# A measurement of asymmetry in the decay $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$ 
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#### Abstract

Using the ARGUS detector at the $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$storage ring DORIS II at DESY, we have observed parity violation in the decay $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{ \pm}$ We measure the coefficient of parity violation, $\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}}$, to be $-0.96 \pm 0.42$. In addition, we measure $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}\right)$and $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}\right)$to be, respectively, $(2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$ and $(2.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$.


Considerable progress has been made in attempts to understand the interplay of strong and weak interactions in weak decays of charmed hadrons [1]. Most attention has been paid to charmed mesons because of the extensive experimental data now available on their partial decay widths [2]. Charmed baryons offer possible new insights for two reasons: firstly, because W-exchange diagrams can contribute without the helicity suppression that decreases their contributions to pseudoscalar meson decays and, secondly, parity violation effects due to interference between amplitudes with different orbital angular momentum values can be directly observed [3]. A recent revival of theoretical interest in charmed baryon decays [46] has heightened the interest in new experimental studies. The large samples of charmed baryons from $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$annihilations collected by the ARGUS and CLEO collaborations have been used to study the properties of the $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}$baryon [7-10].

[^0]In this letter we report a measurement of the parity violating asymmetry in the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+\# 1}$. The measurements of the production cross-section times branching ratio for this decay and for the decay $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$are also reported. The decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$ followed by $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-}$is exactly analogous to the well understood hyperon decay $\Xi^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{-}, \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-}$ [11] ${ }^{\# 2}$. In both of these decays, the $\Lambda$ is produced with a polarization equal to
$\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}+\boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \hat{\boldsymbol{A}}-\beta_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \times \boldsymbol{P}_{B}\right)-\gamma_{\mathrm{B}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \times\left(\boldsymbol{\lambda} \times \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)}{\left(1+\alpha_{\mathrm{B}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot \boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)}$
owing to the interference between the $S$ and $P$ wave final states where $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the parent baryon polarization, $\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}, \beta_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the parent baryon asymmetry parameters, and $\lambda$ is a unit vector along the $\Lambda$ flight direction in its production frame [12]. Averaging over parent baryon polarization, one is left with
$\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{B}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.
Hence when the parent is unpolarized or when its polarization is not observed the $\Lambda$ is produced with helicity equal to $\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}$. The angular distribution of the proton from the decay of the $\Lambda$ is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\vartheta) \propto 1+\alpha_{\lambda} \alpha_{\mathrm{B}} \cos \vartheta, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ is the $\Lambda$ decay asymmetry parameter and $\vartheta$ is the angle between the $\Lambda$ polarization and the proton flight direction. $\alpha_{\mathrm{B}}$ is therefore determined by measuring the angular distribution of protons from the $\Lambda$ decay since the $\Lambda$ decay parameters are well known [2].
This analysis is based on a data sample of $386 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$

[^1]taken at an average centre-of-mass energy of 10.4 $\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ using the ARGUS detector at the $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$storage ring DORIS II. The ARGUS detector is a $4 \pi$ spectrometer described in detail elsewhere [13]. Charged particle identification is made on the basis of specific ionization in the drift chamber, time of flight measurements, energy deposits in the shower counters and muon chamber hits. This information is used to calculate, for all charged tracks, a normalized likelihood for each of the particle hypotheses (e, $\mu, \pi, K, p)$. All particle hypotheses with a normalized likelihood greater than $1 \%$ were accepted.
$\Lambda$ candidates were identified in the decay mode $\Lambda \rightarrow p \pi^{-}$. All $p \pi^{-}$combinations having a mass within $\pm 9 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ of the nominal $\Lambda$ mass [2] and which fit to a secondary vertex with a $\chi^{2}$ less than 36 were accepted as $\Lambda$ candidates. Surviving combinations which satisfied the $\Lambda$ mass hypothesis with a $\chi^{2}$ of less than 25 were accepted and subjected to a mass constrained fit. Backgrounds from beam-wall and beam-gas interactions, in which many $\Lambda$ 's but no $\bar{\Lambda}$ 's are produced, were removed by requiring that the momentum vector of the $\mathrm{p} \pi^{-}$combination points back to the main vertex. This condition was enforced by demanding that the cosine of the angle between the $\mathrm{p} \pi^{-}$momentum vector and the vector between main and secondary vertices be greater than 0.985 . When reconstructing the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$, the random backgrounds from combinations with slow $\Lambda$ 's or slow $\pi^{+}$'s are removed by requiring momenta greater than 0.8 $\mathrm{GeV} / c$ and $0.5 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ respectively. Finally, the $\Lambda \pi^{+}$ combinations were required to have $x_{p}>0.5$, where $x_{p}=p\left(\Lambda \pi^{+}\right) / p_{\max }$, and $p_{\max }=\sqrt{E_{\text {beam }}^{2}-m^{2}\left(\Lambda \pi^{+}\right)}$.

The mass spectrum of all accepted $\Lambda \pi^{+}$combinations is shown in fig. 1. A clear peak in the region of the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$mass is observed, as well as an enhancement at a slightly lower mass. A Monte Carlo study has shown that the latter is due to feed-down from the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$, where the photon from the decay of the $\Sigma^{0}$ is ignored. Superimposed on fig. 1 is the result of a fit to the spectrum using a gaussian with width fixed to $18.1 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ for the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$signal, a gaussian with mass and width fixed to $2191 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ and $36 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ respectively for the feed-down contribution and a third-order polynomial to model the background. All fixed parameters were determined by Monte Carlo studies. The fit yields $109 \pm 17$ events at
a mass of $2288 \pm 3 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$, in excellent agreement with the accepted $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$mass. In the feed-down signal there are $68 \pm 21$ events.

Fig. Ib shows the wrong-sign spectrum, obtained by combining all $\Lambda$ and $\pi^{-}$candidates. There is no signal in the region of the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$mass. The background is smooth over the entire range, and there is no excess in the $\Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$feed-down region.

To verify that the excess in the feed-down region was understood the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$was studied. $\Lambda^{\prime}$ 's were selected using the same cuts as before. Photons were identified as neutral energy deposits in the shower counters. In reconstructing the $\Sigma^{0}$, the photon was required to have an energy between 50 and 140 MeV , with the lower cut imposed due to shower counter efficiency and the higher due to kinematics. All $\Lambda \gamma$ candidates having an invariant mass within $\pm 40 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ of the Particle Data Book mass were accepted and fit to the nominal $\Sigma^{0}$ mass [2]. To reduce background due to slow pions and $\Sigma^{0}$ 's, their momenta were required to be greater than 0.4 GeV / $c$ and $0.6 \mathrm{GeV} / c$ respectively. Finally, due to considerable multiple counting in this channel, only one candidate per event was accepted, that being the one maximizing the total probability based on particle identification and intermediate fits.

The resulting mass spectrum is shown in fig. 2 a . There is a peak at the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$mass, as well as one at a slightly higher mass. This feed-up peak is due to combining $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$'s from the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$with a soft photon. Monte Carlo studies predict the feed-up signal's mass and width to be $2.372 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and 36 $\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ respectively and the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$signal to have a width of $16 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$. Superimposed on the plot is the result of a fit to the spectrum of the two gaussians with the above parameters fixed to describe the signals and a third-order polynomial to describe the background. The $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$signal contains $32 \pm 10$ events at a mass of $2.292 \pm 8 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ and the feed-up $55 \pm 15$ events. Fig. 2 b shows the $\Sigma^{0} \pi^{-}$mass spectrum. There is no enhancement in either the signal or the feed-up region.

A Monte Carlo study was performed to determine the detector acceptance for both decay modes. Extrapolation to zero momentum was done using the fragmentation function of Peterson et al. [14]. An epsilon value of $0.24 \pm 0.04$ measured in the decay $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}$was used [15]. After correcting for


Fig. 1. (a) Mass spectrum of accepted $\Lambda \pi^{+}$candidates. The curve shows the result of the fit described in the text. (b) Mass spectrum of accepted $\Lambda \pi^{-}$candidates. There is no enhancement in the $\Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$feed-down region and the shape is similar to the right-charge background distribution.
acceptance and accounting for the $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{p} \pi^{-}$branching ratio, the product of cross-section times branching ratio for each decay mode is found to be $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}\right)=(2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$ and $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}\right)=(2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$. If we calculate these branching ratios using the feed-up and feed-down signals we get $(2.2 \pm 0.7) \mathrm{pb}$ and ( $1.4 \pm 0.4$ ) pb respectively, in excellent agreement with those calculated from the signals, indicating that these secondary signals are well understood.

Using the updated ARGUS measurement for the $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$of $(12.0 \pm 1.9 \pm 1.6) \mathrm{pb}$, the ratios of branching ratios $\mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}\right) / \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$and $\operatorname{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}\right) / \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}\right)$ are $0.18 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.04$ and $0.17 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$ [15] respectively. The systematic errors were determined by varying the cuts, the fit range, the width, the efficiency and the Peterson et al. epsilon parameter.

Since the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$proceeds through the weak interaction, the $\Lambda$ is produced polarized. Although spin correlations are expected between c̄ pairs produced in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$annihilation, no net polarization of either member of the pair is predicted. The $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$ baryons produced in their fragmentation are, therefore, also expected to be unpolarized. Polarization may, however, be expected in the weak decays of $B$


Fig. 2. (a) Mass spectrum of accepted $\Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}$candidates. The curve shows the result of the fit described in the text. (b) Mass spectrum of accepted $\Sigma^{0} \pi^{-}$candidates. There is no enhancement in the $\Lambda \pi^{+}$feed-up region and the shape is similar to the right-charge background distribution.
mesons to charmed baryons but the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$'s from these decays have been excluded from the analysis by the $x_{p}$ cut. Hence the final polarization of the $\Lambda$ in our sample is simply $\alpha_{A_{c}}$. The resulting distribution function for the proton is given by eq. (3) with $\alpha_{B}$ replaced by $\alpha_{A_{c}}$.

To determine $\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}}$, the $\Lambda \pi^{+}$spectrum is fitted in bins of $\cos \vartheta$, and then normalized by the total number of events. It is important to note that the reconstruction efficiency is independent of $\cos \vartheta$. For these fits, the mass of the $\Lambda \pi^{+}$and width of the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$are fixed to $2285 \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}$ and $18.1 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$ respec-
tively. The results are shown in fig. 3. The closed circles with error bars represent the distribution of the signal in bins of $\cos \vartheta$ on which the result of a straight line fit is superimposed.
If parity were conserved, a flat distribution in $\cos \vartheta$ would be expected (dotted line, fig. 3). On the other hand, parity violation leads to a distribution of the form given by eq. (3), with the slope equal to the product $\alpha_{\lambda_{c}} \alpha_{\Lambda}$. Using a value for $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ of $0.642 \pm 0.013$ [2], we find $\alpha_{A_{c}}$ to be $-0.96 \pm 0.42$.
The full squares with error bars in fig. 3 show the angular distribution of the background, determined


Fig. 3. Number of events as a function of $\cos \vartheta$. The dotted line is what would be expected for no parity violation. The shaded squares with error bars are the background points described in the text. The shaded circles with error bars are the signal points. The solid line is the result of a fit straight line to the signal points.
by summing the number of events in the regions 1.9$2.1 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ and $2.35-2.55 \mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}$ in each angular range. Clearly the background distribution is uniform, in contrast to the $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$behaviour. Similarly the wrong-sign spectrum was also examined and found to be flat in $\cos \vartheta$. Finally, the angular distribution of the protons with respect to the $\Lambda$ laboratory momentum was studied for the full sample of $\Lambda$ decays. Again no asymmetry was observed.
Our result for $\alpha_{A_{c}}$ agrees well in magnitude with the recent prediction of Pakvasa et al. [5] and in excellent agreement with the predictions of Bjorken [4] and Mannel et al. [6] that $\alpha_{A_{c}}$ be around -1. CLEO [7] has also measured $\alpha_{\mathrm{Ac}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ and finds a value of $-1.00_{-0.0}^{+0.4}$. A negative sign for $\alpha_{\Lambda_{c}}$ indicates that $\Lambda$ 's produced in $\Lambda_{c}^{+}$decay have a negative helicity. In the so-called $\alpha \beta \gamma$ formalism, $\alpha$ is defined as $\left(2 \operatorname{ReS}^{*} P\right) /\left(|S|^{2}+|P|^{2}\right)$, where $S$ and $P$ are the S and P -wave amplitudes respectively [16]. Therefore, a magnitude of 1 for $\alpha$ could indicate that the $S$ and P -wave amplitudes are equal implying that parity is maximally violated in this decay.
In summary, we have seen evidence for parity violation in the decay $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}$. The coefficient of parity violation, $\alpha_{A_{c}}$, is $-0.96 \pm 0.42$, in good agreement with the CLEO result and with the theoretical predictions. Together the two measurements indicate
that parity is maximally violated in the decay $\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow$ $\Lambda \pi^{+}$with significance greater than three standard deviations. The product cross-section times branching ratios, for $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}\right)$and $\sigma \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}\right)$ are $(2.2 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$ and $(2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4) \mathrm{pb}$ respectively, leading to the ratios of branching ratios $\operatorname{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^{+}\right) / \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}{ }^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=0.18 \pm 0.03 \pm$ 0.04 and $\operatorname{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} \pi^{+}\right) / \mathrm{BR}\left(\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{pK}^{-} \pi^{+}\right)=$ $0.17 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.4$.
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