



DESY 93-031
March 1993



**Nonlinear Canonical Spin - Orbit Dynamics in
Storage Rings: Normal Forms and the \vec{n} - Axis**

D. P. Barber, K. Heinemann, G. Ripken
Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg

ISSN 0418-9833

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · D-2000 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

**To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the
HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX,
send them to the following (if possible by air mail):**

DESY Bibliothek Notkestraße 85 W-2000 Hamburg 52 Germany	DESY-IfH Bibliothek Platanenallee 6 O-1615 Zeuthen Germany
---	---

Nonlinear Canonical Spin - Orbit Dynamics in Storage Rings : Normal Forms and the \vec{n} -Axis

D.P. Barber, K. Heinemann, G. Ripken

March 1993

Abstract

The two real canonical spin variables α and β introduced in an earlier paper are combined with the six canonical variables of coupled synchro-betatron motion to form a system of eight canonical spin-orbit variables in which spin and orbital motion are treated on the same level. In these variables one turn maps are origin preserving and the usual techniques of canonical perturbation theory can be applied. By writing the Hamiltonian in normal form the spin detuning terms as well as the so called \vec{n} -axis which is needed in the theory of radiative polarization can be constructed. The equations derived are valid for arbitrary velocity of the particles (below and above transition energy).

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Spin-Orbit Motion in a Fixed Coordinate System	4
2.1	The Starting Hamiltonian	4
2.2	Orbital Motion	5
2.3	Spin Motion	6
2.4	The Combined Form of the Spin-Orbit Equations	9
2.5	Transition to a New Dreibein $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2, \bar{u}_3$ for Spin Motion	10
3	Introduction of Machine Coordinates	13
3.1	Reference Trajectory and Coordinate Frame	13
3.2	The Spin-Orbit Hamiltonian in Terms of Machine Coordinates	14
4	Introduction of an Eight-Dimensional Closed Orbit	18
4.1	Definition of the Eight-Dimensional Closed Orbit	18
4.2	The Oscillations around the Closed Orbit	19
4.2.1	Canonical Transformation for the Spin Variables	20
4.2.2	Transformation of the Orbital Variables	22
4.2.3	Series Expansion of the Hamiltonian and the Linearised Equations of Motion	26
5	The Definition of Normal Forms and the \bar{n}-Axis	31
6	Variation of Constants in the Coupled Case	33
6.1	The Unperturbed System.	33
6.1.1	The Equations of Motion for the Unperturbed System.	33
6.1.2	Eigenvectors for the Particle Motion ; Floquet-Theorem.	34
6.2	The Perturbed System.	36
7	Nonlinear Perturbation Theory and Normal Forms	45
8	Summary	53
	Acknowledgements	53
	Appendix A: An Approximative Calculation of the Eigenvectors for Spin - Orbit Motion	53
	Appendix B: The Hamiltonian in Terms of J_k and Φ_k	57
	References	59

1 Introduction

In an earlier paper [1] we introduced a pair of real canonical spin variables α and β which uniquely parametrize the classical spin over (almost) the whole 'spin sphere' and which behave in the small spin tilt limit like those used by Chao in the SLIM formalism [2]. These variables can be treated on the same level as the canonical set, $(x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma)$ describing the coupled synchro-betatron motion. It is then possible to establish (following Ref. [1]) a Hamiltonian description of combined spin-orbit motion for which the Hamiltonian can be expanded as a power series in small quantities. In this way the usual techniques of canonical perturbation theory can be applied simultaneously and consistently to the whole spin-orbit equation system. For example, at the linear level the motion can be described using 8×8 symplectic transfer matrices. Furthermore, normal forms can be introduced and the mutual detuning of the orbital and spin motion can be investigated. The introduction of normal forms leads to the construction of the so-called \bar{n} -axis [3, 4] needed in the analytical calculation of spin polarization in electron storage rings.

In detail, the work is organized as follows:

The starting point is the semiclassical spin-orbit Hamiltonian of Derbenev and Kondratenko [4, 5] described in a fixed Cartesian coordinate system but rewritten in terms of α and β [1, 6]. This description is summarized in chapter 2.

In chapter 3 the spin-orbit Hamiltonian is expressed in machine coordinates within the framework of the 6-dimensional description of particle motion by using the arc length s of the design orbit as independent variable (instead of the time t), taking into account all kinds of coupling induced by skew quadrupoles and solenoids, by non-vanishing dispersion in the cavities and by Stern-Gerlach forces. The equations so derived are valid for arbitrary velocity of the particles (below and above transition energy).

In chapter 4 we introduce the 8-dimensional closed orbit for the combined spin-orbit system as a new reference orbit for spin-orbit motion, defining the periodic 6-dimensional closed orbit for particle motion and the periodic $(\vec{n}_0, \vec{m}, \vec{l})$ -dreibein for spin motion. The oscillations around this closed orbit are investigated.

In chapter 5 normal forms and the \bar{n} -axis are defined.

In order to study the perturbative behaviour of spin-orbit motion we need the Hamiltonian of the linearised spin-orbit system in terms of action-angle variables. This can be obtained by variation of constants and is derived in chapter 6.

After this preparation we then diagonalise the Hamiltonian using canonical perturbation theory which finally leads to the normal forms of spin-orbit motion (chapter 7) and to a method of calculating the \bar{n} -axis in storage rings.

A summary of the results is finally presented in chapter 8.

Finally we remark that other methods for calculating the \bar{n} -axis and the corresponding computer algorithms have already been described in several papers [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Those based on normal forms could in principle be reformulated in terms of α and β .

2 Spin-Orbit Motion in a Fixed Coordinate System

2.1 The Starting Hamiltonian

The starting point of our description of classical spin-orbit motion is the classical Hamiltonian, \mathcal{H} [1]:

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{r}, \alpha; \vec{P}, \beta; t) = \mathcal{H}_{orb}(\vec{r}, \vec{P}, t) + \mathcal{H}_{spin}(\vec{r}, \alpha; \vec{P}, \beta; t) \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_{orb}(\vec{r}, \vec{P}, t) = c \cdot \{\vec{\pi}^2 + m_0^2 c^2\}^{1/2} - e\phi; \quad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{spin}(\vec{r}, \alpha; \vec{P}, \beta; t) = \vec{\Omega}_0(\vec{r}, \vec{P}, t) \cdot \vec{\xi}(\alpha, \beta) \quad (2.2b)$$

and

$$\vec{\Omega}_0 = -\frac{e}{m_0 c} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + a \right) \cdot \vec{B} - \frac{a (\vec{\pi} \cdot \vec{B})}{\gamma(\gamma+1)m_0^2 c^2} \cdot \vec{\pi} - \frac{1}{m_0 c \gamma} \left(a + \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \right) \vec{\pi} \times \vec{e} \right] \quad (2.3)$$

where we use the notation of Ref. [1].

\vec{r} and \vec{P} are canonical orbital position and momentum variables, $\vec{\xi}$ is a classical spin vector of length $\hbar/2$ depending on the canonical spin variables α and β and $\vec{\pi}$ and γ are given by:

$$\vec{\pi} = \vec{P} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \quad (\text{kinetic momentum vector}); \quad (2.4)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{m_0 c} \cdot \sqrt{m_0^2 c^2 + \vec{\pi}^2} \quad (\text{Lorentz factor}). \quad (2.5)$$

In terms of the three unit cartesian coordinate vectors in the fixed laboratory frame, $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3$ we may write \vec{r}, \vec{P} and $\vec{\xi}$ as:

$$\vec{r} = X_1 \cdot \vec{e}_1 + X_2 \cdot \vec{e}_2 + X_3 \cdot \vec{e}_3; \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\vec{P} = P_1 \cdot \vec{e}_1 + P_2 \cdot \vec{e}_2 + P_3 \cdot \vec{e}_3; \quad (2.6b)$$

$$\vec{\xi} = \xi_1 \cdot \vec{e}_1 + \xi_2 \cdot \vec{e}_2 + \xi_3 \cdot \vec{e}_3. \quad (2.6c)$$

The spin components ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 as defined by (2.6c) are written in terms of the spin variables α and β :

$$\xi_1(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}; \quad (2.7a)$$

$$\xi_2(\alpha, \beta) = \beta \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}; \quad (2.7b)$$

$$\xi_3(\alpha, \beta) = \xi - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \quad (2.7c)$$

with

$$\xi^2 = \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \xi_3^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4}.$$

The canonical spin variables α and β are to be used on an equal basis with \vec{r} and \vec{P} . $\vec{\xi}$ is of constant length since it obeys a precession equation. See below.

Remarks:

1) Equations (2.7a, b, c) can be inverted to give:

$$\alpha = +\sqrt{\frac{2}{\xi + \xi_3}} \cdot \xi_1 ; \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\beta = +\sqrt{\frac{2}{\xi + \xi_3}} \cdot \xi_2 . \quad (2.8b)$$

2) The values of α and β are restricted by the condition :

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \leq 4\xi \implies \xi \geq \xi_3 \geq -\xi .$$

3) For

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 < 4\xi$$

the correspondence between α, β and ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 is one-one.

4) For

$$\left| \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right| \ll 1 ; \quad \left| \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\xi}} \right| \ll 1$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &\approx \alpha \cdot \sqrt{\xi} ; \\ \xi_2 &\approx \beta \cdot \sqrt{\xi} \end{aligned}$$

and in this case our canonical α and β behave like the spin-coordinates introduced by Chao in the SLIM-program [2].

2.2 Orbital Motion

With the Hamiltonian (2.1) the orbital equations of motion are:

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_k = +\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial P_k} + \frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}_0}{\partial P_k} \cdot \vec{\xi} ; \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P_k &= -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial X_k} - \frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}_0}{\partial X_k} \cdot \vec{\xi} ; \quad (2.9b) \\ &(k = 1, 2, 3) . \end{aligned}$$

The first terms on the rhs of (2.9) are the Lorentz terms and the second terms describe the (very small) Stern-Gerlach force [12]. Thus our Hamiltonian includes the SG force automatically. Note that here we deal with the relativistic generalization of the SG effect.

2.3 Spin Motion

Using eqns. (2.7a, b, c) and the relationship

$$\vec{\Omega}_0 = \Omega_{01} \cdot \vec{e}_1 - \Omega_{02} \cdot \vec{e}_2 + \Omega_{03} \cdot \vec{e}_3. \quad (2.10)$$

the spin-Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{spin}

$$\mathcal{H}_{spin} = \vec{\Omega}_0 \cdot \vec{\xi}$$

may also be written as:

$$\mathcal{H}_{spin} = \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \cdot [\Omega_{01} \cdot \alpha + \Omega_{02} \cdot \beta] + \left[\xi - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right] \cdot \Omega_{03}. \quad (2.11)$$

Then we obtain the canonical equations of spin motion in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \alpha = + \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{spin}}{\partial \beta}; \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \beta = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{spin}}{\partial \alpha} \quad (2.12b)$$

leading to:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \alpha = + \frac{-\beta}{4 \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}} \cdot [\Omega_{01} \cdot \alpha + \Omega_{02} \cdot \beta] + \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \cdot \Omega_{02} - \beta \cdot \Omega_{03}; \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \beta = - \frac{-\alpha}{4 \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}} \cdot [\Omega_{01} \cdot \alpha + \Omega_{02} \cdot \beta] - \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \cdot \Omega_{01} + \alpha \cdot \Omega_{03}. \quad (2.13b)$$

In terms of the components ξ_i we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \xi_3 &= -\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \alpha - \beta \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \beta \\ &= -\alpha \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \cdot \Omega_{02} + \beta \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \cdot \Omega_{01} \\ &= \Omega_{01} \cdot \xi_2 - \Omega_{02} \cdot \xi_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14a)$$

Similarly we can show that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \xi_1 = \Omega_{02} \cdot \xi_3 - \Omega_{03} \cdot \xi_2 \quad (2.14b)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \xi_2 = \Omega_{03} \cdot \xi_1 - \Omega_{01} \cdot \xi_3. \quad (2.14c)$$

Thus :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{01} \\ \Omega_{02} \\ \Omega_{03} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15a)$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{\xi} = \vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{\xi} . \quad (2.15b)$$

So as in Ref. [1] our Hamiltonian leads to the BMT-equation [13, 14].

Using (2.7), we can easily show that [3]:

$$\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}_{\alpha, \beta} \equiv \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \alpha} \cdot \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \beta} - \frac{\partial \xi_1}{\partial \beta} \cdot \frac{\partial \xi_2}{\partial \alpha} = \xi_3 : \quad (2.16a)$$

$$\{\xi_2, \xi_3\}_{\alpha, \beta} = \xi_1 ; \quad (2.16b)$$

$$\{\xi_3, \xi_1\}_{\alpha, \beta} = \xi_2 . \quad (2.16c)$$

These Poisson bracket relations for spin, which do not contain \hbar on the rhs, are the classical analogues of the commutation relation among Pauli spin operators.

The result (2.15) can also be obtained by using the equation of motion in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{\xi} = \left\{ \vec{\xi}, \mathcal{H}_{spin} \right\}_{\alpha, \beta} \quad (2.17)$$

together with the Poisson bracket relations (2.16) and the spin-Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{spin} = \Omega_{01} \cdot \xi_1 + \Omega_{02} \cdot \xi_2 + \Omega_{03} \cdot \xi_3 .$$

Remarks:

1) Instead of using the canonical spin variables (α, β) the spin Hamiltonian can be expressed in terms of the canonical variables (J, ψ) via the relations [1, 3]:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2(\xi - J)} \cdot \cos \psi ; \quad (2.18a)$$

$$\beta = \sqrt{2(\xi - J)} \cdot \sin \psi . \quad (2.18b)$$

Since

$$\frac{\beta}{\alpha} = \tan \psi ; \quad (2.19a)$$

$$J = \xi - \frac{1}{2} (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \quad (2.19b)$$

we obtain the usual results :

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= \alpha \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2)} \\
&= \sqrt{2(\xi - J)} \cos \psi \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{2}(\xi - J)} \\
&= \sqrt{\xi^2 - J^2} \cdot \cos \psi ;
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_2 &= \beta \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \\
&= \sqrt{\xi^2 - J^2} \cdot \sin \psi ;
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_3 &= \xi - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \\
&= J .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20c}$$

The transformation

$$\alpha, \beta \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \psi, J$$

can be obtained from the generating function

$$F_1(\alpha, \psi) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \cdot \tan \psi - \xi \cdot \psi.$$

The transformation formulae are then:

$$\beta = +\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \alpha} = \alpha \cdot \tan \psi ; \tag{2.21a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= -\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \psi} = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \cdot (1 + \tan^2 \psi) + \xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2}\right) + \xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \xi ;
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{spin} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{K}_{spin}(\psi, J) &= \mathcal{H}_{spin} + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial s} = \mathcal{H}_{spin} \\
&= \Omega_{01} \cdot \xi_1 + \Omega_{02} \cdot \xi_2 + \Omega_{03} \cdot \xi_3 \\
&= \sqrt{\xi^2 - J^2} \cdot [\Omega_{01} \cdot \cos \psi + \Omega_{02} \cdot \sin \psi] + \Omega_{03} \cdot J ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21c}$$

and one sees that (2.21a, b) lead back to eqn. (2.19a, b) consistent with the fact that (ψ, J) are canonical [15].

2) It is easily checked that the pair

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J} &= \xi - J ; \\ \hat{\psi} &= -\psi\end{aligned}$$

is also canonical. In terms of $(\hat{J}, \hat{\psi})$ we have ¹ :

$$\alpha = +\sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \cos \hat{\psi} ; \quad (2.22a)$$

$$\beta = -\sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \sin \hat{\psi} . \quad (2.22b)$$

2.4 The Combined Form of the Spin-Orbit Equations

The combined equations of spin-orbit motion can be written in the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_k = +\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_k} ; \quad (2.23a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial X_k} \quad (2.23b)$$

$$(k=1, 2, 3, 4)$$

with

$$X_4 \equiv \alpha ; \quad (2.24a)$$

$$P_4 \equiv \beta \quad (2.24b)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4; P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4; t) . \quad (2.25)$$

¹The variables $\hat{J}, \hat{\psi}$ can be obtained directly from (α, β) using the generating function:

$$F_1(\alpha, \hat{\psi}) = -\frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \cdot \tan \hat{\psi} .$$

In general one can write :

$$\begin{cases} \hat{J} = \xi - J ; \\ \hat{\psi} = c - \psi \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} \alpha = \sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \cos(c - \hat{\psi}) ; \\ \beta = \sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \sin(c - \hat{\psi}) \end{cases}$$

with an arbitrary constant c (see eqn. (2.18a, b)). For $c = \pi/2$ one then has to take the generating function :

$$F_1(\alpha, \hat{\psi}) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \cdot \cot \hat{\psi}$$

leading to $\alpha = \sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \sin \hat{\psi} ; \beta = \sqrt{2\hat{J}} \cdot \cos \hat{\psi}$.

Remark:

Neglecting the Stern-Gerlach (SG) terms coming from the component \mathcal{H}_{spin} the orbital part (eqns. (2.9a, b)) of the canonical equations (2.9, 12) can be approximated as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_1 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial P_1} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} P_1 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial X_1} ; \quad (2.26a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_2 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial P_2} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} P_2 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial X_2} ; \quad (2.26b)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_3 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial P_3} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} P_3 = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial X_3} . \quad (2.26c)$$

This canonical system is then separate (and independent) from the spin motion and corresponds to the fully coupled 6-dimensional formalism [16, 17].

If the orbit vector

$$\vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ P_1 \\ X_2 \\ P_2 \\ X_3 \\ P_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is known, we can calculate the spin motion from the equations:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \alpha = +\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{spin}}{\partial \beta} ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} \beta = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{spin}}{\partial \alpha} . \quad (2.27)$$

Methods for a numerical solution of the spin equation (2.27) are described in Ref. [6].

2.5 Transition to a New Dreibein $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3$ for Spin Motion

We now consider the transformation [3]:

$$\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3 \quad \longrightarrow \quad \vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \vec{u}_3$$

with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{u}_k(t) = \vec{U}(t) \times \vec{u}_k(t) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \vec{U} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \vec{u}_k \times \frac{d}{dt} \vec{u}_k \quad (2.28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\xi} &= \xi_1 \cdot \vec{e}_1 + \xi_2 \cdot \vec{e}_2 + \xi_3 \cdot \vec{e}_3 \\ &= \tilde{\xi}_1 \cdot \vec{u}_1 + \tilde{\xi}_2 \cdot \vec{u}_2 + \tilde{\xi}_3 \cdot \vec{u}_3 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

From (2.15b), (2.28) and (2.29) we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{\xi} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \vec{e}_k \times \frac{d}{dt} \xi_k = \vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{\xi}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^3 \tilde{\xi}_k \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \bar{u}_k + \sum_{k=1}^3 \bar{u}_k \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\xi}_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^3 \tilde{\xi}_k \cdot [\vec{U} \times \bar{u}_k] + \sum_{k=1}^3 \bar{u}_k \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\xi}_k
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^3 \bar{u}_k \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\xi}_k &= \vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{\xi} - \sum_{k=1}^3 \tilde{\xi}_k \cdot [\vec{U} \times \bar{u}_k] \\
&= \vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{\xi} - \vec{U} \times \sum_{k=1}^3 \tilde{\xi}_k \bar{u}_k \\
&= \vec{\Omega}_0 \times \vec{\xi} - \vec{U} \times \vec{\xi} \\
&= [\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U}] \times \vec{\xi}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30a}$$

Therefore in the new dreibein the equation of spin motion is:

$$\implies \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\xi}_k = \bar{u}_k \cdot \{ [\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U}] \times \vec{\xi} \}. \tag{2.30b}$$

Writing :

$$\tilde{\xi}_1 = \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}; \tag{2.31a}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_2 = \tilde{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}; \tag{2.31b}$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 = \xi - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2) \tag{2.31c}$$

and

$$\vec{\Omega}_0 = \tilde{\Omega}_{01} \cdot \bar{u}_1 + \tilde{\Omega}_{02} \cdot \bar{u}_2 + \tilde{\Omega}_{03} \cdot \bar{u}_3; \tag{2.32a}$$

$$\vec{U} = \tilde{U}_1 \cdot \bar{u}_1 + \tilde{U}_2 \cdot \bar{u}_2 + \tilde{U}_3 \cdot \bar{u}_3 \tag{2.32b}$$

we find the new spin-Hamiltonian, replacing the precession vector $\vec{\Omega}_0$ in (2.11) by $(\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U})$ (compare (2.30b) with (2.15b)) as [6] :

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin} &= [\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1] \cdot \tilde{\xi}_1 + [\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2] \cdot \tilde{\xi}_2 + [\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3] \cdot \tilde{\xi}_3 \\
&= \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)} \left\{ [\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1] \cdot \tilde{\alpha} + [\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2] \cdot \tilde{\beta} \right\} \\
&\quad + [\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3] \cdot \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\alpha} &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}}{\partial \tilde{\beta}} \\
&= +\frac{-\tilde{\beta}}{4\sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}} \cdot \left\{ \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] \cdot \tilde{\alpha} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] \cdot \tilde{\beta} \right\} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)} \cdot \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] - \tilde{\beta} \cdot \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3 \right]; \quad (2.34a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\beta} &= -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}}{\partial \tilde{\alpha}} \\
&= -\frac{-\tilde{\alpha}}{4\sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}} \cdot \left\{ \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] \cdot \tilde{\alpha} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] \cdot \tilde{\beta} \right\} \\
&\quad - \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)} \cdot \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] + \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3 \right]. \quad (2.34b)
\end{aligned}$$

Introducing (as in eqn. (2.19) or (2.21)) the spin variables $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\psi})$ via the relations:

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \sqrt{2(\xi - \tilde{J})} \cdot \cos \tilde{\psi}; \quad (2.35a)$$

$$\tilde{\beta} = \sqrt{2(\xi - \tilde{J})} \cdot \sin \tilde{\psi}; \quad (2.35b)$$

or

$$\tilde{\xi}_1 = \sqrt{\xi^2 - \tilde{J}^2} \cdot \cos \tilde{\psi}; \quad (2.36a)$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_2 = \sqrt{\xi^2 - \tilde{J}^2} \cdot \sin \tilde{\psi}; \quad (2.36b)$$

$$\tilde{\xi}_3 = \tilde{J} \quad (2.36c)$$

we get in analogy to (2.11c):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\psi}) = \sqrt{\xi^2 - \tilde{J}^2} \cdot \left\{ \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] \cos \tilde{\psi} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] \sin \tilde{\psi} \right\} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3 \right] \cdot \tilde{J} \quad (2.37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\psi} &= +\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{J}} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\psi}) \\
&= -\frac{\tilde{J}}{\sqrt{\xi^2 - \tilde{J}^2}} \cdot \left\{ \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] \cos \tilde{\psi} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] \sin \tilde{\psi} \right\} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{03} - \tilde{U}_3 \right]; \quad (2.38a)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{J} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\psi}} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\psi}) \\
&= \sqrt{\xi^2 - \tilde{J}^2} \cdot \left\{ -\left[\tilde{\Omega}_{01} - \tilde{U}_1 \right] \sin \tilde{\psi} + \left[\tilde{\Omega}_{02} - \tilde{U}_2 \right] \cos \tilde{\psi} \right\}. \quad (2.38b)
\end{aligned}$$

Remarks:

1) If the rotation vector \vec{U} defined by eqn. (2.28) is independent of the variables (X_k, P_k) , then the orbital equations of motion can also be written as:

$$\frac{d}{dt} X_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial P_k} + \vec{\xi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial P_k} [\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U}] ; \quad (2.39a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} P_k = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{orb}}{\partial X_k} - \vec{\xi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial X_k} [\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U}] ; \quad (2.39b)$$

$$(k = 1, 2, 3) .$$

With respect to the variables $X_k, P_k, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}$ we thus obtain (see eqns. (2.33) and (2.34)) the new Hamiltonian for the combined spin-orbit system in the form:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_{orb} + [\vec{\Omega}_0 - \vec{U}] \cdot \vec{\xi} , \quad (2.40)$$

whereby in addition eqns. (2.29), (2.30) and (2.32) have to be used.

2) In the following chapters we introduce new sets of variables. But the modification of the Hamiltonian needed to affect a transformation of the dreibein has a form similar to that in eqn. (2.40) since the BMT-equation has a structure similar to that in eqn. (2.15b) [1].

3 Introduction of Machine Coordinates

3.1 Reference Trajectory and Coordinate Frame

The position vector \vec{r} in eqn. (2.1) refers to a fixed coordinate system with the coordinates X_1, X_2 and X_3 . However, in accelerator physics, it is useful to describe the motion in terms of the natural coordinates x, z, s in a suitable curvilinear coordinate system [18].

In this natural coordinate system an arbitrary orbit-vector $\vec{r}(s)$ can be written in the form:

$$\vec{r}(x, z, s) \equiv \vec{r}_0(s) + x(s) \cdot \vec{e}_x(s) + z(s) \cdot \vec{e}_z(s) \quad (3.1a)$$

where:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{ds} \vec{e}_x(s) = K_x(s) \cdot \vec{e}_s(s) ; \\ \frac{d}{ds} \vec{e}_z(s) = K_z(s) \cdot \vec{e}_s(s) ; \\ \frac{d}{ds} \vec{e}_s(s) = -K_x(s) \cdot \vec{e}_x(s) - K_z(s) \cdot \vec{e}_z(s) ; \\ \vec{e}_s(s) \equiv \frac{d}{ds} \vec{r}_0(s) \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1b)$$

(for more details see Refs. [1, 16]).

The transformation of the spin components from the $(\bar{\epsilon}_1, \bar{\epsilon}_2, \bar{\epsilon}_3)$ - basis to the $(\bar{\epsilon}_s, \bar{\epsilon}_x, \bar{\epsilon}_z)$ - basis

$$\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 = \xi_s, \xi_x, \xi_z \quad (3.2)$$

is merely a rotation and is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\xi} &= \xi_1 \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_1 - \xi_2 \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_2 + \xi_3 \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_3 \\ &= \xi_s \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_s + \xi_x \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_x + \xi_z \cdot \bar{\epsilon}_z . \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

If, by analogy to eqn. (2.7), we introduce canonical variables α', β' for ξ_s, ξ_x, ξ_z :

$$\begin{cases} \xi_s = \alpha' \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2)} ; \\ \xi_x = \beta' \cdot \sqrt{\xi - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2)} ; \\ \xi_z = \xi - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha'^2 + \beta'^2) . \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

then (3.2) is equivalent to a canonical transformation:

$$\alpha, \beta \implies \alpha', \beta' \quad (3.5)$$

(see eqn. (2.40)).

3.2 The Spin-Orbit Hamiltonian in Terms of Machine Coordinates

The variables x and z in eqn. (3.1) describe the amplitudes of transverse motion.

To describe the longitudinal motion (synchrotron oscillations) we introduce two additional small oscillating variables σ and p_σ [1] with

$$\sigma = s - v_0 \cdot t \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$p_\sigma = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \eta \quad (3.7)$$

where v_0 and η are given by

$$v_0 = \text{design speed} = c\beta_0 ; \quad \beta_0 = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{m_0 c^2}{E_0}\right)^2}$$

and

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta E}{E_0} . \quad (3.8)$$

The variable σ measures the delay in arrival time at position s of a particle and is the longitudinal separation of the particle from the centre of the bunch. The quantity η is the relative energy deviation of the particle.

Using this complete set of orbital variables defined in the machine coordinate system we are in a position to provide an analytical description for the orbital motion by a simultaneous treatment of longitudinal and transverse oscillations.

Starting then from the Hamiltonian (2.1) for the spin-orbit motion of a charged particle in an electromagnetic field, we can construct the Hamiltonian of the spin-orbit system with respect to the new variables

$$x, z, \sigma, \alpha', \beta'$$

[1, 16, 17] by a succession of canonical transformations combined with an $s-t$ exchange (introducing the length s along the design orbit as the independent variable instead of the time t) and a scale transformation leading to the modified spin variables

$$\bar{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{E_0}} \cdot \alpha' ; \quad \bar{\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sqrt{\frac{v_0}{E_0}} \cdot \beta'$$

and the modified spin vector

$$\vec{\xi} = \hat{\xi}_s \cdot \vec{e}_s + \hat{\xi}_x \cdot \vec{e}_x + \hat{\xi}_z \cdot \vec{e}_z = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{v_0}{E_0} \cdot \vec{\xi} ; \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\xi}_s = \bar{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{\beta}^2)} ; \\ \hat{\xi}_x = \bar{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{\beta}^2)} ; \\ \hat{\xi}_z = \hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{\beta}^2) \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

of length ² :

$$\hat{\xi} = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{v_0}{E_0} \cdot \xi . \quad (3.11)$$

Choosing a gauge with $\phi = 0$ (e.g. Coulomb gauge) we then obtain (to first order in \hbar)³ [1]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{spin} \quad (3.12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} = & p_\sigma - (1 + \hat{\eta}) \cdot [1 + K_x \cdot x + K_z \cdot z] \times \\ & \left\{ 1 - \frac{\left(p_x - \frac{e}{p_0 \cdot c} A_x\right)^2 + \left(p_z + \frac{e}{p_0 \cdot c} A_z\right)^2}{(1 + \hat{\eta})^2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ & - [1 + K_x \cdot x + K_z \cdot z] \cdot \frac{e}{p_0 \cdot c} A_s \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

²Using the relations $\xi = \frac{\hbar}{2}$, $E_0 = \gamma_0 m_0 c^2$, $\lambda_C = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{m_0 c}$ (Compton-wavelength), the quantity $\hat{\xi}$ can be written in the form $\hat{\xi} = \frac{(\hbar/2)}{\gamma_0 m_0 v_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \cdot \lambda$ with $\lambda = \frac{1}{\beta_0 \gamma_0} \cdot \lambda_C$ denoting the de Broglie wavelength of a particle with energy E_0 . Note, that $\hat{\xi}$ as well as $x, z, \sigma, \bar{\alpha}^2, \bar{\beta}^2$ has the dimension of a length.

³Since as in Ref. [1] the Hamiltonian (2.1) is based on a classical interpretation of a semiclassical Hamiltonian we work only to first order in \hbar .

and ⁴

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{spin} &= \bar{\Omega} \cdot \bar{\xi} \\
&= \left(\hat{\xi}_s \cdot \bar{e}_s + \hat{\xi}_x \cdot \bar{e}_x + \hat{\xi}_z \cdot \bar{e}_z \right) \cdot \bar{\Omega}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) \\
&= \left(\alpha \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}, \beta \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)}, \hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \right) \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_s \\ \Omega_x \\ \Omega_z \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

where the precession vector

$$\bar{\Omega} = \Omega_s \cdot \bar{e}_s + \Omega_x \cdot \bar{e}_x + \Omega_z \cdot \bar{e}_z$$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Omega}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) &= [1 + K_x \cdot x + K_z \cdot z] \cdot \frac{(1 + \eta)}{\beta_0 (1 + \hat{\eta})} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ 1 - \frac{\left(p_x - \frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} A_x \right)^2 + \left(p_z + \frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} A_z \right)^2}{(1 + \hat{\eta})^2} \right\}^{-1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{c} \bar{\Omega}_0 \\
&\quad + K_x \cdot \bar{e}_z - K_z \cdot \bar{e}_x \quad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

and where the quantity $\hat{\eta}$ appearing in (3.13) and (3.15) is defined by:

$$(1 + \hat{\eta}) = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sqrt{(1 + \eta)^2 - \left(\frac{m_0 c^2}{E_0} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \cdot \frac{p \cdot c}{E_0} = \frac{p}{p_0}; \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\hat{\eta} = \frac{p}{p_0} - 1 = \frac{p - p_0}{p_0} = \frac{\Delta p}{p_0} \quad (3.16b)$$

($p = m_0 \gamma v$).

In the following we assume that the ring consists of bending magnets, quadrupoles, skew quadrupoles, solenoids, cavities and dipoles. Then the vector potential \vec{A} can be written as [19]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} A_s &= -\frac{1}{2} [1 + K_x \cdot x + K_z \cdot z] + \frac{1}{2} g \cdot (z^2 - x^2) + N \cdot xz \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \frac{L}{2\pi \cdot h} \cdot \frac{eV(s)}{E_0} \cdot \cos \left[h \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot \sigma + \varphi \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} \cdot (\Delta B_x \cdot z - \Delta B_z \cdot x); \\
\frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} A_x &= -H \cdot z; \quad \frac{\epsilon}{p_0 \cdot c} A_z = +H \cdot x
\end{aligned}$$

⁴To simplify the notation we now write the spin coordinates as α, β instead of $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$.

(h = harmonic number) with g , N , H and K defined in Ref. [1].

Expressing also the precession vector $\vec{\Omega}_0$ in (3.15) (see eqn. (2.3)) in machine coordinates, we obtain the Hamiltonian for the canonical variables

$$x, z, \sigma, \alpha; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \beta,$$

and the canonical equations of motion read as :

$$\frac{d}{ds} x = +\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial p_x}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} p_x = -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial x}; \quad (3.17a)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} z = +\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial p_z}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} p_z = -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial z}; \quad (3.17b)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \sigma = +\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial p_\sigma}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} p_\sigma = -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \sigma}; \quad (3.17c)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \alpha = +\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \beta}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \beta = -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \alpha}. \quad (3.17d)$$

This can be written in matrix form :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \hat{\vec{y}} = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \hat{\vec{y}}} \quad (3.18)$$

with

$$\hat{\vec{y}}^T = (x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta) \quad (3.19)$$

where the matrix \underline{S} is given by

$$\underline{S} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{S}_2 & \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{S}_2 & \underline{0} & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{S}_2 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{S}_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \underline{S}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.20)$$

Remark:

Equation (3.13) is valid only for 'protons', or more precisely, for all situations where radiation is negligible. For electrons we need the extra-term in the Hamiltonian [1]

$$\mathcal{H}_{rad} = C_1 \cdot [K_x^2 + K_z^2] \cdot \sigma \quad (3.21)$$

$$\left(\text{where } C_1 = \frac{2}{3} e^2 \frac{\gamma_0^4}{E_0} \right)$$

(for $v_0 \approx c$) in order to describe the energy loss by radiation in the bending magnets [20, 21].

4 Introduction of an Eight-Dimensional Closed Orbit

As can be seen by a series expansion of the Hamiltonian, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ contains terms linear in the coordinates [1]. These linear terms can be eliminated by introducing a new 8-dimensional reference orbit. This orbit can then also be used to construct a new reference frame for the spin motion. In the new variables spin-orbit maps are origin preserving ⁵.

4.1 Definition of the Eight-Dimensional Closed Orbit

We begin by defining the 8-dimensional closed orbit:

$$\hat{\vec{y}}_0 \equiv (\vec{y}_0(s), \alpha_0(s), \beta_0(s))$$

containing a periodic orbital part

$$\vec{y}_0^T = (x_0, p_{x0}; z_0, p_{z0}; \sigma_0, p_{\sigma 0}),$$

with

$$\vec{y}_0(s+L) = \vec{y}_0(s) \quad (4.1a)$$

and a periodic spin part α_0, β_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(s+L) &= \alpha_0(s); \\ \beta_0(s+L) &= \beta_0(s), \end{aligned}$$

which defines (see eqn. (3.10)) a periodic spin vector

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\hat{\xi}}_0(s) &\equiv \hat{\xi}_{0s} \cdot \vec{e}_s + \hat{\xi}_{0x} \cdot \vec{e}_x + \hat{\xi}_{0z} \cdot \vec{e}_z \\ &= \vec{\hat{\xi}}_0(s+L) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1b)$$

via

$$\begin{cases} \hat{\xi}_{0s} = \alpha_0 \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2)}; \\ \hat{\xi}_{0x} = \beta_0 \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2)}; \\ \hat{\xi}_{0z} = \hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2). \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

The equations of motion read as:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \hat{\vec{y}}_0 = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\vec{y}}_0} \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\hat{\vec{y}}_0; s)$$

or

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{y}_0 = - \begin{pmatrix} \underline{S}_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{S}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{S}_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{y}_0} \hat{\mathcal{H}}(\vec{y}_0; \alpha_0, \beta_0; s); \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \hat{\xi}_{0s} + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \hat{\xi}_{0x} + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \hat{\xi}_{0z} = \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{\hat{\xi}}_0 \quad (4.3b)$$

⁵To introduce normal forms we need origin preserving transformations.

with

$$\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \equiv \vec{\Omega}(\bar{y}_0, s) \quad (4.4)$$

and \underline{S}_2 given by eqn. (3.20). So $[\bar{y}_0(s), \alpha_0(s), \beta_0(s)]$ is a periodic solution of the combined equations of motion.

Using $\vec{\xi}_0$ we can now construct a periodic spin frame $(\vec{n}_0, \vec{m}, \vec{l})$:

$$[\vec{n}_0(s-L), \vec{m}(s+L), \vec{l}(s+L)] = [\vec{n}_0(s), \vec{m}(s), \vec{l}(s)]$$

along the closed orbit [1] with

$$\vec{n}_0 = \vec{\xi}_0 / |\vec{\xi}_0| ; \quad (4.5a)$$

$$\vec{n}_0(s) \perp \vec{m}(s) \perp \vec{l}(s) ; \quad (4.5b)$$

$$\vec{n}_0(s) = \vec{m}(s) \times \vec{l}(s) ; \quad (4.5c)$$

$$|\vec{n}_0(s)| = |\vec{m}(s)| = |\vec{l}(s)| = 1 \quad (4.5d)$$

and

$$\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0s} + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0x} + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0z} = \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{n}_0(s) ; \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_s + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_x + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_z = \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{m}(s) + \vec{l}(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) ; \quad (4.6b)$$

$$\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_s + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_x + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_z = \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{l}(s) - \vec{m}(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) ; \quad (4.6c)$$

$$\psi_{spin}(s+L) - \psi_{spin}(s) = 2\pi \cdot Q_{spin} , \quad (4.7)$$

whereby we have used :

$$\vec{n}_0 = n_{0s} \cdot \vec{e}_s + n_{0x} \cdot \vec{e}_x + n_{0z} \cdot \vec{e}_z ;$$

$$\vec{m} = m_s \cdot \vec{e}_s + m_x \cdot \vec{e}_x + m_z \cdot \vec{e}_z ;$$

$$\vec{l} = l_s \cdot \vec{e}_s + l_x \cdot \vec{e}_x + l_z \cdot \vec{e}_z .$$

4.2 The Oscillations around the Closed Orbit

The 8-dimensional closed orbit together with $\vec{l}(s), \vec{m}(s)$ will now be used to construct new canonical spin-orbit variables. The canonical transformation for orbit and spin will be carried out separately.

4.2.1 Canonical Transformation for the Spin Variables

Following the method of section 2.5 to transform from the $\vec{e}_x, \vec{e}_z, \vec{e}_s$ basis to the $\vec{n}_0, \vec{m}, \vec{l}$ basis:

$$\hat{\xi}_s, \hat{\xi}_x, \hat{\xi}_z \implies \hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l \quad (4.8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\hat{\xi}} &= \hat{\xi}_s \cdot \vec{e}_s + \hat{\xi}_x \cdot \vec{e}_x + \hat{\xi}_z \cdot \vec{e}_z \\ &= \hat{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{n}_0 + \hat{\xi}_m \cdot \vec{m} - \hat{\xi}_l \cdot \vec{l} \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and introducing for $\hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l$ canonical variables $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$:

$$\hat{\xi}_m = \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}; \quad (4.10a)$$

$$\hat{\xi}_l = \tilde{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}; \quad (4.10b)$$

$$\hat{\xi}_n = \hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2) \quad (4.10c)$$

eqn. (4.9) becomes a canonical transformation:

$$\alpha, \beta \implies \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \quad (4.11)$$

and the new Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ reads as :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}(x, z, \sigma, \tilde{\alpha}; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \tilde{\beta}; s) = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin} \quad (4.12)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{orb}; \quad (4.13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}(x, z, \sigma, \tilde{\alpha}; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \tilde{\beta}; s) \\ &= \left\{ \bar{\Omega}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) - \vec{U}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) \right\} \cdot \left(\hat{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{n}_0 + \hat{\xi}_m \cdot \vec{m} + \hat{\xi}_l \cdot \vec{l} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13b)$$

(see eqns. (2.28) and (2.40)) and

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{U} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\vec{n}_0 \times \left(\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0s} + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0x} + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} n_{0z} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \vec{m} \times \left(\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_s + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_x + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} m_z \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \vec{l} \times \left(\vec{e}_s \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_s + \vec{e}_x \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_x + \vec{e}_z \cdot \frac{d}{ds} l_z \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\vec{n}_0 \times \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{n}_0 \right) + \vec{m} \times \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{m} + \vec{l} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \right) + \vec{l} \times \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \times \vec{l} - \vec{m} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[3 \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} - \vec{n}_0 \cdot \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \cdot \vec{n}_0 \right) - \vec{m} \cdot \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \cdot \vec{m} \right) - \vec{l} \cdot \left(\vec{\Omega}^{(0)} \cdot \vec{l} \right) + (\vec{n}_0 + \vec{m}) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[3 \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} - \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} + 2 \vec{n}_0 \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \right] \\
&= \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} + \vec{n}_0 \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Thus we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}(x, z, \sigma, \tilde{\alpha}; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \tilde{\beta}; s) \\
&= \left\{ \vec{\Omega} - \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} - \vec{n}_0 \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \right\} \cdot \left(\hat{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{n}_0 + \hat{\xi}_m \cdot \vec{m} + \hat{\xi}_l \cdot \vec{l} \right) \\
&= \vec{\omega}(x, z, \sigma; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma; s) \cdot \left[\hat{\xi}_n \cdot \vec{n}_0 + \hat{\xi}_m \cdot \vec{m} + \hat{\xi}_l \cdot \vec{l} \right] - \hat{\xi}_n \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
&= \left[\hat{\xi}_n \cdot (\vec{n}_0 \cdot \vec{\omega}) + \hat{\xi}_m \cdot (\vec{m} \cdot \vec{\omega}) + \hat{\xi}_l \cdot (\vec{l} \cdot \vec{\omega}) \right] - \hat{\xi}_n \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
&= \left(\hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{0x}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\xi}_n \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
&= \left(\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2), \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}, \tilde{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{0x}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \left[\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2) \right] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where we have introduced for abbreviation the vector

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{\omega} &\equiv \omega_s \cdot \vec{e}_s + \omega_x \cdot \vec{e}_x + \omega_z \cdot \vec{e}_z \\
&= \vec{\Omega} - \vec{\Omega}^{(0)} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

This is equivalent to the form for the spin Hamiltonian given by Derbenev [4].

Using eqns. (3.15) and (4.16) and writing:

$$\vec{y} = \vec{y} - \vec{y}_0 \tag{4.17}$$

the vector $\vec{\omega}$ can be linearised with respect to the orbital variables so that in the spin-Hamiltonian

(4.15) we can put :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \\ \omega_\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \underline{F}_{(3 \times 6)} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{p}_x \\ \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{p}_z \\ \tilde{\sigma} \\ \tilde{p}_\sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.18)$$

with the F_{ij} as in Ref. [1].

With (3.13), (4.12), (4.13a), (4.15) and (4.18) we have the Hamiltonian for the canonical variables

$$x, z, \sigma, \tilde{\alpha}; p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \tilde{\beta}.$$

4.2.2 Transformation of the Orbital Variables

The orbit vector $\vec{y}(s)$ can be separated into two components (see eqn. (4.17)) :

$$\vec{y}(s) = \vec{y}_0(s) + \vec{\tilde{y}}(s), \quad (4.19)$$

where the vector $\vec{\tilde{y}}(s)$ describes the synchro-betatron oscillations about the new closed equilibrium trajectory $\vec{y}_0(s)$.

The transformation

$$\vec{y}; \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \implies \vec{\tilde{y}}; \tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}' = \tilde{\beta} \quad (4.20)$$

can be obtained from the generating function

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(x, \tilde{p}_x; z, \tilde{p}_z; \sigma, \tilde{p}_\sigma; \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}'; s) &= (x - x_0) \cdot (\tilde{p}_x + p_{x0}) + (z - z_0) \cdot (\tilde{p}_z + p_{z0}) \\ &\quad + (\sigma - \sigma_0) \cdot (\tilde{p}_\sigma + p_{\sigma 0}) + \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{\beta}' + f(s) \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

with an arbitrary function $f(s)$. The transformation equations read as:

$$p_x = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} = \tilde{p}_x + p_{x0}; \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} = x - x_0; \quad (4.22a)$$

$$p_z = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} = \tilde{p}_z + p_{z0}; \quad \tilde{z} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \tilde{p}_z} = z - z_0; \quad (4.22b)$$

$$p_\sigma = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \sigma} = \tilde{p}_\sigma + p_{\sigma 0}; \quad \tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \tilde{p}_\sigma} = \sigma - \sigma_0 \quad (4.22c)$$

which reproduce the defining equation (4.19) for $\vec{\tilde{y}}$.

Choosing the function $f(s)$ such that $\frac{d}{ds} f(s)$ becomes :

$$\frac{d}{ds} f(s) = x_0(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} p_{x0}(s) + z_0(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} p_{z0}(s) + \sigma_0(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} p_{\sigma 0}(s),$$

we furthermore have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial F_z}{\partial s} &= -\frac{dx_0}{ds} \cdot p_x - \frac{dp_{x0}}{ds} \cdot x - \frac{dz_0}{ds} \cdot p_z + \frac{dp_{z0}}{ds} \cdot z - \frac{d\sigma_0}{ds} \cdot p_\sigma + \frac{dp_{\sigma 0}}{ds} \cdot \sigma \\
&= -p_x \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial p_x} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} - x \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial x} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&\quad - p_z \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial p_z} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} - z \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial z} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&\quad - p_\sigma \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial p_\sigma} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} - \sigma \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&= -\vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \equiv \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb} + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin} &\longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}} - \vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&= \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb} - \vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&\quad + \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin} - \vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0} \\
&= \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orbit} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb} - \vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{orb}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0}; \tag{4.25a}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin} = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin} - \vec{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{spin}}{\partial \vec{y}} \right)_{\vec{y}=\vec{y}_0; \hat{\alpha}=\hat{\beta}=0}. \tag{4.25b}$$

For the linearised form of $\vec{\omega}$ (see eqn. (4.18)), eqns. (4.15) and (4.25) lead to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}(\vec{x}, \vec{z}, \vec{\sigma}, \hat{\alpha}; \vec{p}_x, \vec{p}_z, \vec{p}_\sigma, \hat{\beta}; s) \\
&= \left(\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\alpha}^2 + \hat{\beta}^2), \hat{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\alpha}^2 + \hat{\beta}^2)}, \hat{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\alpha}^2 + \hat{\beta}^2)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{0x}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\alpha}^2 - \tilde{\beta}^2] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
& - \left(\hat{\xi}, 0, 0 \right) \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{ox}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2), \tilde{\alpha} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)}, \tilde{\beta} \cdot \sqrt{\hat{\xi} - \frac{1}{4} (\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2)} \right) \\
& \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{ox}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
& = \hat{\xi} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 \right], \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 \right]}, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 \right]} \right) \\
& \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} n_{os}(s) & n_{ox}(s) & n_{oz}(s) \\ m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \\
& + \frac{\hat{\xi}}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\sqrt{\hat{\xi}}} \right)^2 \right] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \tag{4.26a}
\end{aligned}$$

and at second order the orbital Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb}$ takes the form [1]⁶ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{\sigma}; \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{p}_z, \tilde{p}_\sigma; s) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma^2 - [K_x \cdot \tilde{x} + K_z \cdot \tilde{z}] \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \{ [\tilde{p}_x + H \cdot \tilde{z}]^2 + [\tilde{p}_z - H \cdot \tilde{x}]^2 \} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \{ (K_x^2 + g) \cdot \tilde{x}^2 + (K_z^2 - g) \cdot \tilde{z}^2 - 2N \cdot \tilde{x}\tilde{z} \} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \frac{eV(s)}{E_0} \cdot h \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot \cos \varphi . \tag{4.26b}
\end{aligned}$$

⁶For simplicity we treat the orbital motion only in the linear form. But the construction of normal forms developed in chapter 5 and 6 works also for a nonlinear orbital Hamiltonian up to an arbitrary order.

With (4.26) we have the Hamiltonian for the canonical variables

$$\bar{x}, \bar{z}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\alpha}; \bar{p}_x, \bar{p}_z, \bar{p}_\sigma, \bar{\beta}$$

and the canonical equations for spin-orbit motion are:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \bar{x} = +\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{p}_x}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \bar{p}_x = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{x}}; \quad (4.27a)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \bar{z} = +\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{p}_z}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \bar{p}_z = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{z}}; \quad (4.27b)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \bar{\sigma} = +\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{p}_\sigma}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \bar{p}_\sigma = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{\sigma}}; \quad (4.27c)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \bar{\alpha} = +\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{\beta}}; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \bar{\beta} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \bar{\alpha}}. \quad (4.27d)$$

Remark:

Using eqns. (4.10a, b, c) which determine the spin components $\hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l$ of the spin vector $\tilde{\xi}$ (see eqn. (4.9)) in terms of the spin variables $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\beta}$, the spin Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}$ in (4.26a) may also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin} &= \hat{\Omega}_n \cdot \hat{\xi}_n + \hat{\Omega}_m \cdot \hat{\xi}_m + \hat{\Omega}_l \cdot \hat{\xi}_l \\ &\quad - \hat{\xi} \cdot [\vec{n}_0 \cdot \vec{\omega} - \psi'_{spin}(s)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

with

$$\hat{\Omega}_n = \vec{n}_0 \cdot \vec{\omega} - \psi'_{spin}(s); \quad (4.29a)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_m = \vec{m} \cdot \vec{\omega}; \quad (4.29b)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_l = \vec{l} \cdot \vec{\omega} \quad (4.29c)$$

and $\vec{\omega}$ given by (4.18).

Since the spin components $\hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l$ obey the Poisson bracket relations:

$$\{\hat{\xi}_n, \hat{\xi}_m\}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} \equiv \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}_n}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}_m}{\partial \bar{\beta}} - \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}_m}{\partial \bar{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{\xi}_n}{\partial \bar{\beta}} = \hat{\xi}_l; \quad (4.30a)$$

$$\{\hat{\xi}_m, \hat{\xi}_l\}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} = \hat{\xi}_n; \quad (4.30b)$$

$$\{\hat{\xi}_l, \hat{\xi}_n\}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} = \hat{\xi}_m \quad (4.30c)$$

the equation of spin motion

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\xi} = \left\{ \tilde{\xi}, \mathcal{H}_{spin} \right\}_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}}$$

takes the form:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_n \\ \hat{\xi}_m \\ \hat{\xi}_l \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Omega}_n \\ \hat{\Omega}_m \\ \hat{\Omega}_l \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\xi}_n \\ \hat{\xi}_m \\ \hat{\xi}_l \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.31a)$$

or

$$\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi}_n \\ \dot{\xi}_m \\ \dot{\xi}_l \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\Omega} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\xi}_n \\ \dot{\xi}_m \\ \dot{\xi}_l \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.31b)$$

with the notation:

$$\hat{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{\Omega}_l & \hat{\Omega}_m \\ \hat{\Omega}_l & 0 & -\hat{\Omega}_n \\ -\hat{\Omega}_m & \hat{\Omega}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.32)$$

representing the BMT equation in machine coordinates with respect to the dreibein

$$(\vec{n}_0, \vec{m}, \vec{l}).$$

Neglecting the SG-forces, the equations of orbital motion read as ⁷ :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x} = +\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_x} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} ; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_x = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} ; \quad (4.33a)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{z} = +\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_z} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} ; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} ; \quad (4.33b)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\sigma} = +\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_\sigma} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} ; \quad \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_\sigma = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{\sigma}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb} . \quad (4.33c)$$

This canonical system is then separate (and independent) from the spin motion and corresponds to the fully coupled 6-dimensional formalism [16, 17] in the orbital variables

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{z}, \tilde{p}_z, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{p}_\sigma) .$$

These must be known in order to solve the equation of spin-motion (4.31) ⁸ .

Equations (4.31) and (4.33) were already derived in Ref. [6].

4.2.3 Series Expansion of the Hamiltonian and the Linearised Equations of Motion

By a series expansion of the Hamiltonian we can write :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_3 + \dots \quad (4.34)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) contains terms of n^{th} order in the coordinates

$$\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\alpha}; \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{p}_z, \tilde{p}_\sigma, \tilde{\beta}.$$

⁷The term $-\hat{\xi} \cdot [\vec{n}_0 \cdot \vec{\omega} - \psi'_{spin}(s)]$ in eqn. (4.28), containing only orbital variables in linear form, has no influence on the spin motion. It may be subsumed under the orbital Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{orb}$ in eqn. (4.26b) (instead of the spin Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{spin}$) producing via the SG force a very small closed orbit shift which can be neglected.

⁸The neglect of SG effects when calculating spin motion is consistent with the philosophy of working only to first order in \hbar [1, 3].

To obtain linearised equations of spin and orbit motion we only need the component $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ containing the quadratic terms of the variables. This is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{\sigma}; \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{p}_z, \tilde{p}_\sigma; s) &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^{(orb)} + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^{(spin)} ; \\
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^{(orb)} &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma^2 - [K_x \cdot \tilde{x} + K_z \cdot \tilde{z}] \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \{ [\tilde{p}_x + H \cdot \tilde{z}]^2 + [\tilde{p}_z - H \cdot \tilde{x}]^2 \} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \{ G_1 \cdot \tilde{x}^2 + G_2 \cdot \tilde{z}^2 - 2N \cdot \tilde{x}\tilde{z} \} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\sigma}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \frac{eV(s)}{E_0} \cdot h \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot \cos \varphi ; \\
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2^{(spin)} &= \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_s \\ \omega_x \\ \omega_z \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \cdot [\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \\
&= \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (\omega_s, \omega_x, \omega_z) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2] \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) , \tag{4.35}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have written for abbreviation :

$$G_1 = K_x^2 + g ; \tag{4.36a}$$

$$G_2 = K_z^2 - g \tag{4.36b}$$

(g, N, H, K are defined in Ref. [1]).

The corresponding canonical equations take the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{x} &= \tilde{p}_x + H \cdot \tilde{z} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{12}, F_{22}, F_{32}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} ; \tag{4.37a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_x &= K_x \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma + [\tilde{p}_z - H \cdot \tilde{x}] \cdot H - G_1 \cdot \tilde{x} + N \cdot \tilde{z} \\
&\quad - \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{11}, F_{21}, F_{31}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} ; \tag{4.37b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \tilde{z} = \tilde{p}_z - H \cdot \tilde{x}$$

$$+\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{14}, F_{24}, F_{34}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4.37c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_z &= K_z \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma - [\tilde{p}_x + H \cdot \tilde{z}] \cdot H - G_2 \cdot \tilde{z} + N \cdot \tilde{x} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{13}, F_{23}, F_{33}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.37d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\gamma_0^2} \cdot \tilde{p}_\sigma - [K_x \cdot \tilde{x} + K_z \cdot \tilde{z}] \\ &\quad +\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{16}, F_{26}, F_{36}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.37e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{p}_\sigma &= \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \frac{eV(s)}{E_0} \cdot h \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot \cos \varphi \cdot \tilde{\sigma} \\ &\quad -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (F_{15}, F_{25}, F_{35}) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.37f)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\alpha} &= +\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (0, 1) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \underline{F} \cdot \vec{y} \\ &\quad +\tilde{\beta} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s); \end{aligned} \quad (4.37g)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \tilde{\beta} &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot (1, 0) \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \underline{F} \cdot \vec{y} \\ &\quad -\tilde{\alpha} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s) \end{aligned} \quad (4.37h)$$

or in matrix-form:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y} \\ \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \underline{A} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y} \\ \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.38)$$

with

$$\underline{A}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{A}_{orb} & \underline{B} \\ \underline{C} & \underline{D}_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.39)$$

and

$$\underline{A}_{orb}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -(G_1 + H^2) & 0 & N & H & 0 & K_x \\ -H & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ N & -H & -(G_2 + H^2) & 0 & 0 & K_z \\ -K_x & 0 & -K_z & 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma_0^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{eV(s)}{E_0} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta_0^2} \cdot \frac{2\pi h}{L} \cos \varphi & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4.40a)$$

$$\underline{B}(s) = -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{F}^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & l_s(s) \\ m_x(s) & l_x(s) \\ m_z(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (4.40b)$$

$$\underline{C}(s) = \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} m_s(s) & m_x(s) & m_z(s) \\ l_s(s) & l_x(s) & l_z(s) \end{pmatrix} \underline{F}; \quad (4.40c)$$

$$\underline{D}_0(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \psi_{spin}(s). \quad (4.40d)$$

Here the matrix $\underline{B}(s)$ describes the influence of Stern-Gerlach forces on the orbital motion and the matrix $\underline{C}(s)$ the influence of orbital motion on the spin motion. The matrices $\underline{A}(s)$ and $\underline{D}(s)$ correspond to the "unperturbed" spin-orbit motion.

Because the equations of motion (4.37) are linear and homogeneous, the solution can be written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vec{y}(s) \\ \vec{\alpha}(s) \\ \vec{\beta}(s) \end{pmatrix} = \hat{\underline{M}}(s, s_0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \vec{y}(s_0) \\ \vec{\alpha}(s_0) \\ \vec{\beta}(s_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.41)$$

This defines the symplectic 8-dimensional transfer matrix $\hat{\underline{M}}(s, s_0)$ of linearised spin-orbit motion.

Remarks:

1) If the matrix \underline{B} in (4.39) is retained but the matrix \underline{C} is put to zero, i.e. if SG forces are included but the effect of orbital motion on spin is neglected, then $\hat{\underline{M}}$ will be non-symplectic and the orbital coordinates in (4.41) can, in principle, grow or shrink indefinitely –at least in this linearised description.

2) Neglecting the SG forces by putting the matrix \underline{B} to zero, the linearised equations of spin-orbit motion (4.38) take the form:

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{y}(s) = \underline{A}_{orb}(s) \vec{y}(s); \quad (4.42a)$$

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{\zeta}(s) = \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \vec{y}(s) + \underline{D}_0(s) \vec{\zeta}(s), \quad (4.42b)$$

whereby we have written:

$$\vec{\zeta}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\alpha} \\ \vec{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.43)$$

and

$$\underline{C}(s) = \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}_0(s). \quad (4.44)$$

In this form the relations (4.42b) and (4.43) are the basic equations for spin motion used in the computer program SLIM [2, 20]. We have thus derived the SLIM-formalism from canonical equations based on a polynomial expansion of a spin Hamiltonian.

The linearization of the spin motion is valid if the spin-vector $\hat{\xi}$ defined by eqn. (4.9) is sufficiently parallel to \bar{n}_0 . The solution of (4.42b) can be written as :

$$\bar{\zeta}(s) = \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}(s, s_0) \bar{y}(s_0) + \underline{D}(s, s_0) \bar{\zeta}(s_0) \quad (4.45)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{G}(s, s_0) &= \underline{D}(s, s_0) \cdot \int_{s_0}^s d\bar{s} \cdot \underline{D}(s_0, \bar{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\bar{s}) \cdot \underline{M}(\bar{s}, s_0) \\ &= \int_{s_0}^s d\bar{s} \cdot \underline{D}(s, \bar{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\bar{s}) \cdot \underline{M}(\bar{s}, s_0) \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

and

$$\underline{D}(s, s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\psi_{spin}(s) - \psi_{spin}(s_0)] & \sin[\psi_{spin}(s) - \psi_{spin}(s_0)] \\ -\sin[\psi_{spin}(s) - \psi_{spin}(s_0)] & \cos[\psi_{spin}(s) - \psi_{spin}(s_0)] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.47)$$

where $\underline{M}(s, s_0)$ denotes the 6-dimensional orbital transfer matrix with respect to eqn. (4.42a) which is determined by the differential equation :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \underline{M}(s, s_0) = \underline{A}_{orb}(s) \underline{M}(s, s_0); \quad (4.48a)$$

$$\underline{M}(s_0, s_0) = \underline{1}. \quad (4.48b)$$

In this approximation, the 8-dimensional transfer matrix $\hat{M}(s, s_0)$ defined by eqn. (4.41) takes the form :

$$\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{M}(s, s_0) & \underline{0} \\ \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}(s, s_0) & \underline{D}(s, s_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.49)$$

In particular, one finds the following expressions for the revolution matrix $\hat{M}(s_0 + L, s_0)$:

$$\hat{M}(s_0 + L, s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{M}(s_0 + L, s_0) & \underline{0} \\ \underline{G}(s_0 + L, s_0) & \underline{D}(s_0 + L, s_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.50)$$

with

$$\underline{D}(s_0 + L, s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos[2\pi Q_{spin}] & \sin[2\pi Q_{spin}] \\ -\sin[2\pi Q_{spin}] & \cos[2\pi Q_{spin}] \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.51)$$

where the quantity Q_{spin} defines the (linear) spin tune on the closed orbit (see eqn. (4.7)).

5 The Definition of Normal Forms and the \bar{n} -Axis

The nonlinear equations of spin-orbit motion represent a periodic canonical system described by a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(x, p_x; z, p_z; \sigma, p_\sigma; \alpha, \beta; s) = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \quad (5.1)$$

with an unperturbed part

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(0)} &\equiv \mathcal{K}_2 \\ &= \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_8 = 2} c_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_8}(s) \cdot x^{\mu_1} p_x^{\mu_2} z^{\mu_3} p_z^{\mu_4} \sigma^{\mu_5} p_\sigma^{\mu_6} \alpha^{\mu_7} \beta^{\mu_8} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2a)$$

and a perturbative part

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(1)} &\equiv \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n \\ &= \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_8 = \nu} c_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_8}(s) \cdot x^{\mu_1} p_x^{\mu_2} z^{\mu_3} p_z^{\mu_4} \sigma^{\mu_5} p_\sigma^{\mu_6} \alpha^{\mu_7} \beta^{\mu_8} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2b)$$

where the $c(s)$ coefficients are periodic functions⁹.

For a ring of length L the periodicity condition reads as:

$$\mathcal{H}(x, p_x; z, p_z; \sigma, p_\sigma; \alpha, \beta; s + L) = \mathcal{H}(x, p_x; z, p_z; \sigma, p_\sigma; \alpha, \beta; s). \quad (5.3)$$

As in Chapter 3 the coordinates x, z, σ, α and momenta $p_x, p_z, p_\sigma, \beta$ can be combined into the vector

$$\vec{y}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p_x \\ z \\ p_z \\ \sigma \\ p_\sigma \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \\ y_6 \\ y_7 \\ y_8 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.4)$$

and the canonical equations of motion may be written in the form

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{y} = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \vec{y}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Our aim now is to find a canonical transformation

$$x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta \longrightarrow \Phi_I, J_I, \Phi_{II}, J_{II}, \Phi_{III}, J_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_{IV}$$

⁹For convenience we have changed the notation from $(\bar{x}, \bar{p}_x; \bar{z}, \bar{p}_z; \bar{\sigma}, \bar{p}_\sigma; \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$ to $(x, p_x; z, p_z; \sigma, p_\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$, from $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ to \mathcal{H} and from $\bar{\mathcal{K}}_n$ in (4.34) to \mathcal{K}_n .

which brings the Hamiltonian into normal form :

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}(J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV})$$

where J_k and Φ_k are action - angle variables so that the spin-orbit vector \vec{y} can be written as :

$$\vec{y} = \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \quad (5.6a)$$

obeying the periodicity relations :

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \vec{f}(\Phi_I + 2\pi, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II} + 2\pi, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III} + 2\pi, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV} + 2\pi; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \vec{f}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}; J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s + L) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.6b)$$

The content of the parametrization (5.6a) is as follows.

If the SG forces and $\vec{\omega}$ were to vanish, there would be no spin-orbit coupling. In this case the $J_k, \Phi_k, (k = I, II, III)$ would parametrize just the orbital motion and J_{IV}, Φ_{IV} would parametrize the spin motion. But since in reality there is spin orbit coupling the $J_k, \Phi_k, (k = I, II, III)$ become slightly modified by the (very small) SG forces. For the same reason the orbital motion acquires a small dependence on J_{IV}, Φ_{IV} . Likewise the spin motion, described by the components y_7, y_8 becomes dependent additionally on the orbital motion through the $J_k, \Phi_k, (k = I, II, III)$.

Using this parametrization we can in principle obtain the \vec{n} -axis, the special solution of the BMT equation on a particle trajectory needed in the analytical theory of radiative spin polarization. The \vec{n} -axis is a unit vector obeying the following periodicity conditions [3, 5, 11] :

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{n}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s) &= \vec{n}(\Phi_I + 2\pi, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s) \\ &= \vec{n}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II} + 2\pi, \Phi_{III}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s) \\ &= \vec{n}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III} + 2\pi, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s) \\ &= \vec{n}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s + L) \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

and is thus a single valued function of the orbital phase space coordinates and azimuth. Within this formalism, \vec{n} is simply obtained from the elements y_7, y_8 by setting $J_{IV} = 0$ ¹⁰. At $J_{IV} = 0$ the vector \vec{y} becomes independent of Φ_{IV} so that we can write¹¹ :

$$\vec{n} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_7 \\ y_8 \end{pmatrix}_{J_{IV}=0} = \vec{n}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}; s) . \quad (5.8)$$

¹⁰Since $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is in normal form J_{IV} is an integral of motion.

¹¹Here we must differentiate between the 2-vector \vec{n} defined by (5.8) consisting of the α and β components and the 3-vector \vec{n} which is the corresponding unit vector. Thus we work with two different modes of expression for \vec{n} . The mode used should be clear from the context.

The components of the \bar{n} -axis with respect to the dreibein $(\bar{n}_0, \bar{m}, \bar{l})$ can be obtained from eqn. (4.10) and have to be multiplied by a normalisation factor such that it becomes a unit vector.

The action - angle variables $J_k, \Phi_k, (k = I, II, III, IV)$ in (5.6a) can be constructed iteratively ¹² :

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} J_k^{(\nu)} ; \\ \Phi_k &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_k^{(\nu)} . \end{aligned}$$

As a first step we introduce the variables $(J_k^{(0)}, \Phi_k^{(0)})$ which are action - angle variables with respect to the linear motion. In this way we obtain the Hamiltonian in a form which can be used as the starting point for canonical perturbation theory (chapter 7). In particular we show that the variables $J_k^{(0)}, \Phi_k^{(0)}, (k = I, II, III, IV)$ introduced to describe linear motion remain canonical in the presence of the perturbation $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$.

6 Variation of Constants in the Coupled Case

6.1 The Unperturbed System.

In this chapter for abbreviation we write :

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &\equiv J_k^{(0)} ; \\ \Phi_k &\equiv \Phi_k^{(0)} . \end{aligned}$$

6.1.1 The Equations of Motion for the Unperturbed System.

Taking into account only the first component, $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$, of the Hamiltonian (5.1) we obtain from (5.5) the equations of motion for the unperturbed system :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{y}^{(0)} = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(0)}}{\partial \vec{y}^{(0)}}$$

or

$$\frac{d}{ds} \vec{y}^{(0)} = \underline{A} \cdot \vec{y}^{(0)} \tag{6.1a}$$

with

$$\underline{A} \cdot \vec{y}^{(0)} = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(0)}}{\partial \vec{y}^{(0)}} \tag{6.1b}$$

¹²In practise one would only calculate to a finite order $\nu = N$.

and

$$\vec{y}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ p_x \\ z \\ p_z \\ \sigma \\ p_\sigma \\ \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} .$$

Because the equations of motion (6.1) are linear, the solution can be written in the form:

$$\vec{y}^{(0)}(s) = \underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0) \vec{y}^{(0)}(s_0) \quad (6.2)$$

which defines the transfer matrix $\underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0)$.

From (6.1), $\underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0)$ is determined by the differential equations :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0) = \underline{A}(s) \cdot \underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0) ; \quad (6.3a)$$

$$\underline{\hat{M}}(s_0, s_0) = \underline{1} . \quad (6.3b)$$

Since the variables $x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta$ are canonical, the transfer matrix is symplectic [22] :

$$\underline{\hat{M}}^T(s, s_0) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0) = \underline{S} . \quad (6.4)$$

The symplecticity condition (6.4) ensures that the transfer matrix , $\underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0)$, contains complete information about the stability of the (linear) betatron motion.

Differentiating eqn. (6.4) with respect to s and using (6.3) one obtains an alternative relation for symplecticity in the form:

$$\underline{A}^T(s) \cdot \underline{S} + \underline{S} \cdot \underline{A}(s) = 0 . \quad (6.5)$$

6.1.2 Eigenvectors for the Particle Motion ; Floquet-Theorem.

To come further we need the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the matrix $\underline{\hat{M}}(s + L, s)$:

$$\underline{\hat{M}}(s + L, s) \vec{v}_\mu(s) = \lambda_\mu \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s) \quad (6.6)$$

in order to study the normal modes. We proceed in the usual way [23] :

The vector $\vec{v}_\mu(s)$ in eqn. (6.6) is an eigenvector of the matrix $\underline{\hat{M}}(s + L, s)$ at point s with the eigenvalue λ_μ . The eigenvalues are independent of s .

If the eigenvector $\vec{v}_\mu(s_0)$ at a fixed point s_0 is known, the eigenvector at an arbitrary point s may be obtained by :

$$\vec{v}_\mu(s) = \underline{M}(s, s_0) \vec{v}_\mu(s_0). \quad (6.7)$$

Since $\underline{M}(s + L, s)$ is symplectic and we assume stability, the eigenvectors $\vec{v}_\mu(s)$ come in complex conjugate pairs

$$(\vec{v}_k, \vec{v}_{-k} = \vec{v}_k^*); \quad (k = I, II, III, IV)$$

with complex conjugate eigenvalues.

In the following we put :

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_k = e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_k}; \\ \lambda_{-k} = e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_{-k}}; \end{cases} \quad (6.8)$$

$$(k = I, II, III, IV)$$

with

$$Q_{-k} = -Q_k \quad (6.9)$$

where Q_k is a real number.

Defining $\vec{u}_\mu(s)$ by

$$\vec{v}_\mu(s) = \vec{u}_\mu(s) \cdot e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_\mu \cdot (s/L)} \quad (6.10a)$$

we find :

$$\vec{u}_\mu(s + L) = \vec{u}_\mu(s). \quad (6.10b)$$

Equation (6.10a, b) is a statement of the Floquet theorem : vectors $\vec{v}_\mu(s)$ are special solutions of the equations of motion (6.1) which can be expressed as the product of a periodic function $\vec{u}_\mu(s)$ and a harmonic function

$$e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_\mu \cdot (s/L)}.$$

The general solution of the equation of motion (6.1) is a linear combination of the special solutions (6.10a) and can be therefore written as :

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \left\{ A_k \cdot \vec{u}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_k \cdot (s/L)} + A_{-k} \cdot \vec{u}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i \cdot 2\pi Q_k \cdot (s/L)} \right\}. \quad (6.11)$$

We have the orthogonality relations :

$$\begin{cases} \vec{v}_k^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_k(s) = -\vec{v}_{-k}^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s) \neq 0; \\ \vec{v}_\mu^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_\nu(s) = 0 \text{ for } \mu \neq \nu; \end{cases}$$

$$(k = I, II, III, IV).$$

Furthermore the terms $\vec{v}_\mu^-(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s)$ in the last equation are pure imaginary :

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{v}_\mu^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s)]^+ &= \vec{v}_\mu^-(s) \cdot \underline{S}^- \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s) \\ &= - [\vec{v}_\mu^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s)] \end{aligned}$$

(since $\underline{S}^+ = -\underline{S}$). We choose to normalise the vectors $\vec{v}_k(s)$ and $\vec{v}_{-k}(s)$ at a fixed point s_0 as :

$$\vec{v}_k^+(s_0) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_k(s_0) = -\vec{v}_{-k}^+(s_0) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s_0) = i ;$$

$$(k = I, II, III, IV) .$$

This normalisation is valid for all s if we use the definition in eqn. (6.7) for $\vec{v}_\mu(s)$. Thus we obtain:

$$\begin{cases} \vec{v}_k^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_k(s) = -\vec{v}_{-k}^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s) = i ; \\ \vec{v}_\mu^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_\nu(s) = 0 \text{ for } \mu \neq \nu . \end{cases} \quad (6.12)$$

Note that the Floquet-vectors

$$\vec{u}_\mu(s) = \vec{v}_\mu(s) \cdot e^{+i \cdot 2\pi Q_\mu \cdot (s/L)}$$

then fulfill the same relationships:

$$\begin{cases} \vec{u}_k^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{u}_k(s) = -\vec{u}_{-k}^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{u}_{-k}(s) = i ; \\ \vec{u}_\mu^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{u}_\nu(s) = 0 \text{ for } \mu \neq \nu . \end{cases} \quad (6.13)$$

Remark:

The eigenvectors can be approximated by neglecting the Stern-Gerlach forces (matrix \underline{B} in eqn. (4.39)) and using the matrix $\hat{M}(s_0 + L, s_0)$ in eqn. (4.49).

For more details see Appendix A.

6.2 The Perturbed System.

Using these results we can now introduce a new set of canonical variables which will be needed later.

We first remark that the general solution of the unperturbed equation of motion (6.1) may be written in the form (see eqns. (6.10a) and (6.11)):

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \{A_k \cdot \vec{v}_k(s) + A_{-k} \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s)\} \quad (6.14)$$

where A_k, A_{-k} are constants of integration ($k = I, II, III, IV$).

In order to solve the perturbed problem (5.5) we now make the following "ansatz" (variation of constants) :

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \{A_k(s) \cdot \vec{v}_k(s) + A_{-k}(s) \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s)\} . \quad (6.15)$$

Writing then for the coefficients A_k and A_{-k} ($k = I, II, III, IV$):

$$A_k = \sqrt{J_k(s)} \cdot e^{-i\psi_k(s)} ; \quad (6.16a)$$

$$A_{-k} = \sqrt{J_k(s)} \cdot e^{+i\psi_k(s)} \quad (6.16b)$$

eqn. (6.15) takes the form:

$$\vec{y} = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \sqrt{J_k(s)} \cdot \left\{ \vec{v}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\psi_k(s)} + \vec{v}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\psi_k(s)} \right\} \equiv \vec{y}(s, \psi_k, J_k) . \quad (6.17)$$

Note that in eqns. (6.16) and (6.17) the s dependence in J_k , ψ_k is to be understood as implicit, not as explicit. We intend to treat the J_k, ψ_k as dynamical variables. The explicit s dependence of $\vec{y}(s, \psi_k, J_k)$ is incorporated in the eigenvectors $\vec{v}_{\pm k}(s)$ which obey the unperturbed equations of motion (6.1).

From (6.17) we now get:

$$\frac{d\vec{y}}{ds} = \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial s} + \sum_k \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \psi_k} \cdot \psi'_k + \sum_k \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_k} \cdot J'_k = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \vec{y}} . \quad (6.18)$$

Then with

$$\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial s} = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(0)}}{\partial \vec{y}} \quad (6.19)$$

we obtain:

$$\sum_k \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \psi_k} \cdot \psi'_k + \sum_k \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_k} \cdot J'_k = -\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \vec{y}} . \quad (6.20)$$

Furthermore from (6.17) we have :

$$\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \psi_k} = -i \cdot \sqrt{J_k(s)} \cdot \left\{ \vec{v}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} - \vec{v}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} \right\} ; \quad (6.21a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_k} = +\frac{1}{2\sqrt{J_k(s)}} \cdot \left\{ \vec{v}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} + \vec{v}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} \right\} . \quad (6.21b)$$

Taking into account the relations (6.12) we obtain the equations :

$$\left\{ \vec{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} + \vec{v}_{-k}^+ \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \psi_l} = 2 \cdot \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \delta_{kl} ; \quad (6.22a)$$

$$\left\{ \vec{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} - \vec{v}_{-k}^+ \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \psi_l} = 0 ; \quad (6.22b)$$

$$\left\{ \vec{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} + \vec{v}_{-k}^+ \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_l} = 0 ; \quad (6.22c)$$

$$\left\{ \vec{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} - \vec{v}_{-k}^+ \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_l} = 2i \cdot \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{J_k}} \cdot \delta_{kl} . \quad (6.22d)$$

Then from (6.20) with the help of (6.22) and the relation $\bar{v}_{-k} = \bar{v}_k^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \psi'_k &= \left\{ \bar{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} + \bar{v}_{-k}^- \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \left[-\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \right] \\ &= \left\{ (\bar{v}_{-k})^T \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} + (\bar{v}_k)^T \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_k &= \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{J_k}} \cdot \left\{ \bar{v}_{-k} \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} + \bar{v}_k \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\}^T \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \bar{z}^T}{\partial J_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial J_k} \end{aligned} \tag{6.23a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2i \cdot \frac{1}{2 \cdot \sqrt{J_k}} \cdot J'_k &= \left\{ \bar{v}_k^+ \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} - \bar{v}_{-k}^+ \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \left[-\underline{S} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \right] \\ &= \left\{ (\bar{v}_{-k})^T \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} - (\bar{v}_k)^T \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} \right\} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \\ &= - \left\{ \bar{v}_k \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} - \bar{v}_{-k} \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} \right\}^T \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} J'_k &= i \cdot \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left\{ \bar{v}_k \cdot e^{-i\psi_k} - \bar{v}_{-k} \cdot e^{+i\psi_k} \right\}^T \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \\ &= - \frac{\partial \bar{z}^T}{\partial \psi_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{y}} \\ &= - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}^{(1)}}{\partial \psi_k} . \end{aligned} \tag{6.23b}$$

So, by this ansatz, the motion of J and ψ can be attributed entirely to the perturbative part $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ and the unperturbed motion is embodied in the motion of the eigenvectors $\bar{v}_k(s)$ [24].

The relations (6.23a, b) were already used in Ref. [24] and are similar to those of the uncoupled case [25, 26, 27] and can now be the starting point for detailed investigations of specific cases ¹³.

Remarks:

1) From (6.23a, b) it follows that the quantities J_k and ψ_k ($k = I, II, III, IV$) defined by eqn. (6.16a, b) are canonical variables and that eqn. (6.17) represents a canonical transformation

$$x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta \longrightarrow \psi_I, J_I, \psi_{II}, J_{II}, \psi_{III}, J_{III}, \psi_{IV}, J_{IV} . \tag{6.24}$$

¹³In the case that the linear motion is decoupled the Hamiltonian in eqn. (6.23a, b) can also be obtained by a generating function [32].

2) From eqn. (6.15) and the relations (6.12) we obtain

$$A_k = -i \cdot \bar{v}_k^-(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \bar{z}(s) \quad (6.25)$$

and from (6.16a, b) we have:

$$J_k(s) = |\bar{v}_k^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \bar{z}(s)|^2. \quad (6.26)$$

In the special case of vanishing coupling (see eqn. (6.45) in Ref. [23]) we may thus write:

$$J_y(s) = \frac{1}{2\beta_y(s)} \cdot \{[\alpha_y \cdot y + \beta_y \cdot p_y]^2 + y^2\}. \quad (6.27)$$

The terms on the r.h.s. of (6.27) just represent the Courant-Snyder invariants for the linear uncoupled case. Therefore the term on the r.h.s. of (6.26) may be interpreted as the generalized Courant-Snyder invariant for the linear coupled case.

3) Writing the Jacobian matrix

$$\underline{J} = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_I}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_I}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{II}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{II}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{III}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{III}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{IV}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{IV}} \right) \quad (6.28)$$

as an 8×8 -matrix written as a row of column vectors $(\partial \bar{y}/\partial \psi_I)$ etc. and taking into account (6.21), the relations (6.22) may be combined into the matrix form

$$\underline{J}^T \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{J} = \underline{S}. \quad (6.29)$$

This matrix equation may also be written as:

$$\underline{J} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{J}^T = \underline{S} \quad (6.30a)$$

or

$$\left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_I}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_I}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{II}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{II}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{III}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{III}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{IV}}, \frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{IV}} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} - \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_I} \right)^T \\ + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_I} \right)^T \\ - \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{II}} \right)^T \\ + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{II}} \right)^T \\ - \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{III}} \right)^T \\ + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{III}} \right)^T \\ - \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial J_{IV}} \right)^T \\ + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{y}}{\partial \psi_{IV}} \right)^T \end{pmatrix} = \underline{S} \quad (6.30b)$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\mathcal{J}}^T \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}} = \underline{S} & \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [\underline{S}^T \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}^T] \cdot [\underline{S} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}] = \underline{1} \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [\underline{S}^T \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}^T] = [\underline{S} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}]^{-1} \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [\underline{S} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}] \cdot [\underline{S}^T \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}^T] = \underline{1} \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \underline{S}^2 \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}} \cdot [\underline{S}^T \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}^T] = \underline{S} \\
& \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \underline{\mathcal{J}} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{\mathcal{J}}^T = \underline{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of components, one obtains from (6.30b):

$$\begin{cases} [u, p_v]_{(\psi, J)} = 1 ; \\ [u, v]_{(\psi, J)} = [u, p_v]_{(\psi, J)} = [p_u, p_v]_{(\psi, J)} = 0 \text{ otherwise ;} \end{cases} \quad (6.31)$$

$$(u, v = x, z, \sigma, \alpha)$$

where $[f, g]_{(\psi, J)}$ represents the Poisson bracket defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
[f, g]_{(\psi, J)} &= \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_I} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial J_I} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial J_I} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_I} \right] + \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_{II}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial J_{II}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial J_{II}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_{II}} \right] \\
&+ \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_{III}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial J_{III}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial J_{III}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_{III}} \right] + \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_{IV}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial J_{IV}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial J_{IV}} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \psi_{IV}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

These relations demonstrate again that (6.24) represents a canonical transformation [28]. The new Hamiltonian in terms of the variables J_k, ψ_k is just $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$.

4) Starting from the Floquet-form (6.11) of $\vec{y}(s)$ and using (6.16)¹⁴:

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left\{ \vec{u}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + \vec{u}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right\} \quad (6.32)$$

with

$$\Phi_k = \psi_k + 2\pi Q_k \cdot \frac{s}{L} \quad (6.33)$$

we may define another Jacobian matrix

$$\underline{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} = \left(\frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \Phi_I}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_I}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \Phi_{II}}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_{II}}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \Phi_{III}}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_{III}}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial \Phi_{IV}}, \frac{\partial \vec{y}}{\partial J_{IV}} \right) \quad (6.34)$$

in terms of the variables J_k, Φ_k . This obeys the same relation as $\underline{\mathcal{J}}$:

$$\underline{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}}^T \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{\tilde{\mathcal{J}}} = \underline{S} \quad (6.35)$$

as may be seen by using eqn. (6.13). Therefore Φ_k, J_k are again canonical variables.

¹⁴Recall, that in this chapter $J_k \equiv J_k^{(0)}$, $\Phi_k \equiv \Phi_k^{(0)}$. Thus:

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \sqrt{J_k^{(0)}} \cdot \left\{ \vec{u}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k^{(0)}} + \vec{u}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k^{(0)}} \right\}.$$

For the unperturbed case

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = 0 \quad \implies \quad (J_k = \text{const}, \psi_k = \text{const})$$

and

$$\frac{dJ_k}{ds} = 0 ; \tag{6.36a}$$

$$\frac{d\Phi_k}{ds} = \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k . \tag{6.36b}$$

So in that case, the quantities J, Φ appearing in the Floquet form (6.32) are standard action angle variables.

The transition

$$\psi_k, J_k \longrightarrow \Phi_k, \bar{J}_k = J_k$$

may be affected by a canonical transformation using a generating function of the form

$$F_3(J_k, \Phi_k; s) = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \left\{ -J_k \cdot \Phi_k + J_k \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k \cdot s \right\} . \tag{6.37}$$

The corresponding transformation equations:

$$\psi_k = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial J_k} = \Phi_k - \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k \cdot s ; \tag{6.38a}$$

$$\bar{J}_k = -\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial \Phi_k} = J_k \tag{6.38b}$$

are indeed identical with the defining equations for Φ_k and J_k (see eqn. (6.33)).

The new Hamiltonian $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in terms of $\bar{J}_k = J_k$ and Φ_k then reads as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial s} \\ &= \mathcal{H}^{(1)} + \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} J_k \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k . \end{aligned} \tag{6.39}$$

This form of the Hamiltonian is useful for calculating the detuning terms [29, 32].

5) From (6.35) one obtains:

$$\underline{\tilde{J}} \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \underline{\tilde{J}}^T = \underline{S} \tag{6.40}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} [u, p_u]_{(\Phi, J)} = 1 ; \\ [u, v]_{(\Phi, J)} = [u, p_v]_{(\Phi, J)} = [p_u, p_v]_{(\Phi, J)} = 0 \text{ otherwise ;} \end{cases} \tag{6.41}$$

$$(u, v = x, z, \sigma, \alpha) .$$

Moreover, since the transformation inverse to (6.24):

$$\psi_I, J_I, \psi_{II}, J_{II}, \psi_{III}, J_{III}, \psi_{IV}, J_{IV} \longrightarrow x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta \quad (6.42)$$

is also canonical one gets in the same way:

$$\begin{cases} [\Phi_k, J_l]_{(y, p_y)} = \delta_{kl} ; \\ [J_k, J_l]_{(y, p_y)} = [\Phi_k, \Phi_l]_{(y, p_y)} = 0 ; \end{cases} \quad (6.43)$$

$$(y = x, z, \sigma, \alpha) .$$

6) In linear order $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in (6.39) takes the form :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} J_k \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k . \quad (6.44)$$

Thus

$$J_k \equiv J_k^{(0)}$$

is an integral of motion. The \vec{n} -axis as defined by eqn. (5.8) fulfilling the periodicity relations (5.7) is in this order given by (see eqn. (6.32)) [34]:

$$\vec{n} = \sum_{k=I, II, III} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_{k7} \\ u_{k8} \end{pmatrix} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + \begin{pmatrix} [u_{k7}]^* \\ [u_{k8}]^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right\} . \quad (6.45)$$

A general spin-vector can then be represented by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_7 \\ y_8 \end{pmatrix} = \vec{n} + \sqrt{J_{IV}} \cdot \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_{k7} \\ u_{k8} \end{pmatrix} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + \begin{pmatrix} [u_{k7}]^* \\ [u_{k8}]^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right\}_{k=IV} . \quad (6.46)$$

So in first order a spin precesses around the first order \vec{n} -axis with frequency Q_{IV} (see eqn. (6.33)).

7) In linear order (see (6.44)) we now introduce new canonical variables¹⁵:

$$q_k = +\sqrt{2J_k} \cdot \cos \Phi_k ; \quad (6.47a)$$

$$p_k = -\sqrt{2J_k} \cdot \sin \Phi_k \quad (6.47b)$$

by a canonical transformation

$$\Phi_k, J_k \longrightarrow q_k, p_k$$

¹⁵We have already come across this form in eqn. (2.22a b).

using the generating function :

$$F_1(\Phi_k, q_k) = \frac{1}{2} q_k^2 \cdot \tan \Phi_k \quad (6.48a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} J_k = + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial \Phi_k} = + \frac{1}{2} q_k^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\cos^2 \Phi_k} ; \\ p_k = - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial q_k} = - q_k \cdot \tan \Phi_k \end{cases} \quad (6.48b)$$

(note, that the defining equations (6.47) for q_k and p_k are reproduced by eqn. (6.48b)) to obtain the new Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial s} \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \frac{1}{2} [p_k^2 + q_k^2] \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k \end{aligned} \quad (6.49)$$

representing four s -independent uncoupled harmonic oscillators and have diagonalised the Hamiltonian (5.2a) which described four coupled Hill oscillators¹⁶.

Using the new variables q_k and p_k , the spin-orbit vector $\vec{y}(s)$ in (6.32) may be written as :

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{y}(s) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \{ [q_k + i p_k] \cdot \vec{u}_k(s) + [q_k - i p_k] \cdot \vec{u}_{-k}(s) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \{ [\vec{u}_k(s) + \vec{u}_{-k}(s)] \cdot q_k + i [\vec{u}_k(s) - \vec{u}_{-k}(s)] \cdot p_k \} \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

or in matrix form :

$$\vec{y}(s) = \underline{R}(s) \cdot \vec{r}(s) \quad (6.51)$$

with

$$\underline{R} = ([\vec{u}_I + \vec{u}_{-I}], i [\vec{u}_I - \vec{u}_{-I}], \dots, [\vec{u}_{IV} - \vec{u}_{-IV}], i [\vec{u}_{IV} + \vec{u}_{-IV}]) \quad (6.52a)$$

and

$$\vec{r} = \begin{pmatrix} q_I \\ p_I \\ q_{II} \\ p_{II} \\ q_{III} \\ p_{III} \\ q_{IV} \\ p_{IV} \end{pmatrix} . \quad (6.52b)$$

¹⁶The transfer matrix with respect to the Hamiltonian (6.49) is block diagonal.

It follows from (6.50), taking into account the orthogonality relations (6.13), that :

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}_k^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \bar{y}(s) &= +\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot [q_k - i p_k] ; \\ -\bar{u}_{-k}^+(s) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \bar{y}(s) &= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot [q_k - i p_k]\end{aligned}$$

so that :

$$q_k = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{u}_k^+(s) - \bar{u}_{-k}^+(s)] \underline{S} \cdot \bar{y}(s) ; \quad (6.53a)$$

$$p_k = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\bar{u}_k^+(s) + \bar{u}_{-k}^+(s)] \underline{S} \cdot \bar{y}(s) \quad (6.53b)$$

or

$$\bar{r} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} [\bar{u}_I^+ - \bar{u}_{-I}^+] \\ i [\bar{u}_I^+ + \bar{u}_{-I}^+] \\ [\bar{u}_{II}^+ - \bar{u}_{-II}^+] \\ i [\bar{u}_{II}^+ + \bar{u}_{-II}^+] \\ [\bar{u}_{III}^+ - \bar{u}_{-III}^+] \\ i [\bar{u}_{III}^+ + \bar{u}_{-III}^+] \\ [\bar{u}_{IV}^+ - \bar{u}_{-IV}^+] \\ i [\bar{u}_{IV}^+ + \bar{u}_{-IV}^+] \end{pmatrix} \underline{S} \cdot \bar{y} \equiv \underline{R}^{-1} \bar{y} . \quad (6.54)$$

Equation (6.53) or (6.54) allows the quantities q_k , p_k to be calculated in terms of the starting variables y_ν .

From the relation

$$\bar{y}(s+L) = \underline{M}(s+L, s) \bar{y}(s)$$

we obtain, using (6.51):

$$\underline{R}(s+L) \cdot \bar{r}(s+L) = \underline{M}(s+L, s) \underline{R}(s) \cdot \bar{r}(s)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{r}(s+L) &= \underline{R}^{-1}(s+L) \underline{M}(s+L, s) \underline{R}(s) \cdot \bar{r}(s) \\ &= \underline{R}^{-1}(s) \underline{M}(s+L, s) \underline{R}(s) \cdot \bar{r}(s)\end{aligned} \quad (6.55)$$

(for the last step see eqn. (6.10b)).

The revolution matrix with respect to the variables p_k , q_k is thus given by

$$\underline{M}^{(r)}(s+L, s) = \underline{R}^{-1}(s) \underline{M}(s+L, s) \underline{R}(s). \quad (6.56)$$

This matrix is block diagonal as can be seen from eqn. (6.49).

Equations (6.50), (6.51) and (6.55) represent the first step in normal form analysis [33].

In the next chapter we show how to put the Hamiltonian into normal form at the next and succeeding orders. Implicit use will be made of the fact that the Hamiltonian can be written as a power series in α and β (see chapter 4.2.3).

7 Nonlinear Perturbation Theory and Normal Forms

The version of perturbation theory presented here is similar to that given by Courant, Ruth, and Weng (CRW) (Ref. [29]). The starting point is the Hamiltonian (6.39), written in terms of $J_k \equiv J_k^{(0)}$ and $\Phi_k \equiv \Phi_k^{(0)}$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \tilde{V}(\Phi, J; s) \quad (7.1)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} J_k \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k \quad (7.2a)$$

and

$$\tilde{V} \equiv \mathcal{H}^{(1)} \quad (7.2b)$$

(see Appendix B) where the unperturbed part, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0$, depends only on J_k ($k = I, II, III, IV$). The term $\tilde{V}(\Phi, J; s)$ resulting from eqns. (5.2b) and (6.14) which is in general nonlinear, describes the perturbation and is periodic in s and Φ_k :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I + 2\pi, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II} + 2\pi, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III} + 2\pi, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV} + 2\pi, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s + L). \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

From eqn. (5.2b) we may write:

$$\tilde{V}(J, \Phi; s) = \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} \tilde{V}_\nu(J, \Phi; s) \quad (7.4a)$$

with

$$\tilde{V}_n \equiv \mathcal{K}_n. \quad (7.4b)$$

The aim now is to transform the Hamiltonian (7.1) to normal form, i.e. a form in which the new Hamiltonian depends only on the new momenta. To achieve that, we look for a transformation which cancels the perturbative terms \tilde{V}_n iteratively order by order.

At the $(n - 2)^{th}$ step of iteration we have the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \check{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \check{V}(\Phi, J; s) \quad (7.5)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_k &\equiv J_k^{(n-3)}; \\ \Phi_k &\equiv \Phi_k^{(n-3)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\check{V}(\Phi, J; s) &= \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \check{V}_{\nu}(\Phi, J; s) \\ &= \check{V}_n(\Phi, J; s) + W_n(\Phi, J; s); \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

$$W_n(\Phi, J; s) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \check{V}_{\nu}(\Phi, J; s) \quad (7.7)$$

where \check{V}_{ν} is of order ν and the higher order terms resulting from $\check{V}_3, \dots, \check{V}_{n-1}$ at earlier stages of diagonalisation have been absorbed in \check{V}_n and W_n .

At a first step we separate off the average of \check{V}_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle &= \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 \cdot L} \cdot \int_{s_0}^{s_0+L} ds \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_I \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{II} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{III} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{IV} \cdot \check{V}_n(\Phi_k, J_k; s) \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

and add it to $\check{\mathcal{H}}_0$ so that

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0(J) + V(\Phi, J; s) \quad (7.9)$$

with

$$V(\Phi, J; s) = V_n(\Phi, J; s) + W_n(\Phi, J; s) \quad (7.10)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_0(J) = \check{\mathcal{H}}_0(J) + \langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle; \quad (7.11a)$$

$$V_n(\Phi, J; s) = \check{V}_n(\Phi, J; s) - \langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle. \quad (7.11b)$$

(We comment further on this separation at the end of this section.)

As is clear from eqns. (7.2a) and (7.11a) the term $\langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle$ results in a tune shift of the form:

$$\delta Q_k = \frac{L}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial J_k} \langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle. \quad (7.12)$$

If $\langle \check{V}_n(J) \rangle$ depends nonlinearly on J_k , the tune shift is amplitude dependent.

In a second step we make a canonical transformation ¹⁷:

$$(\Phi_k, J_k) \longrightarrow (\hat{\Phi}_k, \hat{J}_k) \quad (7.13)$$

¹⁷In order to simplify the notation we drop the index 'n' in F_2 and G .

with

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{J}_k &\equiv J_k^{(n-2)}; \\ \hat{\Phi}_k &\equiv \Phi_k^{(n-2)}\end{aligned}$$

designed to cancel the term $V_n(\Phi, J; s)$ by using the generating function:

$$F_2(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) = \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \Phi_k \cdot \hat{J}_k + G(\Phi, \hat{J}; s)$$

For the new variables $\hat{\Phi}_k, \hat{J}_k$:

$$\hat{\Phi}_k = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \hat{J}_k} = \Phi_k + G_{\hat{J}_k}; \quad (7.14a)$$

$$J_k = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \Phi_k} = \hat{J}_k + G_{\Phi_k} \quad (7.14b)$$

the corresponding Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \mathcal{H} + \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial s} \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J} + G_{\Phi}) + V(\Phi, \hat{J} + G_{\Phi}; s) + G_s \\ &= \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J} + G_{\Phi}) + V_n(\Phi, \hat{J} + G_{\Phi}; s) + W_n(\Phi, \hat{J} + G_{\Phi}; s) + G_s, \quad (7.15a)\end{aligned}$$

is in n^{th} order only dependent on \hat{J}_k . In eqn. (7.14) and below we use the notation $G_{\Phi} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \Phi}$ etc.

For this purpose, following CWR, we rewrite (7.15a) as:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{H}} &= \\ &\mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J}) + \left\{ \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J} + G_{\Phi}) - \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J}) - \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(\hat{J}) \cdot G_{\Phi_k} \right\} \\ &+ \left\{ V(\Phi, \hat{J} + G_{\Phi}; s) - V(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{k=I, II, III, IV} \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(\hat{J}) \cdot G_{\Phi_k} + G_s + V_n(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) + W_n(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \quad (7.15b)\end{aligned}$$

where for brevity we have written (see eqns. (7.2a), (7.11a) and (7.12)):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0(J)}{\partial J_k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(J). \quad (7.16)$$

We now require that the generating function G satisfies the partial differential equation :

$$\sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(\hat{J}) \cdot G_{\Phi_k} + G_s + V_n(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) = 0 \quad (7.17)$$

so that

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J}) + \hat{V} \quad (7.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= W_n(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \\ &+ \sum_{k,l=I,II,III,IV} \frac{1}{2} \cdot G_{\Phi_k} \cdot G_{\Phi_l} \cdot \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{J}_k \partial \hat{J}_l} \mathcal{H}_0(\hat{J}) \\ &+ \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} G_{\Phi_k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{J}_k} V(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7.19a)$$

If the perturbation, V , in (7.9) is small compared to \mathcal{H} , then according to (7.17) we expect that G is small. It is then clear from (7.19a) that \hat{V} in (7.18) is only a second order correction compared to \mathcal{H}_0 , so that we may write :

$$\hat{V}(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) = \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \hat{V}_\nu(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \quad (7.19b)$$

beginning the series expansion with the order $\nu = n + 1$ ¹⁸.

For convenience we also require that the solution of (7.17) is periodic in s :

$$G(\Phi, \hat{J}; s + L) = G(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \quad (7.20)$$

so that \hat{V} is also periodic with the same period L . In this case, the calculation embodied in eqns. (7.8 - 16) (and in the Fourier expansion below) can be repeated in a second iteration step, in which \hat{V} replaces \check{V} in eqn. (7.5).

In particular we can use the average of \hat{V} :

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \hat{V}_{n+1}(\hat{J}) \rangle = \\ &\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 \cdot L} \cdot \int_{s_0}^{s_0+L} ds \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_I \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{II} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{III} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi_{IV} \cdot \hat{V}_{n+1}(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) \end{aligned}$$

¹⁸Since W_n is of order $(n+1)$ and J_k of order 2 and since G has the same order n as V (see eqns. (7.21) and (7.29)) \hat{V} in (7.19a) becomes of order

$$\min(n+1, 2n-2) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } n=2; \\ n+1 & \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the canonical perturbation treatment does not work in the *linear* case where $n=2$ since the corrections of *linear* transformations remain *linear*. For that reason we have solved the linear problem separately before (chapter 6) using another method (variation of constants).

to calculate the contribution to the Q-shift in the next order in analogy to eqn. (7.12).

A periodic solution to eqn. (7.17) can be obtained by writing V_n and G as :

$$V_n(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, s) \times e^{i \cdot [m_1 \Phi_I + m_2 \Phi_{II} + m_3 \Phi_{III} + m_4 \Phi_{IV}]} \quad (7.21)$$

and

$$G(\Phi, \hat{J}; s) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s) \times e^{i \cdot [m_1 \Phi_I + m_2 \Phi_{II} + m_3 \Phi_{III} + m_4 \Phi_{IV}]} \quad (7.22a)$$

where $v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}$ is periodic in s and where according to (7.20) we require :

$$g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s + L) = g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s). \quad (7.22b)$$

On substituting (7.21) and (7.22a) into (7.17) we get the differential equation connecting the coefficients g and v :

$$\left\{ i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right\} g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s) = -v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, s). \quad (7.23)$$

This may also be written as :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left\{ e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] s} \cdot g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s) \right\} = -e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] s} \cdot v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, s). \quad (7.24)$$

By integrating (7.24) from s to $s + L$ and using (7.22b) we then obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s) \cdot \left\{ e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] \cdot (s + L)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] \cdot s} \right\} \\ & = - \int_s^{s+L} d\tilde{s} \cdot v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, \tilde{s}) \\ & \quad \times e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] \cdot \tilde{s}} \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

from which :

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}(\hat{J}, s) \\
&= \frac{i}{2 \cdot \sin \pi [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}]} \\
&\times \int_s^{s+L} d\bar{s} \cdot v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, \bar{s}) \\
&\times e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] \cdot (\bar{s} - s - L/2)} \quad (7.26)
\end{aligned}$$

so that (7.22) finally :

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(\Phi, \hat{J}, s) \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} \frac{i}{2 \cdot \sin \pi [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}]} \\
&\times e^{i \cdot [m_1 \Phi_I + m_2 \Phi_{II} + m_3 \Phi_{III} + m_4 \Phi_{IV}]} \cdot \int_s^{s+L} d\bar{s} \cdot v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, \bar{s}) \\
&\times e^{i \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} [m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}] \cdot (\bar{s} - s - L/2)} \quad (7.27)
\end{aligned}$$

If the function $v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}$ in (7.27) is furthermore expanded as a Fourier series in s :

$$v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4}^{(n)}(\hat{J}, s) = \sum_q v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 q}^{(n)}(\hat{J}) \cdot e^{-i \cdot q \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot s} \quad (7.28)$$

then G takes the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
& G(\Phi, \hat{J}, s) \\
&= i \cdot \frac{L}{2\pi} \sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, q} v_{m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 q}^{(n)}(\hat{J}) \\
&\times \frac{e^{i \cdot [m_1 \Phi_I + m_2 \Phi_{II} + m_3 \Phi_{III} + m_4 \Phi_{IV} - q \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot s]}}{[m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV} - q]} \quad (7.29)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is approximately independent of $\hat{\Phi}_k$, the canonical equations :

$$\frac{d}{ds} \hat{J}_k = - \frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \hat{\Phi}_k} \quad (7.30)$$

predict that \hat{J}_k are approximately constants of motion which together with eqn. (6.14b) :

$$J_k = \hat{J}_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\Phi}_k} G(\Phi, \hat{J}; s); \quad (7.31)$$

$$(k = I, II, III, IV)$$

define invariant surfaces.

Remarks:

1) In separating off the average of \check{V}_n :

$$\langle \check{V}_n \rangle = v_{00000}^{(n)}$$

in eqn. (7.11b) we have ensured that the term in (7.29) for which m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4 and q in the denominator, $[m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV} - q]$, are all zero, does not appear.

2) Taking into account the relation :

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi \cdot e^{i \cdot m \Phi} = 0 \text{ for } m \neq 0, \quad (7.32)$$

the quantities $\langle \hat{V}_\nu \rangle$ vanish for odd values of ν . As a result, only integer powers of J_k ($k = I, II, III, IV$) appear in the normal-form Hamiltonian. (So the half integer powers disappear: see eqns. (7.4a), (B.5) and (B.7) for the leading order and for higher orders Ref. [30]).

3) Since J_{IV} has the order of magnitude $\hat{\xi}$, (see (6.46) and eqns. (A.4a, b) in Appendix A) we may neglect powers $(\hat{J}_{IV})^\nu$ for $\nu \geq 2$ in the final Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ which thus takes the form :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = h_1(\hat{J}_I, \hat{J}_{II}, \hat{J}_{III}) + h_2(\hat{J}_I, \hat{J}_{II}, \hat{J}_{III}) \cdot \hat{J}_{IV} \quad (7.33)$$

whereby h_1 and h_2 represent power series in \hat{J}_k ($k = I, II, III$) (we denote the action variables in the final state by \hat{J})¹⁹. It follows that :

$$\frac{d\hat{J}_k}{ds} = -\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \hat{\Phi}_k} = 0; \quad (7.34a)$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\Phi}_k}{ds} = +\frac{\partial \hat{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \hat{J}_k} = \text{const.} \quad (7.34b)$$

and thus :

$$\hat{J}_k = \text{const.}; \quad (7.35a)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_k = \frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(\hat{J}_k) \cdot s \quad (7.35b)$$

with

$$\frac{2\pi}{L} \cdot Q_k(\hat{J}_k) = +\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{J}_k} \left[h_1 + h_2 \cdot \hat{J}_{IV} \right]. \quad (7.36)$$

¹⁹This corresponds to the fact that we work only to first order in \hbar . In fact terms of order $(J_{IV})^{N/2}$ ($N \geq 3$) can be neglected at each stage of the perturbation procedure [7].

The form of the final Hamiltonian in (7.33) looks similar to that in Ref. [3]. However the meaning of \hat{J}_{IV} differs from that of the action variable in Ref. [3].

In particular we obtain for the spin motion :

$$\hat{J}_{IV} = \text{const.} ; \quad (7.37a)$$

$$\hat{\Phi}_{IV} = h_2(\hat{J}_I, \hat{J}_{II}, \hat{J}_{III}) \cdot s \quad (7.37b)$$

and

$$Q_{IV}(\hat{J}_k) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \cdot h_2(\hat{J}_I, \hat{J}_{II}, \hat{J}_{III}), \quad (7.38)$$

i.e. the spin tune depends only on the orbital action variables $\hat{J}_I, \hat{J}_{II}, \hat{J}_{III}$.

If the distribution function of the orbital action - angle variables is known, one can calculate the mean square spin tune spread $\langle (Q_{IV} - Q_{spin})^2 \rangle$ with Q_{spin} given by eqn. (4.7).

4) Since the transformation (7.13) is canonical, the Poisson bracket relations (6.43) remain valid for $\hat{\Phi}_k$ and \hat{J}_k :

$$\begin{cases} [\hat{\Phi}_k, \hat{J}_l]_{(y, p_y)} = \delta_{kl} ; \\ [\hat{J}_k, \hat{J}_l]_{(y, p_y)} = [\hat{\Phi}_k, \hat{\Phi}_l]_{(y, p_y)} = 0 ; \end{cases} \quad (7.39)$$

$(y = x, z, \sigma, \alpha) .$

5) To calculate the \vec{n} -axis (see eqn. (5.8)) we need the spin-orbit vector \vec{y} in terms of the new action - angle variables. The \vec{n} -axis is obtained by taking $J_k^{(0)}, \Phi_k^{(0)}$ to be functions of $\hat{J}_k, \hat{\Phi}_k, (k = I, II, III, IV)$ in eqn. (6.32) and footnote #14 and putting $\hat{J}_{IV} = 0$. Clearly this can be a complicated procedure since in the CRW method the old and new variables in the generating function are mixed (see eqn. (7.14a, b)). It would then be more convenient to apply another kind of canonical perturbation theory, namely the method of Lie transforms (see Refs. [7, 9, 30]), whereby one obtains directly the old variables as functions of the new ones.

6) The above treatment of perturbation theory relies on the assumption that the perturbation G in (7.14) is small. From (7.29) it is clear that this condition is not valid if

$$m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV}$$

is close to an integer. Thus the resonant case :

$$m_1 Q_I + m_2 Q_{II} + m_3 Q_{III} + m_4 Q_{IV} \approx \text{integer} \quad (7.40)$$

has to be investigated separately with other methods (see for instance Ref. [24])²⁰. In this case the Hamiltonian becomes a function also of $\Phi_k (k = I, II, III, IV)$. As a result, $J_{IV} = 0$ is no longer a solution of the canonical equations of motion as used in eqn. (5.8) and the method for calculating \vec{n} described here breaks down (see also Ref. [3, 6]).

At linear order in this perturbation theory \vec{n} contains first order resonances ($|m_1| + |m_2| + |m_3| = 1$) and is of first order in orbit amplitudes (see eqns. (A.4a) and (6.45) as well as Appendix in [20]). As can be seen in Refs. [6, 11] at N^{th} order, \vec{n} is of N^{th} order in orbital amplitudes and contains N^{th} order resonances.

²⁰Since the SG forces are very small the spin motion can be considered to be a forced oscillation induced by the orbital motion (see Ref. [6]). Therefore only the resonances (7.40) for which $m_4 = 0, \pm 1$ are significant.

8 Summary

Following an earlier paper [1], we have used a classical spin-orbit Hamiltonian for a spin 1/2 charged particle to construct a canonical formalism of spin-orbit motion expressed in machine coordinates, taking into account all kinds of coupling.

In addition to the orbital variables $x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma$ of the fully coupled 6-dimensional formalism we introduce the canonical variables α and β to describe the spin motion. All eight variables can be treated on the same level. In particular, the equations of spin-orbit motion can be linearised. Also the one turn maps are origin preserving.

By expanding the Hamiltonian into a power series in these variables, one may work to various orders of approximation for the canonical equations and the canonical structure of the formalism is well suited for the use of Lie algebra and normal forms.

In this paper we show how it is possible in principle to convert the spin-orbit Hamiltonian to normal form and how then to construct the \vec{n} -axis applying a modified version of the canonical perturbation theory used by Courant, Ruth and Weng. The analysis is restricted to the non-resonant case but the resonant case could be incorporated in a natural way leading to a method of estimating the stopband width [26, 27] of spin-orbit resonances.

Finally we remark that, starting from the variables $x, p_x, z, p_z, \sigma, p_\sigma, \alpha, \beta$ and using analytical techniques as described in Refs. [17, 19, 35] one can also develop an 8-dimensional dispersion formalism.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Prof. Dr. G.-A. Voss and Prof. Dr. B. Wiik for continued encouragement. We thank Dipl. Phys. F. Zimmermann for stimulating and interesting discussions.

Appendix A: An Approximative Calculation of the Eigenvectors for Spin - Orbit Motion

The eigenvectors for linear spin-orbit motion in storage rings may be approximated by neglecting the Stern-Gerlach forces. In this case the 8-dimensional transfer matrix $\underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0)$ of linearised spin-orbit motion takes the form (see eqn. (4.49)):

$$\underline{\hat{M}}(s, s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{M}(s, s_0) & \underline{0} \\ \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}(s, s_0) & \underline{D}(s, s_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with \underline{M} , \underline{G} , \underline{D} given by eqns. (4.46 - 48). Note that \underline{M} is a symplectic matrix describing orbital motion.

The eigenvectors of the whole 8-dimensional revolution matrix $\hat{M}(s_0 + L, s_0)$ for spin and orbit which are defined by :

$$\hat{M}(s_0 + L, s_0) \cdot \vec{v}_\mu = \lambda_\mu \cdot \vec{v}_\mu \quad (\text{A.2})$$

can now be written in the form:

$$\vec{v}_k(s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_k(s_0) \\ \vec{w}_k(s_0) \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \vec{v}_{-k}(s_0) = [\vec{v}_k(s_0)]^* \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

for $k = I, II, III$

and

$$\vec{v}_{IV}(s_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_6(s_0) \\ \vec{w}_{IV}(s_0) \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \vec{q}_{-IV}(s_0) = [\vec{q}_{IV}(s_0)]^* \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

for $k = IV$.

By combining eqns. (A.2), (A.3), (4.46), (4.50) and (4.51) we obtain the two-dimensional vectors $\vec{w}_k(s_0)$ ($k = I, II, III$) and $\vec{w}_{IV}(s_0)$ from the relation:

$$\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}(s_0 + L, s_0) \vec{v}_k(s_0) + \underline{D}(s_0 + L, s_0) \vec{w}_k(s_0) = \lambda_k \cdot \vec{w}_k(s_0)$$

which leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w}_k(s_0) &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s_0 + L, s_0) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{G}(s_0 + L, s_0) \cdot \vec{v}_k(s_0) \\ &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s_0 + L, s_0) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \int_{s_0}^{s_0+L} d\bar{s} \cdot \underline{D}(s_0 + L, \bar{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\bar{s}) \cdot \vec{v}_k(\bar{s}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4a})$$

for $k = I, II, III$

and

$$\vec{w}_{IV}(s_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \cdot e^{-i\psi_{spin}(s_0)} \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

for $k = IV$

with

$$\vec{w}_{-k}(s_0) = [\vec{w}_k(s_0)]^* ; \quad (k = I, II, III, IV) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where the vectors $\vec{v}_k(s_0)$ are determined by the relation:

$$\underline{M}(s_0 + L, s_0) \cdot \vec{v}_k(s_0) = \lambda_k \cdot \vec{v}_k(s_0) . \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Thus $\vec{v}_k(s_0)$ ($k = I, II, III$) are eigenvectors of the (symplectic) orbital revolution matrix $\underline{M}(s_0 + L, s_0)$ which may be normalised by:

$$\vec{v}_k^+(s_0) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_k(s_0) = -\vec{v}_{-k}^+(s_0) \cdot \underline{S} \cdot \vec{v}_{-k}(s_0) = i . \quad (\text{A.7})$$

As a result, the orthogonality relations (6.12) are then approximately fulfilled (due to the small value of $\hat{\xi}$).

The corresponding eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_k = e^{-i \cdot 2\pi Q_k} ; \quad (k = I, II, III) \quad (\text{A.8a})$$

and

$$\lambda_{IV} = \epsilon^{-i} \cdot 2\pi Q_{IV} \quad \text{with} \quad Q_{IV} = Q_{spin} . \quad (\text{A.8b})$$

For the eigenvectors $\vec{v}_\mu(s)$ of the transfer matrix $\hat{M}(s+L, s)$ (initial position s):

$$\hat{M}(s+L, s) \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s) = \lambda_\mu(s) \cdot \vec{v}_\mu(s) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

we also have:

$$\vec{v}_\mu(s) = \hat{M}(s, s_0) \vec{v}_\mu(s_0) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \vec{v}_k(s) \\ \vec{w}_k(s) \end{pmatrix} . \quad (\text{A.10})$$

In particular we get

$$\vec{v}_{IV}(s) = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_6 \\ \vec{w}_{IV}(s) \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \vec{q}_{-IV}(s) = [\vec{q}_{IV}(s)]^* \quad (\text{A.11a})$$

with

$$\vec{w}_{IV}(s) = \underline{D}(s, s_0) \vec{w}_{IV}(s_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \epsilon^{-i\psi_{spin}(s)} ; \quad \vec{w}_{-IV}(s) = [\vec{w}_{IV}(s)]^* . \quad (\text{A.11b})$$

The eigenvalues are independent of s :

$$\lambda_\mu(s) = \lambda_\mu(s_0) . \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Remarks:

1) Note, that the components \vec{w}_k in eqn. (A.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w}_k(s) &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{G}(s+L, s) \vec{v}_k(s) \\ &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \int_s^{s+L} d\tilde{s} \cdot \underline{D}(s+L, \tilde{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\tilde{s}) \cdot \vec{v}_k(\tilde{s}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

for $(k = I, II, III)$ are solutions of eqn. (4.42b) (using the definition (4.43)) with $\vec{y}(s) = \vec{v}_k(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{ds} \vec{w}_k(s) &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \int_s^{s+L} d\tilde{s} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \underline{D}(s+L, \tilde{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\tilde{s}) \cdot \vec{v}_k(\tilde{s}) \\ &\quad -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{D}(s+L, s+L) \cdot \underline{G}_0(s+L) \cdot \vec{v}_k(s+L) \\ &\quad +\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{D}(s+L, s) \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \cdot \vec{v}_k(s) \\ &= -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \int_s^{s+L} d\tilde{s} \cdot \underline{D}_0(s) \cdot \underline{D}(s+L, \tilde{s}) \cdot \underline{G}_0(\tilde{s}) \cdot \vec{v}_k(\tilde{s}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{1} \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \cdot \lambda_k \bar{v}_k(s) \\
& +\sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot \underline{D}(s+L, s) \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \cdot \bar{v}_k(s) \\
= & \underline{D}_0(s) \cdot \bar{w}_k(s) + \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}]^{-1} \cdot [\underline{D}(s+L, s) - \lambda_k \cdot \underline{1}] \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \cdot \bar{v}_k(s) \\
= & \underline{D}_0(s) \cdot \bar{w}_k(s) + \sqrt{\hat{\xi}} \cdot \underline{G}_0(s) \cdot \bar{v}_k(s).
\end{aligned}$$

This result agrees with the definition of $\bar{w}_k(s)$ in eqn. (A.10). That is, the spin-orbit eigenvector $\bar{q}_\mu(s)$ defined by (A.10) is a solution of eqn. (4.42), which represents the combined spin-orbit motion.

2) Introducing the spin vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_7 \\ y_8 \end{pmatrix} - \bar{n}(s) = \sqrt{J_{IV}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} u_k \\ u_k \end{pmatrix}_{k=IV} \cdot e^{-i \cdot \Phi_{IV}} + \text{compl.conj.} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

(see eqn. (6.44)) which describes the spin motion around the \bar{n} -axis we obtain from (6.10a) and (A.11a, b):

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{J_{IV}} \cdot e^{-i \cdot [\Phi_{IV} + \psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)]} + \text{compl.conj.} \\
&= \sqrt{2J_{IV}} \cdot \cos[\Phi_{IV} + \psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)]; \\
\tilde{\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{J_{IV}} \cdot \frac{1}{i} e^{-i \cdot [\Phi_{IV} + \psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)]} + \text{compl.conj.} \\
&= -\sqrt{2J_{IV}} \cdot \sin[\Phi_{IV} + \psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)]
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore:

$$J_{IV} = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\alpha}^2 + \tilde{\beta}^2]. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Thus spins at the same point in the orbital phase space $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{p}_x, \tilde{z}, \tilde{p}_z, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{p}_\sigma)$ and s , can be considered to precess around a common axis \bar{n} with a tilt angle w.r.t. \bar{n} proportional to J_{IV} . The quantity J_{IV} describes the spin component perpendicular to the \bar{n} -axis²¹.

Using the variables q_k and p_k defined by eqn. (6.47a, b), we can also write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\alpha} &= +q_{IV} \cdot \cos[\psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)] + p_{IV} \cdot \sin[\psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)]; \\
\tilde{\beta} &= -q_{IV} \cdot \sin[\psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)] + p_{IV} \cdot \cos[\psi_{spin} - 2\pi Q_{spin} \cdot (s/L)].
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the quantities q_{IV} and p_{IV} oscillate around $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ (see eqn. (4.7)).

²¹Outside the spin orbit resonances we may assume that the \bar{n} -axis is approximately parallel to \bar{n}_0 .

Appendix B: The Hamiltonian in Terms of J_k and Φ_k

In order to prepare a perturbation theory, the perturbative component $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ of the Hamiltonian defined in (5.2b), which may be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^{(1)} &\equiv \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n \\ &= \sum_{\nu=3}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2+\dots+\mu_8=\nu} c_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_8}(s) \\ &\quad \times (y_1)^{\mu_1} (y_2)^{\mu_2} (y_3)^{\mu_3} (y_4)^{\mu_4} (y_5)^{\mu_5} (y_6)^{\mu_6} (y_7)^{\mu_7} (y_8)^{\mu_8}\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.1})$$

by using the notation of eqn. (5.4) should be expressed in terms of the new canonical variables J_k and Φ_k ²².

This can be achieved by using eqn. (6.32):

$$\vec{y}(s) = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left[\vec{u}_k(s) \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + \vec{u}_{-k}(s) \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right] \equiv \vec{y}(\Phi_k, J_k; s) \quad (\text{B.2a})$$

with

$$\vec{u}_k(s+L) = \vec{u}_k(s). \quad (\text{B.2b})$$

From (B.2) one has for the ν^{th} component of \vec{y} :

$$y_\nu = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left[u_{k\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + u_{k\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right]. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Thus we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(y_\nu)^n &= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{k=I,II} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left[u_{k\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + u_{k\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right] \right\}^l \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{k=III,IV} \sqrt{J_k} \cdot \left[u_{k\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_k} + u_{k\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_k} \right] \right\}^{n-l} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \sum_{p=0}^l \binom{p}{l} (J_I)^{p/2} \cdot \left[u_{I\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_I} + u_{I\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_I} \right]^p \\ &\quad \times (J_{II})^{(l-p)/2} \cdot \left[u_{II\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{II}} + u_{II\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_{II}} \right]^{l-p} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{q=0}^{n-l} \binom{n-l}{q} (J_{III})^{q/2} \cdot \left[u_{III\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{III}} + u_{III\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_{III}} \right]^q \\ &\quad \times (J_{IV})^{(n-l-q)/2} \cdot \left[u_{IV\nu} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{IV}} + u_{IV\nu}^* \cdot e^{+i\Phi_{IV}} \right]^{n-l-q}\end{aligned}$$

²²In this appendix as in this chapter 6 we write $J_k \equiv J_k^{(0)}$, $\Phi_k \equiv \Phi_k^{(0)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \sum_{p=0}^l \binom{p}{l} \sum_{q=0}^{n-l} \binom{n-l}{q} \cdot (J_I)^{p/2} \cdot (J_{II})^{(l-p)/2} \cdot (J_{III})^{q/2} \cdot (J_{IV})^{(n-l-q)/2} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\lambda=0}^p \binom{p}{\lambda} \cdot (u_{I\nu})^\lambda (u_{I\nu}^*)^{p-\lambda} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_I(2\lambda-p)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\lambda=0}^{l-p} \binom{l-p}{\lambda} \cdot (u_{II\nu})^\lambda (u_{II\nu}^*)^{l-p-\lambda} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{II}(2\lambda-l+p)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\lambda=0}^q \binom{q}{\lambda} \cdot (u_{III\nu})^\lambda (u_{III\nu}^*)^{q-\lambda} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{III}(2\lambda-q)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\lambda=0}^{n-l-q} \binom{n-l-q}{\lambda} \cdot (u_{IV\nu})^\lambda (u_{IV\nu}^*)^{n-l-q-\lambda} \cdot e^{-i\Phi_{IV}(2\lambda-n+l+q)}. \quad (\text{B.4})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the terms of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ can be factorized into a periodic and a harmonic function (see eqn. (B.2b)) and the Hamiltonian takes the form :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}^{(1)} &= \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \sum_{n_3} \sum_{n_4} \sum_{\lambda_1} \sum_{\lambda_2} \sum_{\lambda_3} \sum_{\lambda_4} H_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}(s) \\
&\quad \times (J_I)^{n_1/2} \cdot (J_{II})^{n_2/2} \cdot (J_{III})^{n_3/2} \cdot (J_{IV})^{n_4/2} \\
&\quad \times e^{-i \cdot \{\lambda_1 \cdot \Phi_I + \lambda_2 \cdot \Phi_{II} + \lambda_3 \cdot \Phi_{III} + \lambda_4 \cdot \Phi_{IV}\}} \quad (\text{B.5})
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$H_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}(s+L) = H_{n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4}(s) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &\in \{-n_1, -n_1 + 2, \dots, +n_1\}; \\
\lambda_2 &\in \{-n_2, -n_2 + 2, \dots, +n_2\}; \\
\lambda_3 &\in \{-n_3, -n_3 + 2, \dots, +n_3\}; \\
\lambda_4 &\in \{-n_4, -n_4 + 2, \dots, +n_4\}. \quad (\text{B.7})
\end{aligned}$$

With eqns. (B.5) and (B.6) we have established the connection with the canonical perturbation theory described in Ref. [27].

Note that the complex periodic functions $u_{k\nu}$ ($k = I, II, III, IV$) appearing in (B.4) are determined by eqns. (6.6), (6.12), (6.7) and (6.10a). They can be conveniently directly calculated using computer programs (for example SLIM)²³. A description of a method to determine the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix may be found in Refs. [21, 31].

Remark:

In terms of the variables Φ_k , J_k which are defined by eqn. (6.32) the Hamiltonian takes the form (see eqn. (6.39)):

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \quad (\text{B.8})$$

²³As shown in Appendix A, the eigenvectors can be approximated by neglecting the SG effects.

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \sum_{k=I,II,III,IV} J_k \cdot \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k \quad (\text{B.9a})$$

and

$$\tilde{V} \equiv \mathcal{H}^{(1)}. \quad (\text{B.9b})$$

Here the term $\tilde{V}(\Phi, J; s)$ describes the perturbation and is periodic in s and Φ_k :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I + 2\pi, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II} + 2\pi, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III} + 2\pi, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV} + 2\pi, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s) \\ &= \tilde{V}(\Phi_I, \Phi_{II}, \Phi_{III}, \Phi_{IV}, J_I, J_{II}, J_{III}, J_{IV}; s + L). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

The corresponding canonical equations read as:

$$\frac{d\Phi_k}{ds} = +\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial J_k} = \frac{2\pi}{L} Q_k + \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial J_k}; \quad (\text{B.11a})$$

$$\frac{dJ_k}{ds} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial \Phi_k} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \Phi_k}. \quad (\text{B.11b})$$

In this form the Hamiltonian can be used for a version of perturbation theory given by Courant, Ruth and Weng [29, 32].

References

- [1] D.P. Barber, K. Heinemann, G. Ripken: "A Canonical Eight-Dimensional Formalism for Linear and Nonlinear Classical Spin-Orbit Motion in Storage Rings"; DESY Report 91-47, (1991).
- [2] A.W. Chao: Nucl. Inst. Meth., vol.180, p.29, (1981);
also in "Physics of High Energy Particle Accelerators", American Institute of Physics Proceedings 87, p.395, (1982).
- [3] K. Yokoya: "The Action Angle Variables of Classical Spin Motion in Circular Accelerators"; DESY Report 86-57, (1986).
- [4] Y.S. Derbenev: "How the Stern-Gerlach effect could work for polarization in storage rings? "; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Preprint, UM HE 90-23, (1990).

Y.S. Derbenev: "The Stern-Gerlach method in charged particle storage rings. -1. The double-resonance Stern-Gerlach effect"; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Preprint, UM HE 90-30, (1990).

- Y.S. Derbenev: "The Stern-Gerlach method in charged particle storage rings. –2. The neo-classical Stern-Gerlach effect"; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Preprint, UM HE 90-32, (1990).
- [5] Y.S. Derbenev and A.M. Kondratenko: Sov. Phys. JETP, vol. 37, N^o6, p.968, (1973).
- [6] D.P. Barber, K. Heinemann, G. Ripken: "Notes on Spin Dynamics in Storage Rings"; DESY M-92-04, (1992).
- [7] K. Yokoya: "Calculation of the Equilibrium Polarization of Stored Electron Beams using Lie Algebra"; Nucl.Inst.Meth., vol. A258, p.149, (1987).
- [8] K. Yokoya: "Nonperturbative calculation of equilibrium polarization of stored electron beams"; KEK Preprint KEK 92-06, (1992).
- [9] Yu. Eidelman and V. Yakimenko: "The spin motion calculation using Lie method in collider nonlinear magnetic field"; Proceedings of the 1991 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference, San Francisco, USA, 1991, p.269, (1991).
- [10] V. Balandin and N. Golubeva: "Nonlinear spin dynamics"; Proceedings of the XV International Conference on High Energy Particle Accelerators", Int. J. Mod. Phys. A (Proc. Suppl.), vol. 2B, p.998, (1992).
- [11] S.R. Mane: Phys. Rev., vol. A36, pp.105-130, (1987).
- [12] D. Bohm: "Quantum Theory"; Prentice-Hall, New York, (1951).
- [13] L. Thomas: Phil. Mag., vol. 3, p.1, (1927).
- [14] V. Bargmann, L. Michel and V.L. Telegdi: Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 2, p.435, (1959).
- [15] A.O. Barut: "Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields and Particles"; The Macmillan Company, New York (1964).
- [16] G. Ripken: "Nonlinear canonical equations of coupled synchro-betatron motion and their solution within the framework of a nonlinear 6-dimensional (symplectic) tracking program for ultra-relativistic protons"; DESY 85-84, (1985).
- [17] D.P. Barber, G. Ripken and F. Schmidt: "A nonlinear canonical formalism for the coupled synchro-betatron motion of protons with arbitrary energy"; DESY 87-36, (1987).
- [18] E. Courant and H. Snyder: "Theory of the Alternating-Gradient Synchrotron"; Annals of Physics 3, 1-48, (1958).
- [19] G. Ripken and E. Karantzoulis: "A Fokker-Planck Treatment of Coupled Synchro-Betatron Motion in Proton Storage Rings under the Influence of Cavity Noise"; DESY 86-29, (1986).
- [20] H. Mais and G. Ripken: "Theory of Spin-Orbit Motion in Electron-Positron Storage Rings. Summary of Results"; DESY 83-62, (1983).

- [21] H. Mais and G. Ripken: "Theory of Coupled Synchro-Betatron Oscillations (I)"; DESY M-82-05, (1982).
- [22] F. Willeke, G. Ripken: "Methods of Beam Optics"; DESY 88-114 and Cornell Summer School 1987 (American Institute of Physics Conference Proceedings 184).
- [23] D.P. Barber, K. Heinemann, H. Mais, G. Ripken: "A Fokker-Planck-Treatment of Stochastic Particle Motion within the Framework of a fully coupled 6-dimensional Formalism for Electron-Positron Storage Rings including Classical Spin Motion in linear Approximation"; DESY 91-146, (1991).
- [24] G. Ripken, F. Willeke: "On the Impact of Linear Coupling on Nonlinear Dynamics"; DESY 90-001, (1990).
Published in Part.Acc., vol. 27, p. 203, (1990).
- [25] A. Schoch: "Theory of Linear and Nonlinear Perturbations of Betatron Oscillations in Alternating-Gradient Synchrotrons"; CERN 57-21, (1958).
- [26] G. Guignard: "A General Treatment of Resonances in Accelerators"; CERN 78-11, (1978).
- [27] F. Willeke: "Analytical Study of the Tevatron Nonlinear Dynamics"; Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, FN-422, (July 1985).
- [28] Herbert Goldstein: "Classical Mechanics" ; Addison-Wesley, (1980).
- [29] E.D. Courant, R.D. Ruth, W.T. Weng: "A Stability in Dynamical Systems I"; SLAC-PUB-3415 (August 1984) and BNL/SUNY Summer School 1983 (American Institute of Physics Conference Proceedings 127).
- [30] K. Symon, "Applied Hamiltonian dynamics", in Month, M. (ed.), Dienes, M. (ed.): The physics of particle accelerators, vol. 1, p.277, (1992).
- [31] G. Ripken: "Untersuchungen zur Strahlführung und Stabilität der Teilchenbewegung in Beschleunigern und Storage-Ringen unter strenger Berücksichtigung einer Kopplung der Betatronschwingungen"; DESY R1-70/04, (1970).
- [32] D.P. Barber, H. Mais, G. Ripken, F. Willeke: "Nonlinear Theory of Coupled Synchro-Betatron Motion"; DESY 86-147, (1986).
- [33] M. Berz, E. Forest, J. Irwin: " Normal Form Methods for Complicated Periodic Systems: A Complete Solution Using Differential Algebra and Lie Operators."; Part.Acc., vol. 24, p. 91, (1989).
- [34] S.R. Mane: "A Derivation of the Derbenev-Konratenko Formula using Semiclassical Electrodynamics"; DESY 85-125, (1985).
- [35] H. Mais and G. Ripken: "Spin-Orbit Motion in a Storage Ring in the Presence of Synchrotron Radiation using a Dispersion Formalism"; DESY 86-29, (1986).