

LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

Friedemann BRANDT*, Norbert DRAGON and Maximilian KREUZER*

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, D-3000 Hannover 1, FRG

Received 3 July 1989

We calculate the cohomology of the BRS operator s modulo an auxiliary differential operator t where both operators act on invariant polynomials in anticommuting variables C^i and commuting variables X^i . C^i and X^i transform according to the adjoint representation of the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group. The cohomology classes of s modulo t are related to the solutions of the consistency equations which have to be satisfied by anomalies of Yang–Mills theories. The present investigation completes the proof of the completeness and nontriviality of these solutions and, as a by-product, determines the cohomology of the underlying Lie algebra.

1. Contents

In the preceding paper [1] we have calculated the solutions of the consistency equations [2] for gauge anomalies. There we needed the cohomology of s modulo t , where s coincides with the BRS operator [3] on anticommuting variables C^i , the ghosts, and vanishes on the additional variables X^i . t is the differential operator which replaces C^i by X^i .

We prove that the cohomology classes of s modulo t are given in terms of the “standard ladders” (47) below, which are related to certain polynomials in Chern–Simons forms (X corresponds to the field strength two-form F). In sect. 2 we introduce the basic notations and calculate the cohomology of s and t . In sect. 3 we pose our fundamental problem and derive the “ladder equations”. In sect. 4 we obtain particular solutions of the ladder equations and in sect. 5 we prove that all nontrivial solutions are certain combinations of these solutions. A final remark concerns the implications of our result for the cohomology of the underlying Lie algebra.

2. Cohomology of s and t

Let δ_i span a Lie algebra $[\delta_i, \delta_j] = f_{ij}^k \delta_k$ of rank R of a group G which is the product of $U(1)$ -factors and of simple groups. Consider polynomials $f(X, C)$ in

* Supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

commuting variables X^i and anticommuting variables C^i – the ghosts – ($i = 1, \dots, \dim G$). The polynomials are chosen to be invariant under the adjoint transformations of the Lie algebra

$$\delta_i = \delta_i^C + \delta_i^X, \quad \delta_i^C = C^j f_{ji}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial C^k}, \quad \delta_i^X = X^j f_{ji}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial X^k}. \tag{1}$$

We investigate the structure of the nilpotent antiderivative $s = -\frac{1}{2} C^i \delta_i^C$ ($|s| = 1, s^2 = 0$)

$$sC^i = \frac{1}{2} C^j C^k f_{jk}^i, \quad sX^i = 0, \tag{2}$$

and of the operator $s + t$, where $t = X^i \partial / \partial C^i$ acts as

$$tC^i = X^i, \quad tX^i = 0, \quad s + t = \left(\frac{1}{2} C^j C^k f_{ij}^k + X^k \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial C^k}. \tag{3}$$

It is helpful to introduce in addition $r = C^i \partial / \partial X^i$

$$rC^i = 0, \quad rX^i = C^i. \tag{4}$$

s, t and r commute with the adjoint transformations

$$[s, \delta_j] = 0, \quad [t, \delta_j] = 0, \quad [r, \delta_j] = 0. \tag{5}$$

If $f_\lambda(X, C)$ transforms under the irreducible representation λ of δ_i , so do sf_λ, tf_λ and rf_λ . Therefore δ_i -invariant functions f constitute a well-defined subspace for the action of s, t and r .

We can split each of these δ_i -invariant functions $f = \sum f_{\lambda_C}$ uniquely into pieces which transform under the irreducible transformation λ_C of δ_i^C . This decomposition is unique because each finite-dimensional representation of our Lie algebra is completely reducible. Each f_{λ_C} is an eigenfunction of the Casimir operator of the semisimple part of the group

$$\mathcal{O}_C = g^{ij} \delta_i^C \delta_j^C, \quad \mathcal{O}_C f_{\lambda_C} = a(\lambda_C) f_{\lambda_C}, \quad a(\lambda_C) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{6}$$

where $a(\lambda_C)$ vanishes if and only if λ_C is the trivial representation

$$a(\lambda_C) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \delta_i^C f_{\lambda_C} = 0. \tag{7}$$

If $\delta_i^C f(X, C) = 0$ then $f(X, C)$ depends only on invariant functions $\theta_k(C)$ and the variables X^i also can only appear in invariant combinations $I_k(X)$. The $I_k(X)$

are completely classified: They are polynomials in the fundamental Casimir invariants I_K

$$I_K(X) = g_{i_1 \dots i_{m(K)}} X^{i_1} \dots X^{i_{m(K)}}, \quad K = 1, \dots, R = \text{rank}(G) \quad (8)$$

which are homogeneous of order $m(K)$ and can be obtained from traces in suitable representations [4]

$$X = X^i T_i, \quad I_K(X) = \text{tr } X^{m(K)}. \quad (9)$$

For $U(1)$ generators δ_a the corresponding Casimir invariants are $I_a(X) = X^a$, $m(a) = 1$. For later purposes we assume K ordered such that $K < K'$ implies $m(K) \leq m(K')$. So the labels of $U(1)$ generators range from $a = 1$ to $a = n_u$ (n_u is the number of $U(1)$ factors). We will see below that all δ_i -invariant functions $\theta(C)$ are polynomials in θ_K which correspond to I_K . At this stage, however, let θ_i denote a basis of invariant functions of C^i such that each invariant $\theta(C)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in θ_i . Then we have

$$\delta_i^C f(X, C) = 0 \iff f = f(I_K(X), \theta_i(C)), \quad \delta_i I_K = \delta_i \theta_i = 0. \quad (10)$$

We now show that the s-cohomology is trivial if f contains no δ_i^C -invariant piece

$$s f(X, C) = 0 \iff f(X, C) = \hat{s} f(X, C) + \tilde{f}(I_K, \theta_i). \quad (11)$$

The result follows from the observation

$$\delta_i^C = -\{s, \partial/\partial C^i\}, \quad [s, \delta_i^C] = 0. \quad (12a, b)$$

If one now decomposes $f = \sum f_{\lambda_c}$ into pieces f_{λ_c} from the irreducible representation λ_c , then each f_{λ_c} satisfies $s f_{\lambda_c} = 0$ separately because of eq. (12b). The invariant piece gives \tilde{f} in eq. (11). For each other piece $a(\lambda_c) \neq 0$ [eqs. (6) and (7)] and one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\lambda_c} &= \frac{1}{a(\lambda_c)} \mathcal{O}_c f_{\lambda_c} = \frac{1}{a(\lambda_c)} g^{i'j'} \delta_i^C \delta_j^C f_{\lambda_c} \\ &= \frac{1}{a(\lambda_c)} g^{i'j'} \left\{ s, \frac{\partial}{\partial C^i} \right\} \left\{ s, \frac{\partial}{\partial C^j} \right\} f_{\lambda_c} = s \left(\frac{1}{a(\lambda_c)} g^{i'j'} \frac{\partial}{\partial C^i} s \frac{\partial}{\partial C^j} f_{\lambda_c} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This proves eq. (11).

The cohomology of t is even simpler:

$$t f(X, C) = 0 \iff f(X; C) = t f_i(X, C) + \text{const.} \quad (14)$$

We prove a more general result: each polynomial $f(X, C)$ can be uniquely decomposed into pieces tf_+ , rf_- and a constant:

$$f = tf_+ + rf_- + \text{const.} \tag{15}$$

Consider $f = \sum f_n$ decomposed into pieces of homogeneity n in X and C and observe that by eqs. (3) and (4) the counting operator N is given by the anticommutator

$$\{r, t\} = X \frac{\partial}{\partial X} + C \frac{\partial}{\partial C} = N_X + N_C = N, \quad Nf_n = nf_n. \tag{16}$$

The piece f_0 is the constant in eq. (15). If $n \neq 0$ one has

$$f_n = \frac{N}{n} f_n = r \left(t \frac{1}{n} f_n \right) + t \left(r \frac{1}{n} f_n \right), \tag{17}$$

which proves eqs. (15) and (14). Analogously one sees that the r cohomology is given by

$$rf = 0 \iff f = rf + \text{const.} \tag{18}$$

3. Ladder equations

We need these results on the s and t cohomology for the fundamental problem to determine all $\chi_{g'}(X, C)$ for which there exists a $\chi_{g'+2}$ such that

$$s\chi_{g'} + t\chi_{g'+2} = 0. \tag{19}$$

The index g' signifies the ghost number (i.e. the degree in C). To δ_i -invariant solutions $\chi_{g'}$ of eq. (19), there correspond the solutions of the nonabelian consistency conditions which are nontrivial if and only if

$$\chi_{g'} \neq s\chi_{g'-1} + t\chi_{g'+1} + \text{const.} \tag{20}$$

If $\chi_{g'}(X, C) = \theta_{g'}(C)$ is independent of X then eqs. (19) and (20) determine the cohomology of s : $s\theta_{g'} = 0$ with $\theta_{g'} \neq s\theta_{g'-1}$. If two functions $f(X, C)$ and $f'(X, C)$ differ only by trivial terms $sf_+ + tf_- + \text{const.}$, we call them equivalent and write

$$f(X, C) \simeq f'(X, C) = f(X, C) + sf_+ + tf_- + \text{const.} \tag{21}$$

As s and t commute with δ_i , all functions $\chi_{g'+k}$ can be taken to be δ_i invariant if $\delta_i \chi_{g'} = 0$. On δ_i -invariant functions $s + t$ is nilpotent because $s^2 = t^2 = 0$ and

$$(s + t)^2 = \{s, t\} = -X^i \delta_i^C = -X^i \delta_i. \tag{22}$$

To solve eq. (19) we apply s and obtain

$$0 = st\chi_{g', 2} = -ts\chi_{g'+2} \Leftrightarrow s\chi_{g'+2} + t\chi_{g'+4} = 0.$$

This argument implies the existence of functions $\chi_{g'+2l}$ up to some maximal ghost number which for later purposes we write as $g + 2m - 1$, $g' = g + 2k - 1$. The functions χ_{g+2l-1} satisfy

$$s\chi_{g-2l-1} + t\chi_{g+2l+1} = 0, \quad l \leq m, \quad \chi_{g+2m-1} = 0. \tag{23}$$

The functions χ_{g+2l-1} are not unique: one changes $\chi_{g'}$ only trivially, i.e. by $s\chi_{g'-1} + t\chi_{g+1} + \text{const}$ if one replaces all χ_{g+2l-1} by the equivalent

$$\chi'_{g-2l-1} = \chi_{g-2l-1} + s\tilde{\chi}_{g+2l-2} + t\tilde{\chi}_{g+2l} + \text{const}. \tag{24}$$

We call eq. (23) a ladder equation. At the top it reads

$$s\chi_{g+2m-1} = 0, \quad \chi_{g+2m-1} \neq 0. \tag{25}$$

If χ_{g+2m-1} were equal to $s\chi_{g+2m-2} + t\chi_{g+2m}$ then $\chi'_{g+2m-1} = 0$, $\chi'_{g+2m-3} = \chi_{g+2m-3} + t\chi_{g+2m-2}$ would be an equivalent ladder with lower top. In particular by eq. (11), the top of a ladder is a function of invariants I_K and θ_l .

We can complete the ladder to ghost number smaller than g' if we apply t to eq. (19): $0 = ts\chi_{g'} = -st\chi_{g'}$ and using eq. (11) we conclude $t\chi_{g'} + s\chi_{g'-2} = f_{g'-1}(I, \theta)$. It may happen that $f_{g'-1}$ vanishes. In that case the ladder equation (23) extends also below g' . Iterating this argument one obtains the ladder (23) for $1 \leq l \leq m$ and the last equation either reads

$$t\chi_{g+1} = 0, \quad \chi_{g+1} = 0 \tag{26}$$

or

$$s\chi_{g-1} + t\chi_{g+1} = f_g(I, \theta). \tag{27}$$

We call $f_g(I, \theta)$ the bottom of the ladder: eq. (26) corresponds to a ladder with vanishing bottom. The part of the ladder below ghost number g' does not only allow for the transformation (24) without changing $\chi_{g'}$ essentially, but one can also add to χ_{g+2l-1} arbitrarily ladders which have a top with ghost number smaller than g' . If one thereby can cancel f_g we assume this done. Then the ladder extends to an even lower bottom.

A concise notation is obtained if one introduces

$$\tilde{\chi} = \sum_{l=0}^m \chi_{g+2l-1}. \tag{28}$$

Then eqs. (23) and (27) read

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = f_g(I, \theta) + t\chi_{g-1}, \tag{29}$$

while eqs. (23) and (26) read

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = 0. \tag{30}$$

With this notation the relation of $\tilde{\chi}$ to the equivalent $\tilde{\chi}'$ (24) is given by

$$\tilde{\chi} \approx \tilde{\chi}' = \tilde{\chi} + (s + t)\hat{\chi} + \text{const.} \tag{31}$$

Eq. (30) only has trivial solutions

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = 0 \iff \tilde{\chi} = \text{const.} + (s + t)\hat{\chi} = 0. \tag{32}$$

This follows because by eq. (14), eq. (26) has the solution $\chi_{g-1} = \text{const.} + t\hat{\chi}_{g,2} \approx 0$ (the constant can occur only if $g + 1 = 0$). Inserted into eq. (23) for $l = 1$ this implies

$$0 = st\hat{\chi}_{g,2} + t\chi_{g,3} = t(\chi_{g+3} - s\hat{\chi}_{g,2}) \Rightarrow \chi_{g-3} = s\hat{\chi}_{g,2} + t\hat{\chi}_{g,4} \approx 0.$$

By repeating the argument for $l = 2, 3, \dots$ one can work one's way up to the top of the ladder and thereby confirm eq. (32). We conclude that to each solution of eqs. (19) and (20) there corresponds a ladder (28) which satisfies eq. (29) with nontrivial top (25) and nonvanishing bottom $f_g(I, \theta)$ in eq. (29).

4. Particular solutions

The simplest bottom of a ladder is given by $f = I_K(X)$. The corresponding ladder equation

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi}_K = I_K(X) \tag{33}$$

has the particular solution

$$\tilde{\chi}_K = \sum_{l=0}^{m(K)-1} \chi_{K,2l+1}, \quad \chi_{K,2l+1} = \frac{(-)^l(m(K)-1)!}{(m(K)+l)!} \{r, s\}^l r I_K(X), \tag{34}$$

where $m(K)$ is the X -number of $I_K(X)$, r is defined in eq. (4). The operator $\{r, s\}$ is calculated to be

$$\{r, s\} = \frac{1}{2} C^i C^j f_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial X^k}. \tag{35}$$

In $I_K(X) = \text{tr } X^{m(K)}$ it replaces the matrix $X = X^k T_k$ by $C^2 = \frac{1}{2} f_{ij}^k C^i C^j T_k$. In

particular, the highest ghost-number component of $\tilde{\chi}_K$ reads

$$\theta_K = \tilde{\chi}_K|_{\text{gh}_{\max}} = \frac{(-)^m (m-1)!m!}{(2m-1)!} \text{tr } C^{2m-1}, \quad m = m(K). \tag{36}$$

The θ_K have odd ghost number and therefore anticommute. Eq. (34) is confirmed using the relations $[t, \{r, s\}] = [\{t, r\}, s] + [\{t, s\}, r] = s + C^i \delta_i$ (the last term vanishes if applied to δ_i -invariant functions) and $t(rI_K) = \{t, r\}I_K = m(K)I_K$.

To investigate the general ladder equations we have to split polynomials $f(I, \theta)$ of I_K and θ_K defined by eqs. (8) and (36) into parts f_m of level m

$$f = \sum_{m \geq 1} f_m, \tag{37}$$

where f_m is a linear combination of monomials M_{m, n_K, α_K}

$$f_m = \sum c_{m, n_K, \alpha_K} M_{m, n_K, \alpha_K}, \quad c_{m, n_K, \alpha_K} \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{38}$$

$$M_{m, n_K, \alpha_K} = \prod_{\underline{K} \leq K} (I_K)^{n_K} (\theta_K)^{\alpha_K}, \tag{39}$$

with $n_K \geq 0$, $\alpha_K \in \{0, 1\}$, $n_K + \alpha_K > 0$ and $m = m(\underline{K})$, i.e. the level m of a monomial M is given by $m(\underline{K})$ where \underline{K} is the minimum of all Casimir labels contributing to M . The decomposition (39) is well defined if f does not contain a constant part, which we assume in the following. We call $\underline{m} = m_f$ the lowest level of f if the decomposition $f = \sum f_m$ starts with $f_{\underline{m}} \neq 0$ ($f_m = 0, \forall m < m_f$). In analogy to eqs. (3) and (4) we define

$$\hat{t}_m = \sum_{K: m(K)=m} I_K \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_K}, \quad \hat{r}_m = \sum_{K: m(K)=m} \theta_K \frac{\partial}{\partial I_K}. \tag{40}$$

Each f_m can be uniquely decomposed [see eq. (14)] as

$$f_m(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) = \hat{t}_m f_m + \hat{r}_m f_m \tag{41}$$

(by construction, the number operator $\{\hat{r}_m, \hat{t}_m\} = N_m$, counting all I_K and θ_K at level m , has only positive eigenvalues on f_m . Eq. (41) is thus proven like eq. (15) by decomposition of f_m into eigenfunctions of N_m .)

Consider a ladder with a top given by $f = \sum f_m(I, \theta)$. Define

$$\tilde{\chi}(X, C) = \sum_m f_m(I_1, \dots, I_R, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R). \tag{42}$$

It coincides with $f(I, \theta)$ at highest ghost number and satisfies (due to eq. (33) and $(s + t)I_K = 0$)

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = \sum_K I_K \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\chi}_K} f. \tag{43}$$

The right-hand side vanishes for sufficiently large ghost number. So eq. (43) defines a ladder (see eqs. (23) and (29)) above that ghost number. The highest ghost-number part of the right-hand side of eq. (43) is obtained if one replaces $\tilde{\chi}_K$ by θ_K . $I_K \partial f / \partial \theta_K$ has the ghost number of f minus $(2m(K) - 1)$, so the highest ghost-number part in $\sum I_K (\partial / \partial \theta_K) f(I, \theta)$ is given by $\hat{t}_m f \neq 0$, where $\hat{t}_m f = 0, \forall m < \underline{m}$.

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = (\hat{t}_m f)(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) + \dots \tag{44}$$

The dots denote terms with lower ghost number. Specialize eq. (44) to $f = f_{\underline{m}}(I, \theta)$. At the ghost number g of $\hat{t}_m f_{\underline{m}}(I, \theta)$ eq. (44) reads

$$(\hat{t}_m f_{\underline{m}})(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) = s\chi_{g-1} + t\chi_{g+1}, \tag{45}$$

i.e. contributions $\hat{t}_m f_{\underline{m}}$ to a top of a ladder are trivial [eq. (21)] and can be dropped. This holds for arbitrary \underline{m} . Therefore the most general top $f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R)$ of a ladder has the form

$$f \approx \sum_{m \geq \underline{m}} \hat{t}_m f_m^-. \tag{46}$$

This top occurs as linear combination of the tops of the standard ladders

$$\tilde{\chi} = [f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R)]|_{gh \geq g-1}, \quad f = \hat{t}_m f_m^-. \tag{47}$$

where g is the ghost number of $f_m^-(I, \theta)$ and the bracket [] indicates to take only the part with ghost number not less than $g - 1$. $\tilde{\chi}$ then satisfies

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = (\hat{t}_m \hat{t}_m f_m)(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) + t\chi_{g-1}. \tag{48}$$

The standard top $\hat{t}_m f_m$ can be reconstructed from the standard bottom using \hat{t}_m .

5. Proof of the main result

We claim that up to trivial parts of the form $(s + t)\tilde{\chi}$ all ladders are linear combinations of the standard ladders (47) and that linear combinations of the components $\chi_{g-2l-1}, l = 1, \dots, m$ of the standard ladders are trivial if and only if they vanish.

The proof uses induction to the ghost number for the following statements:

- (i) A polynomial $P_g(C) = f(\theta_1(C), \dots, \theta_R(C))$ of ghost number g vanishes if and only if $f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R)$ vanishes for arbitrary anticommuting variables θ_K , $K = 1, \dots, R$.
- (ii) There is no invariant function $\theta(C) \neq s\psi(C)$ of ghost number g which cannot be expressed as polynomial in $\theta_K(C)$, $K = 1, \dots, R$.
- (iii) Each bottom f with ghost number g of a ladder (27) satisfies $\hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f = 0$, where \underline{m} is the lowest level of f , i.e. $f = \sum_{m \geq \underline{m}} f_m$, $f_{\underline{m}} \neq 0$.
- (iv) Each ladder with lowest top χ [eq. (25)] with ghost number g is equivalent to a linear combination of ladders given in eq. (47).

For ghost number $g = 0$ the properties (i)–(iv) hold trivially. We now show that they have to hold for ghost number $g + 1$ if they hold up to ghost number g .

(a) If there exists a relation $f(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) \neq 0$ but $f(\theta_1(C), \dots, \theta_R(C)) = 0$ at ghost number $g + 1$, then the ladder $\tilde{\chi} = f(\tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R)$ has a top with ghost number less than $g + 1$. It satisfies (iii)

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = \hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f + \dots, \quad \hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f \neq 0,$$

where $\underline{m} = \min\{m(K) : (\partial f / \partial \theta_K)(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R) \neq 0\}$. $\tilde{\chi}$ is a nontrivial ladder which is shorter than the ladders given by eq. (47). This contradicts (iv) and therefore (i) is proven for $g + 1$.

(b) Consider a $\theta(C)$ which satisfies $s\theta(C) = 0$, $\theta(C) \neq s\psi + t\chi$ with ghost number $g + 1$. Due to (iii) it is the top of a ladder $\tilde{\chi}$ with bottom $f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R)$, $\hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f = 0$. Subtract the ladder

$$\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = \sum_l \frac{1}{l} \hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f^{(l)}(I_1, \dots, I_R, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R)$$

from $\tilde{\chi}$, where $f_{\underline{m}} = \sum f^{(l)}$ decomposes the lowest level $f_{\underline{m}}$ of $f = \sum f_m$ into pieces $f^{(l)}$ of definite homogeneity l in the variables I_K and θ_K with $m(K) = \underline{m}$: $l = \sum_{K: m(K) = \underline{m}} N_{I_K} + N_{\theta_K}$. The ladder $\tilde{\chi}^{(1)}$ has the same bottom as the ladder $\tilde{\chi}$ up to a function f' which depends only on I_K and θ_K with $m(K) > \underline{m}$. Subtracting the corresponding $\tilde{\chi}^{(1) \prime}$ from $\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}$, one cancels the lowest level of f' and after some steps $\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} - \tilde{\chi}^{(1) \prime} - \dots$ has no bottom at the ghost number of f . Then this ladder extends to a lower bottom. Use this bottom f^1 with $\hat{t}_{\underline{m}}f^1 = 0$ to define $\tilde{\chi}^{(2)}$ and so on. Ultimately,

$$\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}^{(1)} - \tilde{\chi}^{(1) \prime} - \dots - \tilde{\chi}^{(2)} - \tilde{\chi}^{(2) \prime} - \dots \tag{49}$$

has no bottom, i.e. it satisfies eq. (26) and therefore is of the form $(s + t)\tilde{\psi} + \text{const.}$ [see eq. (31)]. At ghost number $g + 1$ eq. (49) implies that $\theta(C)$ can be expressed in terms of θ_K , $K = 1, \dots, R$ which proves (ii) for $g + 1$.

(c) Each bottom f_{g+1} is a function of I_1, \dots, I_R and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R$ because there are no unknown $\theta_{g+1}(C)$ as we have just shown. If f is the bottom of a ladder $\tilde{\chi}$, it satisfies eq. (29)

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi} = f + t\chi_g.$$

Consider the ladder

$$\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = f(I_K, \tilde{\chi}_K) - (s + t)\tilde{\chi}. \tag{50}$$

It has a top with ghost number g or smaller and is therefore up to trivial terms a linear combination of ladders given in eq. (47). Because of $(s + t)^2\tilde{\chi} = 0$ it satisfies

$$(s + t)\tilde{\chi}^{(1)} = \hat{t}_m f(I_K, \theta_K) + \dots, \tag{51}$$

where the dots denote lower ghost number terms. $\hat{t}_m f$ has to vanish because there are no linear combinations of ladders of the form (47) with lower bottom given by this $\hat{t}_m f$ which have a lower top with ghost number g or smaller. So $\hat{t}_m f$ vanishes which proves (iii) for $g + 1$.

(d) If a ladder $\tilde{\chi}$ is given with lowest top $\chi_{g+1} = f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_R)$ (recall that at $g + 1$ there are no unknown $\theta(C)$), subtract $\hat{\chi} = f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R)$ from it. $\tilde{\chi} - \hat{\chi}$ is a ladder with lowest top lower than $g + 1$ and therefore is equivalent to a linear combination of the ladders (47). Also $\hat{\chi} = f(I_1, \dots, I_R, \tilde{\chi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\chi}_R)$ can be written as such a linear combination which proves (iv) for $g + 1$.

This completes the proof of the statements (i)–(iv).

In particular, our results imply that all linear combinations of ladders (47) are nontrivial because their lowest top f_g cannot be written as $f_g = s\chi_- + t\chi_+$, since in that case there would exist a ladder with bottom f_g . By (iii) each such bottom (but by (iv) no top) satisfies $\hat{t}_m f = 0$. So all ladders are nontrivial.

Statement (ii) implies that all nontrivial $\theta(C)$ are polynomials in θ_K , $K = 1, \dots, R$ and apart from the fact that the θ_K anticommute there is no polynomial relation among them [see (i)]. Therefore the cohomology classes of s in the space of polynomials in C are given by a superfield $\Phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R)$ in R anticommuting variables. Φ has 2^R significant coefficients. No such Φ can be of the form $s\chi$ because Φ is the lowest top of a nontrivial ladder. Alternative descriptions of this fact are contained in ref. [5].

As a check we apply our results to $C^\#$

$$C^\# = \prod_{i=1}^{D - \dim G} C^i = \frac{1}{D!} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_D} C^{i_1} \dots C^{i_D} \neq 0. \tag{52}$$

$C^\#$ has to be a function of θ_K because it is s invariant and nontrivial. The last

statement follows from $\delta_i^C = -\{s, \partial/\partial C^i\}$ (12) and the δ_i^C -invariance of $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_D}$:

$$s\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial C^i} C^\# \right) = \left\{s, \frac{\partial}{\partial C^i}\right\} C^\# = -\delta_i^C C^\# = 0, \quad (53)$$

and $(\partial/\partial C^i)C^\#$ span all terms with ghost number $D-1$. There can be no combination ψ of these s -invariant monomials such that $s\psi = C^\#$. Thus $C^\#$ is nontrivial and a function of $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_R$. There is only one such function with ghost number D because

$$\dim G = \sum_{K=1}^{R(G)} (2m(K) - 1) \quad (54)$$

holds in all simple groups and for $U(1)$ factors [4, 6]. So

$$C^\# = \text{const} \cdot \prod_{K=1}^R \theta_K \neq 0, \quad (55)$$

i.e. the volume form $C^\#$ of gauge groups factorizes into terms θ_K which are invariant under the adjoint action of the group and of degree $2m(K) - 1$, where $m(K)$ is the degree of homogeneity of the Casimir operator \mathcal{C}_K , $K = 1, \dots, R$. That these θ_K span the cohomology of the group is a fact which is contained in the more general result (i) (iv).

References

- [1] F. Brandt, N. Dragon and M. Kreuzer, Nucl. Phys. B332 (1990) 224
- [2] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. B37 (1971) 95
- [3] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 98 (1976) 287;
L. Baulieu, Phys. Rep. 129 (1985) 1
- [4] L. O'Raiartaigh, Group structure of gauge theories (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986)
- [5] M. Dubois-Violette, M. Talon and C.M. Viallet, Phys. Lett. B158 (1985) 231
- [6] D.P. Želobenko, Compact Lie groups and their representations (Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 40, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1978)