DEUTSCHES ELEKTRONEN-SYNCHROTRON DESY

DESY SR-83-03 February 1983

Eigentum der DESY Bibliothek Property of DESY library Zugang: 17. Jan. 2002 Accessions: 17. Jan. 2002 Keine Ausleihe

Not for loan

CALCULATED PHOTOEMISSION SPECTRA OF THE 54 STATES IN THE ACTINIDES

by

F. Gerken and J. Schmidt-May

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg

ISSN 0723-7979

NOTKESTRASSE 85 · 2 HAMBURG 52

DESY behält sich alle Rechte für den Fall der Schutzrechtserteilung und für die wirtschaftliche Verwertung der in diesem Bericht enthaltenen Informationen vor.

DESY reserves all rights for commercial use of information included in this report, especially in case of filing application for or grant of patents.

To be sure that your preprints are promptly included in the HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS INDEX , send them to the following address (if possible by air mail) :

DESY Bibliothek Notkestrasse 85 2 Hamburg 52 Germany DESY SR-83-03 February 1983

ISSN 0723-7979

Calculated Photoemission Spectra of the 5f States in the Actinides

F. Gerken and J. Schmidt- May

II. Institut für Experimentalphysik, Universität Hamburg D - 2000 Hamburg 50, F.R.G.

Abstract

The complex multiplet structure of the partially filled 5f shell in the Actinides is calculated in intermediate coupling. The obtained eigenfunctions are used for a calculation of photoemission intensities in the fractional parentage scheme including all final states. The obtained calculated spectra are significantly different from the equivalent results for the 4f states in the Rare Earth metals presented recently.

submitted to: J. Phys. F : Met. Phys.

The investigation of several actinide-metals and -compounds in photoemission spectroscopy increased during the last years (Veal et al. 1977, Naegele et al. 1980, Naegele et al. 1982, Naegele et al. 1983). Although the 5felectrons in the actinide-metals are not as localized as the 4f-electrons in the rare earth-metals a multiplet structure is expected in 5f-photoemission spectra of some actinide-compounds and -oxyds and for actinide metals with more than 5 electrons in the 5f-shell (Smith et al. 1983). For the rare earth-metals a calculation in the fractional parentage scheme of the expected 'photoemission intensities of the 4f--multiplet structure has been presented previously (Gerken 1983) and showed an excellent agreement with X-ray photoemission experiments (Lang et al. 1981). This calculation proved to be also extremely useful for the interpretation of synchrotron radiation spectra of the rare earths taken at HASYLAB/DESY (Gerken 1982).

- 1 -

The first metal in the series of the actinides for which a 5f-multiplet structure is expected in photoemission spectra is Am with six 5f-electrons in the ground state. The measured spectra (Naegele et al. 1982, 1983) show completely different structures compared to the corresponding $4f^6 \rightarrow 4f^5$ emission of the Sm surface (Gerken 1982, Gerken et al. 1982). This result had to be expected since the ratio of the Coulomb-interaction and spin-orbital interaction is different in the rare earths and in the actinides.

Therefore we present in this paper a complete calculation in intermediate coupling for the energy positions of the $5f^N$ multiplet lines and expected photoemission intensities for all possible $5f^N \rightarrow 5f^{N-1}$ transitions (N = 2 - 13) analogous to the calculation for the rare earth metals (Gerken 1983). The result is tabulated and the multiplet lines convoluted with the lineshapes of Doniach and Sunjic (1970) are presented as calculated spectra.Lifetime width and asymmetry were chosen identical to those taken for the calculated rare earth metal spectra to provide a simple estimate of the differences between both calculated results.

A general outline of the theory is given by Gerken (1983). Therefore only the results will be presented here.

The parameter used for the energy level calculation are comprised in table 1. They were taken from different publications (see table 1, last column) in which absorption spectra of actinide ions were fitted with calculated lines in intermediate coupling. In some of their calculations the authors used several parameters to account for additional magnetic interactions which can be treated in a third order perturbation theory and for crystal field splitting in actinide-halide samples which have been investigated. A comparison of two sets of parameter for Pu^{3+} with (Crosswhite et al. 1980) and without additional parameters (Carnall et al. 1970) showed only a difference of about 5 % in the Coulomb interaction parameters E^1 , E^2 and E^3 while the spin-orbit interaction parameter ξ_{5f} remained nearly unchanged. Therefore for simplicity in our calculation this additional parameters were omitted.

- 2 -

For the configuration 5f², 5f¹² and 5f¹³ we could not find published parameters and therefore these values were extrapolated from fig. 1 and fig. 2 in which the parameters for E^1 and ξ_{nf} are shown for all 4f- and 5f-configurations, E^2 and E^3 are proportional to E^1 . The configuration interaction parameters α , β and γ show only small variations for the different configurations and consequently we used values similar to the neighbouring elements for the extrapolated parameters. From this figures it can easily be seen that the parameters for the 4f- and 5f-configurations show very similar variations. As already pointed out by Carnall et al. (1968) the electrostatic interaction parameters can be fitted by a linear function while the best fit for the spin-orbital interaction parameters are two linear functions with different gradients for the less than half filled and more than half filled shells. Fig. 1 and fig. 2 also demonstrate the drastic change in the importance of the Coulomb- and spin-orbital-interaction between 4f- and 5f-configurations. This results in an even stronger breakdown of the LS-coupling approximation in the actinides than in the rare earths.

The total energy matrices were diagonalized for all states from the configurations $5f^2$ to $5f^{13}$. The resultant eigenvalues represent the energy levels of the different states of a configuration and the pertinant eigenfunctions give the composition of this intermediate coupling states in LSbasis. The lowest energy level of each $5f^N$ -configuration represents its ground state and was therefore used as initial state in the intensity calculation which was performed for all $5f^N + 5f^{N-1}$ (N = 2 - 13) transitions. The results are given in table 2. The different final states are characterized by the main LS-contribution of the eigenfunction while the initial states are given completely. Even the ground state of the different $5f^N$ configurations show a strong mixing of several LS-levels and demonstrate the degree of the breakdown of the LS-coupling approximation. This fact is confirmed by table 3 which gives the eigenfunctions of the f^2 groundstate (J = 4) for the LS-coupling-limit, the jj-coupling limit and in intermediate coupling for $4f^2$ in Pr^{3+} and $5f^2$ in Pa^{3+} as well as the corresponding photoemission intensities for the $f^2 \rightarrow f^1$ transition. It is obvious that the $5f^2$ -configuration in Pa^{3+} is much closer to the jjlimit than the $4f^2$ -configuration in Pr^{3+} . This results are in good agreement with similar calculations with jj-coupling basis states by Beatham et al. (1979).

- 3 -

In order to illustrate our calculated results from table 2, the multiplet lines are convoluted with the lineshapes of Doniach and Sunjic (1970) and with Gaussian profiles to account for a hypothetical experimental resolution, and then plotted on a binding energy scale relative to the lowest multiplet line (figure 3). The parameters are listed in table 4. The spectra are scaled in energy by a factor of 1.1 compared with the energy positions listed in table 2 in order to account for the different nuclear charge in photoemission final states and the absorption experiments.

Compared to the calculated spectra for the rare earth metals (Gerken 1983) strong differences are obvious. The multiplet splitting is somewhat reduced in the $5f^{N}$ -configurations compared to the $4f^{N}$ -configurations and the photo-emission intensities in the $5f^{N}$ configurations are shifted to the lines with relative low binding energies.

Our results disagree completely with a similar work by Veal et al. (1977). The authors calculated the photoemission spectra for the transition $5f^{N} \Rightarrow 5f^{N-1}$ for N = 3 - 8 using a formulae which must be strongly questioned because it sums up intensities and ignores possible interference effects by adding up amplitudes of the different intermediate coupling states (compare Gerken 1983 equ. (11) and Veal et al. 1977 equ. (A4), see also Cox et al. 1981 equ. (10)).

There is, however, no agreement between our calculated spectrum and the measured $5f^6 \rightarrow 5f^5$ multiplet structure in Am metal (Naegele et al. 1982, 1983) which is the first metal for which localized 5f electrons are expected. Unfortunately high resolution spectra are only measured for relative low photon energies (20 to 50 eV) where the surface sensitivity is extremely

- 5 -

high and surface shifts as well as valence changes at the surface as observed in the rare earth metals (Kammerer et al. 1982, Gerken 1982, Gerken et al. 1982, 1983) may complicate the spectra.

For a test of the calculated spectra additional experiments on other $5f^N$ -systems with less surface sensitivity are indispensable.

Acknowledgement

We are particularly grateful to Prof. B. Johansson and J. Naegele for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, BMFT.

References

Beatham N. Cox P.A., Orchard A.F. and Grant I.P. 1979, Chem, Phys. Letters 63, 69 Carnall W.T., Fields P.R. and Rajnak K, 1968, J. Chem. Phys. 49, 4424 Carnall W.T., Fields P.R. and Pappalardo R.G. 1970, J. Chem. Phys. 53, 2922 Carnall W.T., Fried S. and Wagner F.Jr. 1973a, J. Chem. Phys. 58, 3614 Carnall W.T., Fried S. and Wagner F.Jr. 1973b, J. Chem. Phys. 58, 1938 Carnall W.T., Cohen D., Fields P.R., Sjoblom R.K. and Barnes R.F. 1973c, J. Chem, Phys. 59, 1785 Carnall W.T., Crosswhite H.M., Pappalardo R.G., Cohen D., Fried S., Lucas P. and Wagner F.Jr. 1974, J. Chem. Phys. 61, 4993 Carnall W.T. and Rajnak K. 1975, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 3510 Cox P.A., Lang J.K. and Baer Y. 1981, J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 11, 113 Crosswhite H.M., Crosswhite H., Carnall W.T. and Paszek A.P. 1980, J. Chem. Phys. 72, 5103 Doniach S. and Sunjic M. 1970, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 3, 285 Gerken F. 1982, Thesis University of Hamburg Gerken F. 1983, J. Phys. F: Metal Phys. in press Gerken F., Barth J., Kammerer R., Johansson L.I. and Flodström A. 1982 Surface Science 117, 468 Gerken F., Barth J., Flodström A, and Johansson L.I. 1983, Phys. Rev. B, submitted Kammerer R., Barth J., Gerken F., Johansson L.I. and Flodström A. 1982 Solid State Commun. 41, 427 Lang J.K., Baer Y. and Cox P.A. 1981, J.Phys. F: Met. Phys. 11, 121 Nacgele J.R., Manes L., Spirlett J.C. and Fournier J.M. 1980, Applications of Surf. Science 4, 510 Naegele J.R., Richter K., Spirlet J.C., Manes L. and Müller W. 1982. Contribution to 12. Journées des Actinides, Orsay, France Naegele et al. 1983, Phys. Rev. Lett., submitted Pappalardo R.G., Carnall W.T. and Fields P.R. 1969, J. Chem. Phys. 51, 1182 Smith J.L. and Kmetko E.A. 1983, J. of Less Common Met., submitted Veal B.W., Lam D.J., Diamond H. and Hoekstra H.R. 1977, Phys. Rev. B. 15, 2929

Figure Captions

- Table 1: Radial energy parameters which were used to diagonalize the total energy matrices. Energies are given in cm⁻¹. The values are taken from the references cited in the last column. The extrapolated values are taken from Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.
- Table 2: Energy positions and intensities of the mulitplet lines for the transitions $5f^N \rightarrow 5f^{N-1}$ with N = 3 ~ 13. Intensities lower than 0.01 are omitted. For the initial states the complete eigenfunctions and for the final state only the main contribution (absolute value) in the representation $(w_1 \ w_2 \ w_3)$, $(u_1 \ u_2)$, seniority, 2S + 1, L are given.
- Table 3: Calculated photoemission intensities for the transition $f^2 + f^1$ in the LS-coupling limit, the jj-coupling limit and in intermediate coupling $(4f^2 + 4f^1 \text{ in } \text{Pr}^{3+} \text{ and } 5f^2 + 5f^1 \text{ in } \text{Pa}^{3+})$. The initial state eigenfunctions are given in LS-basis states.
- Table 4: Parameters of the curves of Doniach and Sunjic (1970) from figure 3.
- Fig. 1: Energy values of the radial parameter E^{1} for the different $4f^{N}$ (see Cerken 1983) and $5f^{N}$ -configurations (see table 1).
- Fig. 2: Energy values of the spin-orbital interaction parameter ξ for the different 4f^N (see Gerken 1983) and 5f^N-configurations (see table 1).
- Fig. 3: Calculated spectra of the different $5f^{N} + 5f^{N-1}$ transitions in the actinides on a binding energy scale relative to the lowest mulitplet line. The calculated multiplet lines from table 2 are convoluted with the lineshapes of Doniach and Sunjic (1970) using the parameters listed in table 4. A calculated background (Gerken 1982) is added and the resultant curve folded with a Gaussian profile of 0.2 eV FWHM to account for an hypothetical experimental resolution.

f ^N	El ement	El	Е ²	E3	^ξ 5f	α	3	γ	Parameter reference
ſ ²	ра ³⁺	2650	11.3	245.4	1350	28	-730	1100	extrapolated
f ³	τ ³⁺	2878.5	11.848	258.25	1623.0	27.6	-722	1000	Crosswhite et al.
£4	Np ³⁺	3168.9	13,679	297.75	1932.6	32.713	-756.12	1084.2	Carnall et al. 1974
$_{\rm f}{}^5$	Pu ³⁺	3634.5	15,356	342.15	2272.2	31.064	-675.0	29.743	Carnall et al. 1970
1^{6}	Am ³⁺	3582.8	17.276	334.30	2593.3	21.634	-158,48	1240.4	Pappalardo et al.
7	cm ³⁺	3955.4	17.789	369.79	2876.1	27.895	-925.21	1119.6	Carnall et al. 1975
f^8	Bk ³⁺	4127.2	19.537	387.83	3252.8	31.123	-1283.7	1247.5	Carnall et al. 1973a
f^9	Cf ³⁺	4173.2	19.84	391.40	3601.7	35,371	-748,02	1200.0	Carnall et al. 1973b
f ^{lo}	Es ³⁺	4445.9	21.446	418.64	4014.7	22,505	-722.53	1000.0	Carnall et al. 1973c
f	Fm ³⁺	4800	22.9	453	4450	23	-730	1100	extrapolated
f ¹²	Mal ³⁺	5000	23.8	472	4900	23	-730	1100	extrapolated

Table 2a

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f 3 to 5f 2

Initial state eisenfunction: J = 4.5

0.0277	(111)(10)	3	4	F
0.0487	(111)(20)	3	4	G
0.9155	(111)(20)	3	4	1
-0.0793	(210)(20)	3	2	G
0.0774	(210)(21)	3	2	G
0.1099	(210)(11)	3	2	Н
-0.3666	(210)(21)	3	2	Н

Final state intensities:

				J	E (eV)	Intensity
0:93	(110)(10)	2	3 F	2.0	0.3687	0.6209
1.00	(110)(10)	2	3 F	3.0	0.7726	0+0314
0.95	(110)(11)	2	3 H	4.0	0.0000	2,2134
1.00	(110)(11)	2	3 H	5.0	0.5160	0.1274

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f 4 to 5f 3

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 4.0

-0.0409	(111)(20)	4	5	G
0.8985	(111)(20)	4	5	1
0.0318	(211)(30)	4	з	F
0.0862	(211)(21)	4	3	G
-0.0575	(211)(30)	4	3	G
0,1918	(110)(11)	2	3	Н
0.1901	(211)(21)	4	З	Н
-0.3051	(211)(30)	4	3	Н
0.0518	(200)(20)	2	1	G
0.0852	(220)(22)	4	1	G

Final state intensities;

				J	E (eV)	Intensity
0.80	(111)(0)	3	4 S	1.5	1.2725	0.0273
0.80	(111)(10)	З	4 F	1.5	0.7828	0.3532
0.80	(111)(20)	3	4 G	2.5	1.2711	0.3171
0,78	(111)(10)	3	4 F	2.5	1.1203	0,5706
0.85	(111)(20)	3	4 G	3.5	1.5178	0.1261
0.92	(111)(20)	3	4 I	4.5	0.0000	2,2604
0.98	(111)(20)	3	4 I	5.5	0+5141	0.2806
0.97	(111)(20)	3	4 I	6.5	0,9616	0.0107

Initial state eidenfunction: 3 = 2,5

0.1075 0.8124 -0.1285 -0.3145 0.1218 -0.3700 -0.0693 -0.0951	$\begin{array}{c} (110) (10) \\ (110) (11) \\ (211) (21) \\ (111) (20) \\ (211) (21) \\ (211) (21) \\ (211) (30) \\ (100) (10) \\ (210) (21) \\ (210) (21) \end{array}$	555355131	664444220	F H F G G G F F F
-0.0951	(210)(21)	3	2	F
-0.1034	(221)(31)	5	2	F
-0.1014	(221)(31)	5	2	F

Final state intensities:

				L	E (eV)	Intensity
0.83	(111)(20)	4	5 D	0.0	2.1881	0,1984
0.73	(111)(20)	4	5 D	1.0	2,4955	0,0992
0.58	(111)(20)	4	5 D	1.0	2,3911	0.0569
0.90	(111)(10)	4	5 F	1.0	1.1218	0.1550
0,85	(111)(20)	4	5 D	2.0	2,7661	0+0254
0.48	(111)(10)	4	5 F	2.0	2,1899	0.0195
0.70	(111)(-0)	4	5 S	2.0	1,4975	0.0104
0,75	(111)(20)	4	5 G	2.0	1,3929	0.1599
0.59	(111)(10)	4	5 F	2.0	0.9191	0.8194
0,63	(211)(21)	4	36	3.0	2,2228	0.0147
0.81	(111)(20)	4	5 G	3.0	1.4906	0.3981
0.85	(111)(10)	4	5 F	3.0	1.3471	0.0513
0.76	(111)(20)	4	56	4.0	2.1126	0.0296
0.80	(111)(10)	4	5 F	4.0	1.7977	0.0185
0.40	(211)(21)	4	3 G	4.0	1,2686	0.0170
0,90	(111)(20)	4	5 I	4.0	0.0000	2.2660
0+95	(111)(20)	4	5 I	5.0	0.4583	0.4283

Phutoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 56.6 to 56.5

Initial state	eisentunctio	on t		J =	0.0
0,6704	(100)(10)	6	7	F	
-0,4438	(111)(20)	4	5	0	
0,4290	(210)(21)	6	5	D	
-0.1882	(110)(11)	2	3	P .	
0.2022	(211)(30)	4	3	P	
0.0410	(221)(30)	6	З	F	
0,2613	(221)(31)	6	3	F'	
-0.0456	(0)(0)	0	1	9	
-0+1035	(220)(22)	4	1	S	
0+0950	(222)(40)	6	1	\$	

Final state intensities:

			.1	E (eV)	Intensity
0.61	(110)(11) 5	δĒ	2.5	2.9621	0.0435
0.54	(110)(11) 5	6 F	2.5	2.1183	0.2670
0.59	(110)(10) 5	6 F	2.5	1.7330	0.0287
0.70	(110)(10) 5	6 F	2.5	0.8278	1.3253
0.81	(110)(11) 5	6 11	2.5	0.0000	3.5180
0.82	(110)(10) 5	6 F	3.5	1,1710	0.0769
0.90	(110)(11) 5	6 H	3.5	0.4140	0+6691
					35033

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f 8 to 5f 7

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 6.0

			F
0+8848	(100)(10)	6	/ F
-0.3188	(111)(20)	4	5 G
0.0925	(210)(20)	6	5 G
0.2936	(210)(21)	6	5 G
0.0403	(210)(11)	6	5 H
0.0681	(210)(21)	6	5 H
0.0197	(210)(20)	6	5 I
-0.0507	(110)(11)	2	3 H
0.0629	(211)(21)	4	3 H
0.0531	(211)(30)	4	3 H
0.0229	(221)(30)	6	3 H
0.0256	(221)(31)	6	3 H
0.0429	(221)(31)	6	3 H
-0.0162	(211)(30)	4	3 I
-0.0168	(221)(31)	6	3 I

Final state intensities:

				J	E (eV)	Intensity
0.67	(110)(11)	5	6 P	2.5	2,5720	0.2519
0.47	(110)(10)	5	6 F	3.5	4.6819	0.0152
0.51	(110)(11)	5	6 P	3.5	3.6461	0.0454
0.76	(200)(20)	7	6 I	3.5	2+8307	0.0190
0.49	(200)(20)	7	6 D	3.5	2.3120	0.6202
0.89	(0)(0)	7	85	3.5	0.0000	1.2925
0.47	(220)(21)	7	4 H	4,5	5.1883	0.0193
0.44	(110)(10)	5	6 F	4.5	4.7527	0.0105
0.59	(200)(20)	7	6 G	4.5	4.0469	0.0510
0.62	(200)(20)	7	6 D	4.5	3.2245	0.4048
0.66	(200)(20)	7	6 I	4.5	2.9496	0.3316
0.48	(110)(10)	5	6 F	5.5	4.3769	0.0187
0.69	(200)(20)	7	6 G	5.5	3.9063	0.8449
0.84	(200)(20)	7	6 I	5.5	3.1550	0.1319
0.76	(200)(20)	7	6 G	6.5	4,3149	0.8632
0.81	(200)(20)	7	6 I	6.5	3,2069	0,2266
0.47	(220)(22)	7	4 I	7.5	6+8505	0.0173
0.43	(220)(20)	7	4 I	7.5	5.9407	0.0298
0.58	(220)(21)	7	4 L	7.5	5.2009	0.0547
0.72	(110)(11)	5	6 H	7.5	4.9379	0.5590
0.86	(200)(20)	7	6 I	7.5	3+2846	0.6197
0.46	(220)(22)	7	4 N	8.5	4.3157	0.0440
0.85	(200)(20)	7	6 I	8.5	3,1398	1.3645

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f 7 to 5f 6

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 3.5

0.8931	(0)(0)	7	8	S
0.415B	(110)(11)	5	6	P
0.0894	(200)(20)	7	6	D
0.0214	(110)(10)	5	6	F
0+0966	(111)(20)	3	4	D
0.0264	(211)(21)	5	4	Ţι
-0.0181	(220)(20)	7	4	Ľ
0.0927	(220)(22)	7	4	D
-0.0307	(211)(30)	5	4	F
-0.0120	(100)(10)	1	2	F
-0.0190	(221)(31)	5	2	F
-0.0104	(221)(31)	5	2	F.

Final state intensities:

				Э	E (eV)	Intensity
0.67	(100)(10)	٨	7 F	0.0	1.4988	0.0216
0.67	(100)(10)	6	7 F	0.0	0.0000	0,1651
0.55	(210)(21)	6	5 D	1.0	2,1706	0.0159
0.81	(100)(10)	6	7 F	1.0	0.3632	0.5031
0.88	(100)(10)	6	7 F	2.0	0.6757	0.7736
0,91	(100)(10)	6	7 F	3.0	0.9478	1.0126
0.91	(100)(10)	6	7 F	4.0	1.2020	1.2433
0.47	(210)(21)	6	5 H	5.0	2,9083	0.0103
0.89	(100)(10)	6	7 F	5.0	1 + 41 45	1.4782
0.42	(210)(21)	6	5 L	6.0	3,0575	0.0232
0.62	(210)(21)	6	5 L	6.0	2.3826	0.0231
0,84	(100)(10)	6	7 F	6.0	1.5933	1+6750

35039

35035

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate couplins Transition from 5f 9 to 5f 8

Tab

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 7.5

_

(110)(11)	5	6 H
(111)(20)	3	4 I
(211)(30)	5	4 I
(211)(21)	5	4 K
(211)(30)	5	4 K
(211)(21)	5	4 L
(210)(21)	3	2 K
(221)(30)	5	2 K
(221)(31)	5	2 K
(221)(31)	5	2 K
	(110)(11) (111)(20) (211)(30) (211)(21) (211)(21) (211)(21) (210)(21) (221)(30) (221)(31)	(110)(11) 5 (111)(20) 3 (211)(30) 5 (211)(21) 5 (211)(21) 5 (211)(21) 5 (210)(21) 3 (221)(30) 5 (221)(31) 5 (221)(31) 5

Final state intensities;

				J	E (eV)	Intensity
0.49	(210)(21)	6	5 F	4.0	3,1554	0.0255
0.40	(210)(11)	6	5 H	4.0	2,4029	0.0241
0.70	(100)(10)	6	7 F	4.0	1,7797	0.0885
0.65	(100)(10)	6	7 F	4.0	0.3665	0.5053
0.49	(210)(20)	6	5 G	5.0	3,7510	0.0103
0.54	(210)(21)	6	5 K	5.0	3.6535	0.0120
0.46	(210)(21)	6	5 F	5.0	2.9173	0.1809
0.47	(210)(11)	6	5 H	5.0	2.6641	0,0568
0.91	(100)(10)	6	7 F	5.0	0.5585	0,5776
0.45	(210)(21)	6	5 H	6.0	4,4556	0.0144
0.57	(210)(21)	6	5 K	6.0	4.0123	0.0290
0.53	(210)(11)	6	5 H	6.0	3.6425	0,2189
0.44	(210)(21)	6	5 H	6.0	2,7669	0,3939
0.46	(210)(21)	6	5 L	6.0	1,9253	0,1767
0.88	(100)(10)	6	7 F	6.0	0,0000	1.8418
0.58	(111)(20)	4	5 I	7.0	6,3743	0,0107
0.57	(210)(21)	6	5 K	7+0	4.7943	0.0404
0.61	(210)(21)	6	5 H	7+0	4.4119	0.1484
0.63	(210)(20)	6	5 I	7.0	4.2383	0.0374
0.51	(210)(11)	6	5 H	7.0	3.5632	0.0255
0.62	(210)(21)	6	5 L	7.0	3,2256	0.1877
0.43	(210)(21)	6	5 L	7.0	2,3299	0.4900
0.53	(111)(20)	4	5 I	8.0	6.2557	0.0803
0.67	(111)(20)	4	5 I	8+0	6,0B01	0.1307
0+43	(221)(30)	6	3 M	8.0	5,7708	0.0211
0.40	(221)(21)	6	3 K	8 .0	5,2023	0.0146
0.76	(210)(21)	6	5 K	8.0	4.0938	0.1251
0.71	(210)(20)	6	5 I	8,0	3.6176	0.1401
0.63	(210)(21)	6	5 L	8.0	2.7791	0.5488
0.56	(221)(31)	6	3 N	9.0	4.3877	0.0854
0.67	(210)(21)	6	5 K	9.0	3,8222	0.2869
0.73	(210)(21)	6	5 L	9.0	2,7801	0+B227
0.53	(221)(31)	6	3 N	10.0	4.0754	0+0484
0.82	(210)(21)	6	5 L	10.0	2,5251	1+2703

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f10 to 5f 9

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 8.0

0.8650	(111)(20)	4	5 I
0.2244	(211)(21)	4	3 K
-0,4140	(211)(30)	4	3 K
-0.1109	(211)(21)	4	33 L
-0.0382	(211)(30)	4	3 M
0.0429	(220)(21)	4	1 L.
0.1204	(220)(22)	4	1 L

Final state intensities:

	L	E (eV)	Intensity
0.59 (110)(11) 5	6 H 4.5	2,6189	0+0284
0.53 (110)(10) 5	6 F 4 5	0,7053	0.5150
0.43 (211)(20) 5	4 G 5.5	3,9331	0.0174
0,46 (211)(30) 5	4 I 5,5	2,8936	0.0285
0.69 (110)(11) 5	6 H 5.5	2,4173	0,1105
0.69 (110)(10) 5	6 F 5,5	1,2764	0.6678
0.56 (110)(10) 5	6 F 5,5	0+6174	0,8356
0.48 (211)(30) 5	4 H 6.5	5.1595	0.0218
0.56 (211)(30) 5	4 H − 6+5	3+6742	0.0993
0,47 (211)(21) 5	4 K 6.5	2.9116	0.1424
0,91 (110)(11) 5	6 H 6+5	0.9316	0.6213
0,73 (111)(20) 3	4 I 7.5	7.3017	0.0392
0.66 (221)(30) 5	2 K 7,5	6+6540	0.0132
0,50 (221)(31) 5	2 L 7,5	5,7567	0.0283
0,43 (211)(30) 5	4 K 7 5	5.2159	0,0371
0.62 (211)(21) 5	4 L 7,5	4.6911	0.0209
0,62 (211)(30) 5	4 I 7.5	3.6588	0.0100
0,60 (211)(30) 5	4 M 7.5	3,4434	0.0630
0.44 (211)(21) 5	4K 75	2.3731	0,7151
0,87 (110)(11) 5	6 H 7,5	0+0000	2,2047
0.80 (211)(30) 5	4 K 8+5	5,5265	0.0473
0,70 (211)(21) 5	4 L 8₊5	4.0603	0.0182
0,75 (211)(30) 5	4 M 8.5	3.8640	0.0573
0,64 (211)(21) 5	4 K 8.5	2,5067	0,9515
0.82 (211)(30) 5	4 M 9.5	4,0280	0+0394
0.75 (211)(21) 5	4L 9.5	2.7342	1.1502
0.87 (211)(30) 5	4 M 10.5	2.7458	1.3075

35038

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f12 to 5f11

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 6.0

0.9840 (110)(11) 2 3 H 0.1781 (200)(20) 2 1 I

Final state intensities:

			J	E (eV)	Intensity
0,56 (111)(20)	3	4 D	2.5	3.7434	0.0965
0.60 (111)(10)	З	4 F	2.5	2,4698	0.3523
0.73 (100)(10)	1	2 F	3.5	10.3786	0+0204
0.64 (210)(21)	3	26	3.5	6.6302	0+0109
0.48 (210)(20)	3	2 G	3.5	6,4282	0.0870
0.88 (111)(20)	3	4 D	3.5	4,2374	0.5379
0.57 (111)(20)	3	4 G	3.5	3,0553	0.4332
0.91 (111)(10)	3	4 F	3.5	2.7676	0.1819
0.85 (210)(11)	3	2 H	4.5	5,3503	0.0208
0.82 (111)(20)	3	4 G	4.5	3,2950	0.6332
0.57 (111)(20)	3	4 I	4.5	2.5091	0.1144
0.63 (111)(10)	3	4 F	4.5	1,0110	0.5702
0.73 (210)(20)	3	2 I	5.5	4,5136	0.1040
0,60 (210)(21)	3	2 8	5.5	3,9829	0.1203
0,69 (111)(20)	3	4 G	5.5	2,7110	0.7873
0.71 (210)(21)	3	2 H	5.5	1.2857	1.3333
0.74 (210)(20)	3	2 I	6.5	5.7052	0.0240
0,73 (210)(21)	3	2 K	6.5	4,1946	0,2096
0,98 (111)(20)	3	4 I	6.5	1,6326	0.8898
0.87 (210)(21)	3	2 K	7.5	3.3648	1.2584
0.94 (111)(20)	3	4 I	7.5	0.0000	2,7611
1.00 (210)(21)	3	2 L	8.5	4.6886	1,4192

Photoemission-Intensity	in intermediate	coupling
Transition from	5f13 to 5f12	

Initial state eigenfunction: J = 3.5

1.0000 (100)(10) 1 2 F

Final state intensities:

				J	E (eV)	Intensity
0.89	(0)(0)	0	1 S	0.0	8.7145	0.0345
0.89	(110)(11)	2	3 P	0+0	3,5522	0,2155
1.00	(110)(11)	2	3 F	1.0	4.3351	0.3750
0.71	(200)(20)	2	10	2.0	5,5385	0.0743
0.75	(110)(11)	2	3 P	2.0	3.5826	0.6132
0,70	(200)(20)	2	1 D	2.0	1.5868	1.1875
1.00	(110)(10)	2	3 F	3.0	2.4778	0,8750
0.77	(110)(11)	2	3 H	4.0	4.3234	0.0307
0.60	(110)(11)	2	ЗН	4.0	2.4245	1.0982
0,79	(110)(10)	2	3 F	4.0	0.4205	2.2461
1,00	(110)(11)	2	зн	5.0	1.9572	1.3750
0,98	(200)(20)	2	ìΙ	6.0	4.2445	1.6946
0.98	(110)(11)	2	зн	6.0	0.0000	3,1804

Photoemission-Intensity in intermediate coupling Transition from 5f11 to 5f10

Initial state eisenfunction: J = 7.5

0.9448	(111)(20)	3	4 I
-0.3221	(210)(21)	3	2 K
~0.0605	(210)(21)	3	2 L

Final state intensities:

				J	£ (eV)	Intensity
0.49	(211)(21)	4	36	4.0	4,8811	0.0104
0.75	(111)(20)	4	56	4.0	4.7551	0.0208
0.54	(111)(20)	4	5 D	4.0	3,3008	0.0106
0.44	(111)(20)	4	5 G	4.0	2+6372	0.0545
0.46	(111)(20)	4	5 I	4.0	2.2272	0.0353
0.67	(111)(10)	4	5 F	4.0	2.0455	0,4282
0.60	(211)(30)	4	3 G	5.0	7,1482	0.0103
0.51	(211)(21)	4	3 H	5.0	5.3084	0.0103
0.69	(111)(20)	4	5 G	5.0	2.9146	0.5170
0.66	(111)(20)	4	51	5.0	2.3459	0.1515
0.55	(111)(10)	4	5 F	5.0	1.2227	0.5971
0.76	(110)(11)	2	3 H	6.0	9+6224	0.0206
0.69	(211)(20)	4	3 I	6.0	6+1007	0.0431
0.49	(211)(30)	4	3 I	6.0	4.4587	0.0682
0.48	(211)(30)	4	3 H	6.0	3.6650	0.1932
0,78	(111)(20)	4	5 G	6.0	2,4928	0.7614
0.62	(111)(20)	4	5 I	6.0	1.6130	0.8389
0.59	(211)(20)	4	3 I	7.0	7,1936	0.0126
0.61	(211)(30)	4	3 K	7.0	5.7211	0.0227
0.60	(211)(21)	4	3 L	7.0	5.0337	0+0605
0.58	(211)(30)	4	3 K	7.0	3.3772	0+2295
0.94	(111)(20)	4	5 I	7.0	1.3689	0.6717
0.63	(211)(21)	4	3 K	8.0	5,9756	0.0277
0+66	(220)(22)	4	1 L.	8.0	4+4250	0.0726
0.53	(211)(30)	4	3 K	8.0	2.4575	0.9611
0.86	(111)(20)	4	5 I	8.0	0.0000	2+5550
0.91	(211)(21)	4	3 L	9.0	3,2565	1+2111
0.96	(211)(30)	4	3 M	10.0	3.8237	1.3341

35037

Table	3
-------	---

transition	Initial st f ² ,	atc eigenfur J = 4	Final state intensities 1 1		
f ² → f ¹	3 _F	3 _H	G	² F _{5/2}	² F7/2
LS-limit	0	1	0	1.7143	0.2857
Pr ³⁺	-0.0300	0.9859	0.1645	1.8645	0.1355
Pa ³⁺	-0.0877	0.9489	0,3031	1.9547	0.0452
jj-limit	-0.1650	0.8650	0.4738	2,0000	0,0000

Transition	f ³ →f ²	f ⁴ →f ³	f ⁵ ≻f ⁴	f ⁶ →f ⁵	f ⁷ →ī ⁶	f ⁸ →f ⁷	f ⁹ ≁f ⁸	f ¹⁰ →f ⁹	f ¹¹ →f ¹⁰	f ¹² →f ¹¹	f ¹³ →f ¹²
HWHM Y (eV)	0.37	0.2	0,16	0.2	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.12	0,12	0.12	0.2
Asymmetry a	0,12	0.12	0.11	0.2	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.21	0.2
Scaling factor	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1,]	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1

- - - -

- -

_

- - - - - -







Fig. 2



Fig. 3a



Fig. 3b



Fig. 3c



Fig. 3d



Fig. 3e



Fig. 3f



Fig. 3g



Fig. 3h



Fig. 3i



Fig. 3j



Fig. 3k