

## Selecting a dense weakly lacunary subsystem in a bounded orthonormal system

B. S. Kashin and I. V. Limonova

Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal system of functions (o.n.s.), on a probability space  $(X, \mu)$ . It is called a  $p$ -lacunary system ( $p > 2$ ) or an  $S_p$ -system if for some constant  $K$  the following inequality holds for any polynomial  $P = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \varphi_k$ :

$$\|P\|_{L_p} \leq K \|P\|_{L_2} \quad (1)$$

(see [1] and [2] for details). The following result was stated in [1] with a reference to Banach [3].

**Theorem A.** *If  $p > 2$  and an o.n.s.  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  satisfies*

$$\|\varphi_k\|_{L_p} \leq C, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

*then there exists an infinite subset  $\Lambda$  of the natural numbers such that  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \Lambda}$  is an  $S_p$ -system.*

Analogues of Theorem A for an o.n.s. whose elements are uniformly bounded in the norm of an Orlicz space  $L_\Psi$ , where the  $N$ -function  $\Psi(t)$  increases slower than any power  $|t|^p$  with  $p > 2$  as  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ , are due to Balykbaev [4], [5]. In particular, it was shown in [5] that the analogue of Theorem A holds for the Orlicz spaces  $L_{\psi_\alpha}$  with

$$\psi_\alpha(t) = t^2 \frac{\log^\alpha(e + |t|)}{\log^\alpha(e + 1/|t|)}, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (3)$$

The natural question of the maximum density of  $S_p$ -subsystems in a given o.n.s. (that is, of the density of the sequence  $\Lambda$  in Theorem A) proved to be quite complicated. Even for the trigonometric system it had remained open until the breakthrough paper [6], where Bourgain established the following result.

**Theorem B.** *If  $p > 2$  and  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  is an o.n.s. such that*

$$\|\varphi_k\|_{L_\infty} \leq M, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (4)$$

*then there exists a set  $\Lambda \subset \langle N \rangle$  such that  $|\Lambda| \geq N^{2/p}$  and (1) holds for  $K = K(M, p)$  for any polynomial  $P = \sum_{k \in \Lambda} a_k \varphi_k$ .*

(Here and below,  $\langle N \rangle := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and  $|\Lambda|$  is the cardinality of a finite set  $\Lambda$ . We also set  $\Phi_\Lambda = \{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \Lambda}$ .) Note that for quantitative results like Theorem B,

---

This research was carried out with the support of grant no. 14.W03.31.0031 from the Government of the Russian Federation. The first author is also thankful to the Isaac Newton Institute in Cambridge for their support of this work in the period of implementing the programme “Approximation, sampling and compression in data science”.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30.

some conditions in addition to the necessary condition (2) must be imposed on the original system  $\Phi$ , such as (4) (see [6] for details).

Let  $\Lambda \subset \langle N \rangle$  and let  $S_\Lambda$  be the operator acting by  $S_\Lambda(\{a_k\}_{k \in \Lambda}) = \sum_{k \in \Lambda} a_k \varphi_k(x)$ . It is clear that for the set  $\Lambda$  in Theorem B we have

$$\|S_\Lambda : l^\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^p(X)\| \leq |\Lambda|^{1/2} \cdot K(M, p). \quad (5)$$

In this paper we establish analogues of (5) for Orlicz spaces  $L_{\psi_\alpha}$  (see (3)) in the case of an arbitrary o.n.s. with uniformly bounded elements. Of course, in this case the guaranteed density of  $\Lambda$  is greater than in Theorem B. The proof of our results here is based on a modification of Bourgain's method in [6]. As in that paper, we average over all subsystems  $\Phi$  with given cardinality. However, for the Orlicz space corresponding to the function (3) one cannot expect that a random subsystem with cardinality  $\geq N/(\log N)^\beta$  (where  $\beta$  is an arbitrarily large constant) will be  $\psi_\alpha$ -lacunary. Thus, it is natural to search for subsystems  $\Phi_\Lambda$  with an analogue of the property (5), which is weaker than being  $p$ -lacunary.

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $\{\xi_i(\omega)\}_{i=1}^N$  be a set of independent random variables (selectors) on a probability space  $(\Omega, \nu)$  such that  $\xi_i(\omega) = 0$  or  $1$  and  $E\xi_i = \delta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Also let  $\Lambda(\omega, N) = \{i \in \langle N \rangle : \xi_i(\omega) = 1\}$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 1.** Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  and let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  be an arbitrary o.n.s. with the property (4). Then with probability greater than  $1 - N^{-10}$  the random set  $\Lambda = \Lambda(\omega, N)$  generated by the system of random variables  $\{\xi_i(\omega)\}_{i=1}^N$  with  $E\xi_i = \delta = [\log(N+3)]^{-\rho}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  satisfies the inequality

$$\|S_\Lambda : l^\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow L_{\psi_\alpha}(X)\| \leq K(M, \alpha, \rho) |\Lambda|^{1/2} ([\log(N+3)]^{\alpha/2-\rho/4} + 1). \quad (6)$$

**Corollary 1.** For  $\delta = [\log(N+3)]^{-2\alpha}$  the operator  $S_\Lambda \cdot |\Lambda|^{-1/2}$  is bounded from  $l^\infty(\Lambda)$  to  $L_{\psi_\alpha}(X)$  with probability close to 1.

For an o.n.s.  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  consider the operator  $S_\Phi^*$  taking the majorant of the partial sums, which acts on  $\{a_k\}$  by the formula

$$S_\Phi^*(\{a_k\})(x) = \sup_{s=s(x)} \left| \sum_{k=1}^s a_k \varphi_k(x) \right|.$$

It is well known (see [2]) that a system  $\Phi$  being lacunary is useful in the analysis of convergence almost everywhere of orthogonal series, because this property enables one to improve estimates for the norm of  $S_\Phi^*$ . The following theorem is proved using results close to Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** For  $\rho > 4$  and an arbitrary o.n.s.  $\Phi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^N$  with the property (4), there exists a set  $\Lambda \subset \langle N \rangle$  with cardinality  $|\Lambda| \geq N[\log(N+3)]^{-\rho}$  such that

$$\|S_{\Phi_\Lambda}^* : l^\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(X)\| \leq C(M, \rho) |\Lambda|^{1/2}. \quad (7)$$

*Remark 1.* For  $\rho > 4$  the estimate (7) holds for most subsets of  $\langle N \rangle$  with cardinality of order  $N[\log(N+3)]^{-\rho}$ .

*Remark 2.* For  $\rho < 2$  the assertion of Theorem 2 does not hold.

## Bibliography

- [1] S. Kaczmarz and H. Steinhaus, *Theorie der Orthogonalreihen*, Monogr. Mat., vol. VI, New York, Chelsea Publ. Co. 1951, viii+296 pp.
- [2] Б. С. Кашин, А. А. Саакян, *Ортогональные ряды*, 2-е изд., АФЦ, М. 1999, x+550 с.; English transl. of 1st ed., B. S. Kashin and A. A. Saakyan, *Orthogonal series*, Transl. Math. Monogr., vol. 75, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1989, xii+451 pp.
- [3] S. Banach, *Bull. Int. Acad. Polon. Sci. A*, 1933, 149–154.
- [4] Т. О. Балыкбаев, *Докл. АН СССР* **286**:6 (1986), 1289–1292; English transl., Т. О. Balykbaev, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **33** (1986), 267–269.
- [5] Т. О. Балыкбаев, *Об одном классе лакунарных ортонормированных систем*, Дисс. . . . канд. физ.-матем. наук, МГУ, М. 1986. [Т. О. Balykbaev, *A class of lacunary orthonormal systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Moscow State University, Moscow 1986.]
- [6] J. Bourgain, *Acta Math.* **162**:3-4 (1989), 227–245.

**Boris S. Kashin**

Steklov Mathematical Institute  
of Russian Academy of Sciences;  
Lomonosov Moscow State University  
E-mail: [kashin@mi-ras.ru](mailto:kashin@mi-ras.ru)

Presented by D. O. Orlov

Accepted 20/JUN/19

Translated by N. KRUSHILIN

**Irina V. Limonova**

Lomonosov Moscow State University  
E-mail: [limonova\\_irina@rambler.ru](mailto:limonova_irina@rambler.ru)