$b \rightarrow s \ell^+ \ell^-$ in the high q^2 region at two-loops

Volker Pilipp, Christof Schüpbach

Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012 Bern, Switzerland

We report on the first analytic NNLL calculation for the matrix elements of the operators O_1 and O_2 for the inlusive process $b \to X_s l^+ l^-$ in the kinematical region $q^2 > 4m_c^2$, where q^2 is the invariant mass squared of the lepton-pair.

1 Introduction

In the Standard Model, the flavor-changing neutral current process $b \to X_s l^+ l^-$ only occurs at the one-loop level and is therefore sensitive to new physics. In the kinematical region where the lepton invariant mass squared q^2 is far away from the $c\bar{c}$ -resonances, the dilepton invariant mass spectrum and the forward-backward asymmetry can be precisely predicted using large m_b expansion, where the leading term is given by the partonic matrix element of the effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ts}^* V_{tb} \sum_{i=1}^{10} C_i(\mu) O_i(\mu).$$
(1)

We neglect the CKM combination $V_{us}^* V_{ub}$ and the operator basis is defined as in [1]. In [2] we published the first analytic NNLL calculation of the high q^2 region of the matrix elements of the operators

$$O_1 = (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu T^a c_L) (\bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu T^a b_L), \qquad O_2 = (\bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu c_L) (\bar{c}_L \gamma^\mu b_L), \qquad (2)$$

which dominate the NNLL amplitude numerically. Earlier these results were only available analytically in the region of low q^2 [3, 4].

2 Calculations



Figure 1: Diagrams that have to be taken into account at order α_s . The circle-crosses denote the possible locations where the virtual photon is emitted (see text).

The diagrams contributing at order α_s are shown in Figure 1. We set $m_s = 0$ and define $\hat{s} = q^2/m_b^2$ and $z = m_c^2/m_b^2$, where q is the momentum of the virtual photon. After reducing

LP09

505

occurring tensor-like Feynman integrals [5] the remaining scalar integrals can be further reduced to master integrals using integration by parts (IBP) identities [6]. Considering the region $\hat{s} > 4z$, we expanded the master integrals in z and kept the full analytic dependence in \hat{s} .

For power expanding Feynman integrals we use a combination of *method of regions* [7] and *differential equation techniques* [8, 9]: Consider a set of Feynman integrals I_1, \ldots, I_n depending on the expansion parameter z and related by a system of differential equations obtained by differentiating I_{α} with respect to z and applying IBP identities:

$$\frac{d}{dz}I_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}I_{\beta} + g_{\alpha},\tag{3}$$

where g_{α} contains simpler integrals which pose no serious problems. Expanding both sides of (3) in ϵ , z and $\ln z$

$$I_{\alpha} = \sum_{i,j,k} I_{\alpha,i}^{(j,k)} \epsilon^{i} z^{j} (\ln z)^{k}, \qquad h_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} h_{\alpha\beta,i}^{(j)} \epsilon^{i} z^{j}, \qquad g_{\alpha} = \sum_{i,j,k} g_{\alpha,i}^{(j,k)} \epsilon^{i} z^{j} (\ln z)^{k}, \qquad (4)$$

and inserting (4) into (3) we obtain algebraic equations for the coefficients $I_{\alpha,i}^{(j,k)}$

$$0 = (j+1)I_{\alpha,i}^{(j+1,k)} + (k+1)I_{\alpha,i}^{(j+1,k+1)} - \sum_{\beta}\sum_{i'}\sum_{j'}h_{\alpha\beta,i'}^{(j')}I_{\beta,i-i'}^{(j-j',k)} - g_{\alpha,i}^{(j,k)}.$$
(5)

This enables us to recursively calculate higher powers of z of I_{α} , once the leading powers are known. In practice this means that we need the $I_{\alpha,i}^{(0,0)}$ and sometimes also the $I_{\alpha,i}^{(1,0)}$ as initial condition to (5). These initial conditions can be computed using method of regions. A non trivial check is provided by the fact that the leading terms containing logarithms of z can be calculated by both method of regions and the recurrence relation (5).

The summation index j in (4) can take integer or half-integer values, depending on the specific set of integrals I_{α} . In order to determine the possible powers of z and $\ln(z)$ we used the algorithm described in [9].

3 Results

In order to get accurate results we keep terms up to z^{10} . Our results agree with the previous numerical calculation [10] within less than 1% difference. The impact of our results on the perturbative part of the high q^2 -spectrum [3]

$$R(\hat{s}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_c e^- \bar{\nu}_e)} \frac{d\Gamma(\bar{B} \to X_s \ell^+ \ell^-)}{d\hat{s}}$$
(6)

is shown in Figure 2 (left), where we used the same parameters as in [2]. The finite bremsstrahlung corrections calculated in [4] are neglected. From Figure 2 (left) we conclude that for $\mu = m_b$ the contributions of our results lead to corrections of the order 10% - 15%. Integrating $R(\hat{s})$ over the high \hat{s} region, we define

$$R_{\rm high} = \int_{0.6}^{1} d\hat{s} \, R(\hat{s}). \tag{7}$$

LP09

506



Figure 2: Perturbative part of $R(\hat{s})$ (left) and R_{high} (right) at NNLL. The solid lines represents the NNLL result, whereas in the dotted lines the order α_s corrections to the matrix elements associated with $O_{1,2}$ are switched off. In the left figure we use $\mu = m_b$. See text for details.

Figure 2 (right) shows the dependence of the perturbative part of R_{high} on the renormalization scale. We obtain

$$R_{\rm high, pert} = (0.43 \pm 0.01(\mu)) \times 10^{-5}, \tag{8}$$

where we determined the error by varying μ between 2 GeV and 10 GeV. The corrections due to our results lead to a decrease of the scale dependence to 2%.

References

- [1] C. Bobeth, M. Misiak and J. Urban, Nucl. Phys. B574, 291 (2000).
- [2] C. Greub, V. Pilipp and C. Schüpbach, JHEP **0812**, 040 (2008).
- [3] H. H. Asatryan, H. M. Asatrian, C. Greub and M. Walker, Phys. Rev. D65, 074004 (2002).
- [4] H. H. Asatryan, H. M. Asatrian, C. Greub and M. Walker, Phys. Rev. D66, 034009 (2002).
- [5] G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B160, 151 (1979).
- [6] K. G. Chetyrkin and F. V. Tkachov, Nucl. Phys. B192, 159 (1981); F. V. Tkachov, Phys. Lett. B100, 65 (1981).
- M. Beneke and V. A. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B522, 321 (1998); S. G. Gorishnii, Nucl. Phys. B319, 633 (1989); V. A. Smirnov, Commun. Math. Phys. 134, 109 (1990); V. A. Smirnov, Springer Tracts Mod. Phys. 177, 1 (2002).
- [8] R. Boughezal, M. Czakon and T. Schutzmeier, JHEP 09, 072 (2007); A. V. Kotikov, Phys. Lett. B254, 158 (1991); V. Pilipp, Nucl. Phys. B794, 154 (2008); E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cim. A110, 1435 (1997).
- [9] V. Pilipp, JHEP **09**, 135 (2008).
- [10] A. Ghinculov, T. Hurth, G. Isidori and Y. P. Yao, Nucl. Phys. B685, 351 (2004).

LP09