual scale error of $\pm 0.2 \%$.

Previously reported values for the mass of the $\Lambda_{C}$ have fallen into two regions. Most recent experiments [ $1,2,6$ ] have found a mass near $2280 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, while earlier results [7,8] were closer to 2260 $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. An exception to this trend was a measurement reported by the BIS-2 Collaboration [9] of $(2268 \pm 6) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, reviving somewhat the controversy surrounding the $\Lambda_{C}$ mass. Our result supports the higher value.

In studies of charmed hadrons, signal contamination by kinematical reflections, due to the fact that particle identification is not always unique, has often proven to be a problem. Of particular concern for the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the decay $\mathrm{D}^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \mathrm{K} \pi^{+}$, which can simulate $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}$if a $\pi^{+}$from $\mathrm{D}^{+}$is misidentified as a proton. To determine the size of this reflection component in the signal, we have studied the invariant $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$mass spectrum for $\pi^{+} \mathrm{K}^{-} \pi^{+}$combinations lying in the $\mathrm{D}^{+}$mass band, where the identification of the $\pi^{+}$was ambiguous. This procedure was found to be consistent with results obtained using Monte Carlo generated $\mathrm{D}^{+}$mesons. In both cases the reflection contamination was found to be less than $5 \%$.

After correcting for acceptance, the product of cross section times branching ratio for this decay channel is found to be $(9.0 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0) \mathrm{pb}$. We have assumed charm production from the resonances to be negligible (see below) and have included resonance electro-
magnetic decay corrections for the luminosity contributed by the $\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S})$ and $\Upsilon(2 S)$ subsamples. Normalizing to the muon-pair cross section at the average centre-of-mass energy of 10.2 GeV , this is equivalent to $R \cdot \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=(10.8 \pm 1.4 \pm$ 1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$. The systematic error includes contributions from the uncertainty of the luminosity measurement, the extrapolation to small $x_{\mathrm{p}}$, and the predicted width of the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}$ peak. Acceptances were calculated using a detector Monte Carlo. Events were generated for the annihilation process $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{c} \overline{\mathrm{c}}$, with the charm quarks fragmenting into baryons by combining quarks with diquarks, according to the Feyn-man-Field model [10]. They were then processed through a detector simulation and reconstructed using the standard analysis program. The form of the $\Lambda_{C}$ momentum distribution from the Monte Carlo was adjusted to match the Peterson [11] fit to the observed spectrum, as discussed below.

Charm quark fragmentation into charmed baryons was examined by observing the signal for $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$in seven intervals in $x_{\mathrm{p}}$. In order to reduce combinatorial background, only pions with momenta greater than $600 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}$ were used. Data collected on the $\mathrm{Y}(4 \mathrm{~S})$ were excluded from this analysis. The number of $\Lambda_{C}$ 's in each interval was determined by fitting the data using a gaussian with a fixed mass and an RMS width determined by the Monte Carlo, plus a polynomial background. Acceptance corrections were applied separately for each bin.

The resulting momentum spectrum is shown in fig. 2 , along with a fit using the expression by Peterson et al. [11] for the fragmentation function:
$s \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} x_{\mathrm{p}}} \sim\left[x_{\mathrm{p}}\left(1-\frac{1}{x_{\mathrm{p}}}-\frac{\epsilon}{1-x_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1}$.
The parameter $\epsilon$ is interpreted, for mesons, as the square of the ratio of the spectator to charm quark mass, $\left(M_{\mathrm{q}} / M_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$. If baryon production is due to the creation of diquark anti-quark pairs from the vacuum, the same form remains valid. However, in this case the diquark is the spectator system, so that $\epsilon$ should be equal to ( $\left.M_{\mathrm{qq}} / M_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$. From a fit to the observed $x_{\mathrm{p}}$ distribution, a value of $0.236_{-0.048}^{+0.068}$ for $\epsilon$ was obtained, with a $\chi^{2}$ of 2.6 for five degrees of freedom (errors are statistical only).
It is interesting to note that the shape of the $\Lambda_{C}$ mo-


Fig. 2. Acceptance corrected $\Lambda_{C}$ fragmentation function with Peterson fit superimposed.
mentum distribution is very similar to that measured for charmed mesons [3]. The reported value from a fit of the Peterson form to the observed $x_{p}$ distribution for D* mesons was $\epsilon=0.19 \pm 0.03$. Presumably, QCD and QED radiative corrections are similar for the $D^{*}$ and the $\Lambda_{C}$. Therefore, if this simple model of baryon production is correct, the diquark must have a mass near that of the $u$ quark in the $D^{*}$.
Previous observations of baryon production $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ annihilations have shown an enhancement of the hyperon yield in direct $r(1 S)$ decays over the yield from the continuum [12]. For comparison, the $\Lambda_{C}$ production rates in direct resonance decays were determined. This was accomplished by measuring the rates in the $r(1 S)$ and $r(2 S)$ data samples, and subtracting the continuum contribution by scaling the observed rate in our continuum sample. Since the momentum spectrum of charmed hadrons from gluon jets would be quite soft, no $x_{\mathrm{p}}$ cut can be made. Instead we required the pion momentum to be greater than $600 \mathrm{MeV} / c$, which leads to a constant acceptance, even at low momentum. The $\Lambda_{C}$ yield per multi-hadron event from direct $\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S})$ and $\mathrm{r}(2 \mathrm{~S})$ decays was found to be less than $15 \%$ and $20 \%$ ( $90 \%$ CL ) of the corresponding continuum rate, respectively. If one assumes that charm quarks are produced in $40 \%$ of the continuum hadronic events, and that the probability of producing a $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$from a charm quark is comparable for $r$ and for continuum events, then one finds that charm is produced in less than 6\% of $\Upsilon(1 S)$ decays. The predicted rate [13] is $3 \%$, based
on cce production from one of the three gluon jets.
For the study of $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$decays into $\Lambda \pi^{+}, \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$, and $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}$ the $\Lambda$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, because of their long lifetime, permit the use of vertex information as a means of reducing backgrounds. $\Lambda$ and $K_{s}^{0}$ candidates were selected from $\mathrm{p} \pi^{+}$and $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$combinations forming secondary vertices. Furthermore, a requirement was made that the momentum vector of the $\Lambda$ or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ coincide with the vector connecting the primary and secondary vertices ( $\chi^{2}<30$ ). Each combination within $\pm 9 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}\left( \pm 18 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}\right)$ of the nominal $\Lambda$ ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ ) mass and with a $\chi^{2}<25$ for a fit to the appropriate mass hypothesis was accepted as a $\Lambda$ ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ ) candidate. A mass constraint fit was then applied to improve the momentum resolution.

The search for the $\Lambda_{C}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\Lambda \pi^{+}$decay channels was made by combining $\Lambda$ candidates with pions from the primary vertex. The requirement was made that $x_{p}$ of the $\Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$or $\Lambda \pi^{+}$system exceeds 0.5 , as was used for the $\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$channel. The resulting invariant mass distribution for $\Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$is shown in fig. 3a. A fit with a gaussian of RMS width fixed to $11.2 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and a third-order polynomial background finds a signal of $105 \pm 24$ events at a mass of ( $2285.5 \pm 3.5 \pm 2.0$ ) $\mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$. Taking into account detector acceptance and the known branching ratio for $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-} \quad(62.4 \%)$, we find $R \cdot \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$) to be ( $6.6 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.9$ ) $\times 10^{-3}$. The ratio $\operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}\right) / \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$, for which many common sources of systematic error cancel, is $0.61 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04$. The value for the product of cross section times branching ratio is consistent with the measurement by CLEO [2].

No signal was seen in the $\Lambda \pi^{+}$mass distribution (fig. 3b). A fit, using a gaussian with mass equal to the mean $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$value reported here and fixed RMS width, yielded an upper limit of 43 events ( $90 \%$ CL) in this channel. This corresponds to an upper limit on $R \cdot \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda^{+}\right)$of $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ or $\operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\Lambda \pi^{+}\right) / \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}\right)<0.16$, both at the $90 \%$ confidence level.
The $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathbf{p}$ decay channel was studied by combining $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}^{0}$ candidates with identified protons from the primary vertex. In addition to requiring $x_{\mathrm{p}}>0.5$, the cosine of the decay angle of the $K_{s}^{0}$ with respect to the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \mathrm{p}$ boost direction in the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ rest frame was required to be greater than -0.8 . This cut eliminates $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ 's from the second charm jet in the event, which



Fig. 3. Invariant mass distribution, with $x_{p}>0.5$, for (a) $\Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and (b) $\Lambda \pi^{+}$combinations. The solid line is the best fit using a gaussian for the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$and a polynomial background.
tend to be travelling backwards in this frame. The mass distribution of $K_{S}^{0} p$, shown in fig. 4, exhibits a distinct peak, which, when fitted using a gaussian with an RMS width of $14.8 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, was found to contain $73 \pm 15$ events centered at a mass of (2287.4 $\pm$ $3.4 \pm 1.5) \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. After corrections for detector acceptance and unseen decay modes of the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, we find $R \cdot \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}\right)$ to be $(6.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$ or $\operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}\right) / \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=0.62 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$. The ratio of branching ratios is in good agreement with the MARK II measurement [1]. There have been several determinations of $\operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.\Lambda \pi^{+}\right) / \operatorname{Br}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}\right)$ in charm production by neutrino interactions [8,14], which yielded an average value of $0.57 \pm 0.35$ for this ratio. Our upper limit of $0.26(90 \% \mathrm{CL})$ suggests a ratio at the low end of this allowed range.


Fig. 4. Invariant mass distribution for $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}$ combinations with $x_{\mathrm{p}}>0.5$ and $\cos \alpha>-0.8$. The solid line is the best fit using a gaussian for the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$and a polynomial background.

In summary, we have observed the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+}$in the $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}^{-} \pi^{+}, \Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \mathrm{p}$ decay channels. The weighted mean mass for the $\Lambda_{C}$ from the three decay modes was $(2283.1 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.0) \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. The branching fractions of the $\Lambda \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{+}$and $\overline{\mathrm{K}}^{\circ} \mathrm{p}$ channels relative to $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$were determined to be $0.61 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.04$ and $0.62 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$, respectively. The charm quark fragmentation was found to produce a hard $\Lambda_{\mathrm{C}}$ momentum spectrum, in fact, similar in shape to that for charmed mesons ( $\mathrm{D}^{*}$ ). The spectrum was well fitted by the Peterson form of the fragmentation function. No significant $\Lambda_{C}$ production from the $\Upsilon(1 S)$ or $\Upsilon(2 S)$ resonances was observed.
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