

Properties Measurement of New (Higgs) Boson

Shivali Malhotra on behalf of the CMS Collaboration

Department of Physics & Astrophysics, University of Delhi, Delhi - 07, India

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3204/DESY-PROC-2014-04/186>

The precise determination of the mass, couplings and other properties of the particle discovered in 2012 around 125 GeV is important to establish precisely if it is a Standard Model Higgs boson. CMS experiment has collected lot more data since the discovery of this particle in July 2012 and has performed many of its properties measurements. In this talk, I will present some of these measurements. These measurements are based on data samples corresponding to integrated luminosities of up to 5.1 fb^{-1} at 7 TeV and up to 19.7 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV in proton-proton collisions at the LHC. The combined result for the measured mass, the best-fit signal for all the channels and different fits for couplings, using all the studied Higgs boson decay modes, will be described.

1 Introduction

The Standard Model (SM) is the most successful theory which describes many of the experimental results and predicts all the properties of the interactions of the known elementary particles. The Higgs boson is one of the predicted corner stones in the SM theory and is responsible for giving mass to all the fundamental particles. The Higgs boson has been searched for few decades with different experiments like Large Electron Positron Collider, Tevatron, but success came with Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The spectacular observation of a scalar particle with a mass $\sim 125 \text{ GeV}$ by both CMS [1] and ATLAS [2] Collaborations, opens a crucial chapter of properties measurement. The measurement of the properties of the 125 GeV boson is important not only to confirm whether this is the SM Higgs boson but also to look for hints of beyond SM physics. The combination of the different decay modes of this boson i.e. WW , ZZ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\tau\tau$ and $b\bar{b}$, as well as measurements of the $t\bar{t}H$ production mode [3, 4, 5], are exploited to measure its properties. To reconstruct the mass of the Higgs boson, high resolution channels i.e. $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ (with $l = e, \mu$) and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, are considered. The statistical methodology used for the combination of all the Higgs analysis was developed by the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations in the context of the LHC Higgs Combination Group [6]. Systematic uncertainties and their correlations are modelled by the introduction of nuisance parameters with their expected distributions.

2 Mass Measurement

The accurate measurement of the mass of the boson is done using the invariant mass distribution of two decay modes namely, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$ that are the two high resolution channels. A fit to the data is performed separately profiling independent signal strengths in three final states i.e. $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ without Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) tag




Figure 1: (Left) 1D test statistics $q(m_H)$ scan vs hypothesized Higgs boson mass m_H for the $\gamma\gamma$ (green) and $4l$ (red) final states separately and for their combination (black). (Right) Scan of the test statistic $q(m_H^{\gamma\gamma} - m_H^{4l})$ versus the difference between two individual mass measurements.

and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ with VBF tag. The three signal strength are left free to reduce the model dependency of the mass determinations. Figure 1 (left) shows the likelihood scan as function of the mass of the $H \rightarrow ZZ$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channels and of their combination. The horizontal lines at 1.0 and 3.84 depicts the 68% and 95 % CL intervals. The mass is measured to be $m_H = 125.03^{+0.26}_{-0.27}(\text{stat.})^{+0.13}_{-0.15}(\text{syst.})$ GeV from the combination of the two high resolution channels.

Decay Channel	Expected (σ)	Observed(σ)
$H \rightarrow WW$ [7]	5.4	4.3
$H \rightarrow ZZ$ [8]	6.3	6.5
$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ [9]	5.3	5.6
$H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ [10]	3.9	3.9
$H \rightarrow bb$ [11]	2.3	2.1

Table 1: Expected and observed significances of the excess for $m_H = 125.0$ GeV of the combinations of channels.

and observed significance for individual channel for a SM Higgs boson mass of 125.0 GeV. We have used the combination of these five dominant decay channels for different compatibility tests of the Higgs boson.

3 Signal Strength

After the precise measurement of mass of the Higgs boson, the best fit value of the signal strength modifier, $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ is calculated which quantifies the compatibility of an excess with the expectations from a SM Higgs boson. Evaluation of the signal strength by combining channels with respect to decay mode, Fig. 2 (left), or by combining with different production

To quantify the compatibility of the two individual measurements, a scan of the test statistics $q(m_H^{\gamma\gamma} - m_H^{4l})$ versus the difference between two individual mass measurements from $\gamma\gamma$ and $4l$ final states is performed, Fig. 1 (right). The result comes out to be $m_H^{\gamma\gamma} - m_H^{4l} = -0.87^{+0.54}_{-0.57}$ GeV and the two measurements agree at the 1.6σ level.

Table 1, summarizes the expected

PROPERTIES MEASUREMENT OF NEW (HIGGS) BOSON




Figure 2: Values of the best-fit $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ for sub-combinations by (left) predominant decay channels and (right) individual production modes. The vertical band shows the overall $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ uncertainty while the horizontal bars indicate the ± 1 standard deviation uncertainties in the best-fit $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{SM}}$ values for the individual modes; they include both statistical and systematic uncertainties.

tags, Fig. 2 (right), has been done. A slight excess in the t \bar{t} H-tagged sub-combination is due to the excesses in the t \bar{t} H-tagged H \rightarrow $\gamma\gamma$ and H \rightarrow leptons analyses. The combined best-fit signal strength for $m_H = 125$ GeV is found to be $1.00 \pm 0.09(\text{stat.})^{+0.08}_{-0.07}(\text{theo.}) \pm 0.07(\text{sys.})$.

4 Couplings to Fermions and Bosons

We map the vectorial and fermionic couplings into two scale factors, κ_V and κ_f , respectively. Figure 3 (left) shows the likelihood scan as a function of κ_V , κ_f with the cross indicating the best-fit values (1.01, 0.89) with respective uncertainties. The fit is compatible with the SM at the one sigma level with κ_f value being smaller than unity due to an excess in the VBF H \rightarrow $\gamma\gamma$ channel and deficit in the fermionic channels. The same (κ_V, κ_f) analysis is also performed separately for each Higgs boson decay mode to better visualize the contribution of individual channels, Fig. 3 (right).

5 Other Compatibility Tests

To test the custodial symmetry, we introduce two scaling factors κ_W and κ_Z that modify the SM Higgs boson couplings to the W and Z bosons and perform combination in two channels i.e. untagged pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW and pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ, to assess the consistency of the ratio $\lambda_{WZ} = \kappa_W/\kappa_Z$ with unity. The result is $\lambda_{WZ} = 0.94^{+0.22}_{-0.18}$ while assuming SM couplings to fermions, $\kappa_f = 1$; which implies that the data are consistent with the SM expectation.

The asymmetry of couplings to fermions is checked by performing two combinations in which we allow for different ratios of the couplings to down/up fermions ($\lambda_{du} = \kappa_d/\kappa_u$) or different




Figure 3: 2D test statistics $q(\kappa_V, \kappa_F)$ likelihood scan constrained to the (+,+) quadrant. The yellow diamond shows the SM point $(\kappa_V, \kappa_f) = (1, 1)$. (Left) The cross indicates the global best-fit values with the solid, dashed, and dotted contours showing the 68%, 95%, and 99.7% CL regions, respectively. (Right) The 68% CL contours for individual channels (colored swaths) and for the overall combination (thick curve) for the (κ_V, κ_f) parameters.

ratios of the couplings to leptons and quarks ($\lambda_{lq} = \kappa_l/\kappa_q$) assuming $\Gamma_{BSM} = 0$. Both λ_{du} and λ_{lq} are found to be constrained within $[0.66, 1.43]$ and $[0.61, 1.49]$ respectively, at 95% CL.

We also explore a generic five-parameter model by making some assumptions with the scale factor for different couplings. The couplings to W and Z bosons are scaled by κ_W and κ_Z , respectively; κ_t denotes the scale factor for couplings of up-type quarks; κ_b denotes the scale factor for couplings of down-type quarks; and κ_τ denotes the scale factor for all the charged leptons. The result of a model with five independent coupling scaling factors, assuming SM structure for loops is shown in Fig. 4.

Many other compatibility tests were also performed for checking beyond the SM physics scenarios [12].

6 Results

The excellent performance of the LHC machine and the CMS detector during Run I made the experiment able to collect data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of about 5 fb^{-1} at a collision energy of 7 TeV in 2011 and about 20 fb^{-1} at 8 TeV in 2012 and led to the discovery of a scalar particle. It was then required to understand its various properties and to check whether they are consistent with the Higgs boson predicted by SM. It was possible to measure the mass of the Higgs boson that resulted $m_H = 125.03^{+0.26}_{-0.27}(\text{stat.})^{+0.13}_{-0.15}(\text{syst.})$ GeV using the CMS detector, at this value the Higgs boson is allowed to decay in many different modes. For the mass measured at 125 GeV, the event yields obtained in the different analyses for specific decay modes and production mechanisms are consistent with those expected for the SM Higgs boson. The combined best-fit signal strength was also found compatible with the SM expectation. Searches for deviations of the couplings of the Higgs boson along with some other

compatibility tests were performed and no significant deviations were found. Run II of LHC may shed some more light with precision measurement of the above mentioned quantities while having more statistics in hand at higher energy and higher integrated luminosity.

References

- [1] The CMS collaboration, arXiv:1207:7235 [hep-ex].
- [2] The ATLAS collaboration, arXiv:1207:7214 [hep-ex].
- [3] CMS Collaboration, “Search for the standard model Higgs boson produced in association with a top-quark pair in pp collisions at the LHC, JHEP **05** (2013) 145, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2013)145, arXiv:1303.0763.
- [4] CMS Collaboration, “Search for Higgs Boson Production in Association with a Top-Quark Pair and Decaying to Bottom Quarks or Tau Leptons, Technical Report CMS-PAS-HIG-13-019, CERN, Geneva, 2013.
- [5] CMS Collaboration, “Search for the standard model Higgs boson produced in association with top quarks in multilepton final states, Technical Report CMS-PAS-HIG-13-020, CERN, Geneva, 2013.
- [6] LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group, “Handbook of LHC Higgs Cross Sections: 3. Higgs Properties”, (2013). arXiv:1307.1347.
- [7] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of Higgs boson production and properties in the WW decay channel with leptonic final states”, JHEP **01** (2014) 096, doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2014)096, arXiv:1312.1129.
- [8] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the properties of a Higgs boson in the four-lepton final state”, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 092007, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.092007, arXiv:1312.5353.
- [9] CMS Collaboration, “Observation of the diphoton decay of the 125 GeV Higgs boson and measurement of its properties”, CMS-HIG-13-001, CERN-PH-EP-2014-117, 2014. Submitted for publication in Eur. Phys. J. C.
- [10] CMS Collaboration, “Evidence for the 125 GeV Higgs boson decaying to a pair of t leptons”, JHEP **05** (2014) 104, doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)104, arXiv:1401.5041.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, “Search for the standard model Higgs boson produced in association with a W or a Z boson and decaying to bottom quarks”, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 012003, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.012003, arXiv:1310.3687.
- [12] CMS Collaboration, “Precise determination of the mass of the Higgs boson and studies of the compatibility of its couplings with the standard model”, CMS PAS HIG-14-009.




Figure 4: Summary of the fits for deviations in the coupling for the generic five-parameter model assuming SM structure for loops, expressed as function of the particle mass. The dashed line is taken from the fit to data with the model (M, ε)