

Yukawaon model and unified description of quark and lepton mass matrices

Yoshio Koide

Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

In the so-called yukawaon model, where effective Yukawa coupling constants Y_f^{eff} ($f = e, \nu, u, d$) are given by vacuum expectation values of gauge singlet scalars (yukawaons) Y_f with 3×3 flavor components, it is tried to give a unified description of quark and lepton mass matrices. Especially, without assuming any discrete symmetry in the lepton sector, nearly tribimaximal mixing is derived by assumed a simple up-quark mass matrix form.

1 What is a yukawaon model?

First, let us give a short review of the so-called *yukawaon* model: We regard Yukawa coupling constants Y_f as effective coupling constants Y_f^{eff} in an effective theory, and we consider that Y_f^{eff} originate in vacuum expectation values (VEVs) of new gauge singlet scalars Y_f , i.e.

$$Y_f^{eff} = \frac{y_f}{\Lambda} \langle Y_f \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where Λ is a scale of an effective theory which is valid at $\mu \leq \Lambda$, and we assume $\langle Y_f \rangle \sim \Lambda$. We refer the fields Y_f as *yukawaons* [1] hereafter. Note that the effective coupling constants Y_f^{eff} evolve as those in the standard SUSY model below the scale Λ , since a flavor symmetry is completely broken at a high energy scale $\mu \sim \Lambda$.

In the present work, we assume an $O(3)$ flavor symmetry. In order to distinguish each Y_f from others, we assume a $U(1)_X$ symmetry (i.e. *sector charge*). (The $SU(2)_L$ doublet fields q, ℓ, H_u and H_d are assigned to sector charges $Q_X = 0$.) Then, we obtain VEV relations as follows: (i) We give an $O(3)$ and $U(1)_X$ invariant superpotential for yukawaons Y_f . (ii) We solve SUSY vacuum conditions $\partial W / \partial Y_f = 0$. (iii) Then, we obtain VEV relations among Y_f .

For example, in the seesaw-type neutrino mass matrix, $M_\nu \propto \langle Y_\nu \rangle \langle Y_R \rangle^{-1} \langle Y_\nu \rangle^T$, we obtain [2]

$$\langle Y_R \rangle \propto \langle Y_e \rangle \langle \Phi_u \rangle + \langle \Phi_u \rangle \langle Y_e \rangle \quad (2)$$

together with $\langle Y_\nu \rangle \propto \langle Y_e \rangle$ and $\langle Y_u \rangle \propto \langle \Phi_u \rangle \langle \Phi_u \rangle$, i.e. a neutrino mass matrix is given by

$$\langle M_\nu \rangle_e \propto \langle Y_e \rangle_e \{ \langle Y_e \rangle_e \langle \Phi_u \rangle_e + \langle \Phi_u \rangle_e \langle Y_e \rangle_e \}^{-1} \langle Y_e \rangle_e, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_u \propto \text{diag}(\sqrt{m_u}, \sqrt{m_c}, \sqrt{m_t})$, and $\langle A \rangle_f$ denotes a form of a VEV matrix $\langle A \rangle$ in the diagonal basis of $\langle Y_f \rangle$ (we refer it as f basis). We can obtain a form $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_d = V(\delta)^T \langle \Phi_u \rangle_u V(\delta)$ from the definition of the CKM matrix $V(\delta)$, but we do not know an explicit form of $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e$. Therefore, in a previous work [2], the author put an ansatz, $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e = V(\pi)^T \langle \Phi_u \rangle_u V(\pi)$ by

supposing $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e \simeq \langle \Phi_u \rangle_d$, and he obtained excellent predictions of the neutrino oscillation parameters without assuming any discrete symmetry. However, there is no theoretical ground for the ansatz for the form $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e$.

The purpose of the present work is to investigate a quark mass matrix model in order to predict neutrino mixing parameters on the basis of a yukawaon model (2), without such the ad hoc ansatz, because if we give a quark mass matrix model where mass matrices (M_u, M_d) are given on the e basis, then, we can obtain the form $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e$ by using a transformation $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_e = U_u \langle \Phi_u \rangle_u U_u^T$, where U_u is defined by $U_u^T M_u U_u = M_u^{diag}$.

2 Yukawaons in the quark sector

We assume a superpotential in the quark sector [3]:

$$W_q = \mu_u [Y_u \Theta_u] + \lambda_u [\Phi_u \Phi_u \Theta_u] + \mu_u^X [\Phi_u \Theta_u^X] + \mu_d^X [Y_d \Theta_d^X] + \sum_{q=u,d} \frac{\xi_q}{\Lambda} [\Phi_e (\Phi_X + a_q E) \Phi_e \Theta_q^X]. \quad (4)$$

Here and hereafter, for convenience, we denotes $\text{Tr}[\dots]$ as $[\dots]$ simply. From SUSY vacuum conditions $\partial W / \partial \Theta_u = 0$, $\partial W / \partial \Theta_u^X = 0$ and $\partial W / \partial \Theta_d^X = 0$, we obtain $\langle Y_u \rangle \propto \langle \Phi_u \rangle \langle \Phi_u \rangle$,

$$M_u^{1/2} \propto \langle \Phi_u \rangle_e \propto \langle \Phi_e \rangle_e (\langle \Phi_X \rangle_e + a_u \langle E \rangle_e) \langle \Phi_e \rangle_e, \quad (5)$$

$$M_d \propto \langle Y_d \rangle_e \propto \langle \Phi_e \rangle_e (\langle \Phi_X \rangle_e + a_d \langle E \rangle_e) \langle \Phi_e \rangle_e, \quad (6)$$

respectively. Here, $\langle \Phi_X \rangle_e$ and $\langle E \rangle_e$ are given by

$$\langle \Phi_X \rangle_e \propto X \equiv \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle E \rangle_e \propto \mathbf{1} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

(Note that the VEV form $\langle \Phi_X \rangle_e$ breaks the $O(3)$ flavor symmetry into S_3 .) Therefore, we obtain quark mass matrices

$$M_u^{1/2} \propto M_e^{1/2} (X + a_u \mathbf{1}) M_e^{1/2}, \quad M_d \propto M_e^{1/2} (X + a_d e^{i\alpha_d} \mathbf{1}) M_e^{1/2}, \quad (8)$$

on the e basis. Note that we have assumed that the $O(3)$ relations are valid only on the e and u bases, so that $\langle Y_e \rangle$ and $\langle Y_u \rangle$ must be real.

A case $a_u \simeq -0.56$ can give a reasonable up-quark mass ratios $\sqrt{m_{u1}/m_{u2}} = 0.043$ and $\sqrt{m_{u2}/m_{u3}} = 0.057$, which are in favor of the observed values [4] $\sqrt{m_u/m_c} = 0.045_{-0.010}^{+0.013}$, and $\sqrt{m_c/m_t} = 0.060 \pm 0.005$ at $\mu = M_Z$.

3 Yukawaons in the neutrino

However, the up-quark mass matrix (5) failed to give reasonable neutrino oscillation parameter values although it can give reasonable up-quark mass ratios. Therefore, we will slightly modify the model (2) in the neutrino sector.

Note that the sign of the eigenvalues of $M_u^{1/2}$ given by Eq.(8) is $(+, -, +)$ for the case $a_u \simeq -0.56$. If we assume that the eigenvalues of $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_u$ must be positive, so that $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_u$ in

Eq.(2) is replaced as $\langle \Phi_u \rangle_u \rightarrow \langle \Phi_u \rangle_u \cdot \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1)$, then, we can obtain successful results except for $\tan^2 \theta_{solar}$, i.e. predictions $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 0.984$ and $|U_{13}| = 0.0128$ and an unfavorable prediction $\tan^2 \theta_{solar} = 0.7033$.

When we introduce a new field P_u with a VEV $\langle P_u \rangle_u \propto \text{diag}(+1, -1, +1)$, we must consider an existence of $P_u Y_e \Phi_u + \Phi_u Y_e P_u$ in addition to $Y_e P_u \Phi_u + \Phi_u P_u Y_e$, because they have the same $U(1)_X$ charges. Therefore, we modify Eq.(2) into

$$W_R = \mu_R [Y_R \Theta_R] + \frac{\lambda_R}{\Lambda} \{ [(Y_e P_u \Phi_u + \Phi_u P_u Y_e) \Theta_R] + \xi [(P_u Y_e \Phi_u + \Phi_u Y_e P_u) \Theta_R] \}, \quad (9)$$

which leads to VEV relation $Y_R \propto Y_e P_u \Phi_u + \Phi_u P_u Y_e + \xi (P_u Y_e \Phi_u + \Phi_u Y_e P_u)$. The results at $a_u \simeq -0.56$ are excellently in favor of the observed neutrino oscillation parameters by taking a small value of $|\xi|$ (see Table 1):

Also, we can calculate the down-quark sector. We have two parameters (a_d, α_d) in the down-quark sector given in Eq.(8). (See Table 2 in Ref.[3]). The results are roughly reasonable, although $|V_{i3}|$ and $|V_{3i}|$ are somewhat larger than the observed values. Those discrepancies will be improved in future version of the model.

4 Summary

In conclusion, for the purpose of deriving the observed nearly tribimaximal neutrino mixing, a possible yukawaon model in the quark sector is investigated. Five observable quantities (2 up-quark mass ratios and 3 neutrino mixing parameters) are excellently fitted by

Sector	Parameters	Predictions		
		$\sin^2 \theta_{atm}$	$\tan^2 \theta_{solar}$	$ U_{13} $
M_ν	$\xi = +0.0005$	0.982	0.449	0.012
	$\xi = -0.0012$	0.990	0.441	0.014
$M_u^{1/2}$	$a_u = -0.56$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_u}{m_c}} = 0.0425$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_c}{m_t}} = 0.0570$	
	two parameters	5 observables: fitted excellently		
M_d	$a_d e^{i\alpha_d}$	$\sqrt{\frac{m_d}{m_s}}, \sqrt{\frac{m_s}{m_b}}$	$ V_{us} , V_{cb} , V_{ub} , V_{td} $	
	two parameters	6 observables: not always excellent		

Table 1: Summary of the present model.

two parameters. Also, the CKM mixing parameters and down-quark mass ratios are given under additional 2 parameters. The results are summarized in Table 1.

It is worthwhile to notice that the observed tribimaximal mixing in the neutrino sector is substantially obtained from the up-quark mass matrix structure (8). Although the model for down-quark sector still need an improvement, the present approach will provide a new view to a unified description of the masses and mixings.

References

- [1] Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. **D78** 093006 (2008); Phys. Rev. **D79** 033009 (2009).
- [2] Y. Koide, Phys. Lett. **B665** 227 (2008).
- [3] Y. Koide, Phys. Lett. **B680** 76 (2009).
- [4] Z.-z. Xing, H. Zhang and S. Zhou, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 113016. Also, see H. Fusaoka and Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 3986.