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#### Abstract

The properties of the BFKL kernel in the next-to-leading approximation in QCD and in supersymmetric models are discussed. The maximal transcendality of anomalous dimensions in $N=4$ SUSY is formulated. The explicit expressions for the anomalous dimensions up to four loops are given. Their asymptotic behavior at $j \rightarrow \infty$ and in the singular points $j=1,0,-1, \ldots$ is compared with predictions.


## 1 Introduction

The QCD scattering amplitude in the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) has the Regge-type asymptotics with the gluon trajectory in one loop given below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(-|q|^{2}\right)=-\frac{\alpha_{c}}{4 \pi^{2}} N_{c} \int d^{2} k \frac{|q|^{2}}{|k|^{2}|q-k|^{2}} \approx-\frac{\alpha_{c}}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{\left|q^{2}\right|}{\lambda^{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the coordinate representation the Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation for the Pomeron wave function can be written as follows [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \Psi\left(\vec{\rho}_{1}, \vec{\rho}_{2}\right)=H_{12} \Psi\left(\vec{\rho}_{1}, \vec{\rho}_{2}\right), \Delta=-\frac{\alpha_{s} N_{c}}{2 \pi} \min E, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Pomeron intercept. The BFKL Hamiltonian in the operator form is simple [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{12}=\ln \left|p_{1} p_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{p_{1} p_{2}^{*}} \ln \left|\rho_{12}\right|^{2} p_{1} p_{2}^{*}+\frac{1}{p_{1}^{*} p_{2}} \ln \left|\rho_{12}\right|^{2} p_{1}^{*} p_{2}-4 \psi(1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{12}=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}$. It is invariant under the Möbius transformation [4] and has the property of the holomorphic separability [3]. The quantum numbers of the Möbius group are the anomalous dimension $\gamma=\frac{1}{2}+i \nu$ and the conformal spins $n$.

The Bartels-Kwiecinskii-Praszalowicz (BKP) equation [5] for the $n$-gluon composite states in the large- $N_{c}$ limit has the duality symmetry [6], is integrable [3, 7] and equivalent to a Scgrödinger equation for the Heisenberg spin model [8]. To restore the $s$-channel unitarity one can use the effective field theory for Reggeized gluons [9]-[11].

## 2 DGLAP and BFKL dynamics in $N=4$ SUSY

In the next-to-leading approximation the eigenvalue of the BFKL kernel is written below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{0}(n, \gamma)+4 \hat{a}^{2} \Delta(n, \gamma), \hat{a}=g^{2} N_{c} /\left(16 \pi^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In QCD $\Delta(n, \gamma)$ is a non-analytic function of the conformal spin $|n|[12,13]$, but in $N=4$ SUSY the Kroniker symbols are cancelled [13]. In this model we obtain for $\Delta(n, \gamma)$ the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(n, \gamma)=\phi(M)+\phi\left(M^{*}\right)-\frac{\rho(M)+\rho\left(M^{*}\right)}{2 \hat{a} / \omega}, M=\gamma+\frac{|n|}{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(M)=\beta^{\prime}(M)+\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2), \beta^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)-\Psi^{\prime}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where all special functions have the maximal trancedentality property [13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(M)=3 \zeta(3)+\Psi^{\prime \prime}(M)-2 \Phi(M)+2 \beta^{\prime}(M)(\Psi(1)-\Psi(M)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(M)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{\prime}(k+1)}{k+M}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k+M}\left(\Psi^{\prime}(k+1)-\frac{\Psi(k+1)-\Psi(1)}{k+M}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one loop anomalous dimension matrix in the case $N=4$ the calculations were performed in Ref. [14]. In this model all twist-2 operators belong to the same supermultiplet and have the following anomalous dimension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{u n i}^{(0)}(j)=-4 S_{1}(j-2), S_{r}(j)=\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{i^{r}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, that this function has the maximal transcedentality. It leads to an integrability of evolution equations for matrix elements of quasi-partonic operators in $N=4$ SUSY [14].

## 3 Two and three loop results

Using maximal transcedentality hypothesis [13] and QCD results [15], one can calculate also the anomalous dimensions in two and three loops [16, 17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{u n i}(j)=\hat{a} \gamma_{u n i}^{(0)}(j)+\hat{a}^{2} \gamma_{u n i}^{(1)}(j)+\hat{a}^{3} \gamma_{u n i}^{(2)}(j)+\ldots, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{8} \gamma_{u n i}^{(1)}(j+2)=2 S_{1}(j)\left(S_{2}(j)+S_{-2}(j)\right)-2 S_{-2,1}(j)+S_{3}(j)+S_{-3}(j) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{32} \gamma_{u n i}^{(2)}(j+2)=24 S_{-2,1,1,1}-12\left(S_{-3,1,1}+S_{-2,1,2}+S_{-2,2,1}\right)+6\left(S_{-4,1}+S_{-3,2}+S_{-2,3}\right) \\
& \quad-3 S_{-5}-2 S_{3} S_{-2}-S_{5}-2 S_{1}^{2}\left(3 S_{-3}+S_{3}-2 S_{-2,1}\right)-S_{2}\left(S_{-3}+S_{3}-2 S_{-2,1}\right) \\
& -S_{1}\left(8 \bar{S}_{-4}+\bar{S}_{-2}^{2}+4 S_{2} \bar{S}_{-2}+2 S_{2}^{2}\right)-S_{1}\left(3 S_{4}-12 \bar{S}_{-3,1}-10 \bar{S}_{-2,2}+16 \bar{S}_{-2,1,1}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the corresponding harmonic sums are defined below

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{a}(j)=\sum_{m=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m^{a}}, S_{a, b, c, \cdots}(j)=\sum_{m=1}^{j} \frac{1}{m^{a}} S_{b, c, \cdots}(m),  \tag{13}\\
S_{-a}(j)=\sum_{m=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m^{a}}, S_{-a, b, \cdots}(j)=\sum_{m=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m^{a}} S_{b, \cdots}(m) . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 4 Weak and strong coupling regimes

The above results are in an agreement with the BFKL prediction [13] for the singularities at $j \rightarrow 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{u n i}^{N=4}(j)=\hat{a} \frac{4}{\omega}-32 \zeta_{3} \hat{a}^{2}+32 \zeta_{3} \hat{a}^{3} \frac{1}{\omega}-\frac{16 \hat{a}^{4}}{\omega^{4}}\left(32 \zeta_{3}+\frac{\pi^{4}}{9} \omega\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, that recently the four-loop result $\gamma_{u n i}^{(3)}(j)$ was calculated with the use of the asymptotic Bethe ansatz [18]. It turned out, that the obtained expression has the singularity in $j=1$ incompatible with the BFKL prediction. A simple modification of the four loop result taking into account the wrapping effect gives an agreement with the BFKL equation and the following non-linear equation for $j+2 r=\omega \rightarrow 0(r=0,1,2, \ldots)$

$$
\omega \gamma_{u n i}=\gamma_{u n i}^{2}+16 \hat{a}^{2}\left(S_{2}+\zeta_{2}-S_{1}^{2}\right)+4 \hat{a}\left(1-\omega S_{1}-\omega^{2}\left(S_{2}+\zeta_{2}\right)+\gamma^{2}\left(S_{2}+S_{-2}\right)\right)
$$

generalizing the resummation of the double logarithmic terms $\sim \alpha / \omega^{2}$ (cf. [19]).
Further, the universal anomalous dimension at large $j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{u n i}^{N=4}=a(z) \ln j, z=\frac{\alpha N_{c}}{\pi}=4 \hat{a} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be found from our results up to three loops

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=-z+\frac{\pi^{2}}{12} z^{2}-\frac{11}{720} \pi^{4} z^{3}+\ldots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable, that using the AdS/CFT correspondence [20] between the superstring model on the anti-de-Sitter space and the $N=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory A. Polyakov with collaborators calculated the coefficient $a(z)$ in the strong coupling limit [21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} a(z)=-z^{1 / 2}+\frac{3 \ln 2}{4 \pi}+\ldots \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. [16] the resummation of the perturbative expansion of $a(z)$ was suggested, which reproduces approximately the three-loop result and the strong coupling limit.

The perturbative calculations of the anomalous dimension at large $j$ are in agreement with the recent papers [22, 23], where integral equations was derived from the integrability of the model. One can rewrite the Eden-Staudacher integral equation [22] as a set of linear equations [24]

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{n, \epsilon}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=1}^{\infty} K_{n, n^{\prime}}(\epsilon)\left(\delta_{n^{\prime}, 1}-a_{n^{\prime}, \epsilon}\right), K_{n, n^{\prime}}(\epsilon)= \\
2 n \sum_{R=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{R} \frac{2^{-2 R-n-n^{\prime}}}{\epsilon^{2 R+n+n^{\prime}}} \zeta\left(2 R+n+n^{\prime}\right) \frac{\left(2 R+n+n^{\prime}-1\right)!\left(2 R+n+n^{\prime}\right)!}{R!(R+n)!\left(R+n^{\prime}\right)!\left(R+n+n^{\prime}\right)!}, \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $a(z)$ is expressed in terms of $a_{1, \epsilon}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z)=\frac{2\left(1-a_{1, \epsilon}\right)}{\epsilon^{2}}, \epsilon=\frac{1}{g \sqrt{2}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can easiliy prove, that the maximal transcedentality property for $a(z)$ is valid in all orders of the perturbation theory and the coefficients in front of the products of the corresponding $\zeta$ functions are integer numbers [24]. It is possibly to show [24], that the asymptotic behaviour of $a(z)$ in the case of the Beisert-Eden-Staudacher equation [22] in the agreement with the AdS/CFT prediction [21].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{s i n g}=\frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{I_{1}\left(2 \epsilon^{-1}\right)}{I_{0}\left(2 \epsilon^{-1}\right)} \approx 2 \sqrt{2} g-\frac{1}{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, that the intercept of the BFKL Pomeron in the strong coupling limit was calculated in Refs. [17] and [25]
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